

Proceedings of the International Workshop
 Future Directions in Difference Equations.
 June 13-17, 2011, Vigo, Spain.
 PAGES ip–fp

The Dynamical Degrees of a Mapping

Eric Bedford

Indiana University

bedford@indiana.edu

Abstract

Let $f : X \rightarrow X$ be a rational mapping in higher dimension. The complexity of (f, X) as a dynamical system is measured by the dynamical degrees $\delta_p(f)$, $1 \leq p \leq \dim(X)$. We give the definition of the dynamical degrees show how they are computed in certain cases. For instance, we show that if the dynamical degree of an automorphism of a Kähler manifold is greater than one, then it must be irrational.

1 Dynamical degree

Let us start by discussing automorphisms of \mathbf{C}^2 . We say that

$$f(x, y) = (f_1(x, y), f_2(x, y)) : \mathbf{C}^2 \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^2$$

is a polynomial mapping if the coordinate functions f_1 and f_2 are polynomials, and we define the degree of f as $\deg(f) := \max(\deg(f_1), \deg(f_2))$. The degree is not invariant under conjugation. That is, if L is linear, then the $\deg(L) = 1$, but if f is a polynomial automorphism, then in general $\deg(f \circ L \circ f^{-1}) \geq 1$, and with suitable choice of f , this degree can be arbitrarily large. The behavior of \deg under composition is $\deg(f \circ g) \leq \deg(f)\deg(g)$. Thus we may define the dynamical degree as

$$\delta(f) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \deg(f^n)^{1/n}$$

It follows that $\delta(f) = \delta(h^{-1} \circ f \circ h)$, so the dynamical degree is invariant under conjugation. The condition $\delta > 1$ corresponds to exponential growth of degree under iteration, and this may be viewed as “degree complexity.” Let us consider two examples:

$$h(x, y) = (y, \varphi(y) - \alpha x), \quad k(x, y) = (x, y + \varphi(x)) \tag{1.1}$$

where φ is a monic polynomial. We see that the iterative behavior of the two maps in (1.1) is rather different: $\delta(h) = \deg(\varphi)$, and $\delta(k) = 1$. The following result from [8] gives a satisfying characterization of the situation for polynomial automorphisms of \mathbf{C}^2 :

Theorem 1.1. *If f is a polynomial automorphism of \mathbf{C}^2 with $\delta(f) > 1$, then f is conjugate to a map of the form $h_1 \circ \cdots \circ h_j$, where $h_i = (y, \varphi_i(y) - \alpha_i x)$. In particular, $\delta(f) = \deg(\varphi_1) \cdots \deg(\varphi_j)$ is an integer.*

The maps h_i that appear in the Theorem are called generalized Hénon maps. The Hénon representation achieves minimal degree, and this representation is an essentially unique representative of the conjugacy class. Thus if we have a Hénon representative, we know the dynamical degree. As will be seen in Theorem 6.1 below, the fact that $\delta(f)$ is an integer prevents f from being conjugate to a compact surface automorphism.

Now let us consider maps of projective space. Let (f_0, \dots, f_k) be a $k+1$ -tuple of polynomials which are homogeneous of degree d . We may assume that the f_i have no common factor. The set $\mathcal{I}(f) := \{x \in \mathbf{P}^k : f_0(x) = \dots = f_k(x) = 0\}$ (which is possibly empty) has codimension at least 2. Then $f = [f_0 : \dots : f_k] : \mathbf{P}^k - \mathcal{I}(f) \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^k$ is holomorphic. At each point $p \in \mathcal{I}(f)$, however, f is discontinuous and in fact “blows up” p to a set of positive dimension. A topological fact is that the cohomology groups $H^2(\mathbf{P}^k; \mathbf{Z})$ and $H^{1,1}(\mathbf{P}^k; \mathbf{Z})$ are both isomorphic to the Picard group $\text{Pic}(X)$. The Picard group is the set $\text{Div}(X)/\sim$ of integral divisors modulo linear equivalence. That is, a divisor D is linearly equivalent to zero if $D = \text{div}(h)$, where h denotes a rational (or meromorphic) function h on X , and $\text{div}(h) = \text{Zeros}(h) - \text{Poles}(h)$ is the associated divisor. $\text{Pic}(\mathbf{P}^k)$ is generated by the class of a hyperplane $H = \{\sum c_j x_j = 0\}$. To see this, suppose that $V = \{P = 0\}$ is the zero set of a polynomial of degree m , then for $0 \leq j \leq k$, $h := P/x_j^m$ is a well defined rational function, which shows that $[V] = m[H]$ in Pic . The action of f^* on Pic is composition: $f^*\{P = 0\} = \{P \circ f = 0\}$, so $f^*[H] = d \cdot [H]$.

More generally, if $\pi : X \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^k$ is a blowup space, then we have the induced map $f_X := \pi^{-1} \circ f \circ \pi$ on X . We have well-defined pullback maps f^* on $H^{1,1}(\mathbf{P}^2)$ and f_X^* on $H^{1,1}(X)$. We can use f^* to define the degree of f . We can use either f^* or f_X^* to define the dynamical degree:

$$\delta(f) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|(f^n)^*\|^{1/n} \quad (1.2)$$

where $\|\cdot\|$ denotes any norm on $H^{1,1}(X)$, $H^2(X)$, or in nice cases, $\text{Pic}(X)$.

In particular if X is a compact manifold, (1.2) can be used to define $\delta(f)$ for any meromorphic map $f : X \rightarrow X$. The following is evident:

Proposition 1.2. *If $(f^n)^* = (f^*)^n$ on $H^{1,1}$ for $n > 0$, then $\delta(f)$ is the spectral radius of f^* , i.e., the modulus of the largest eigenvalue of f^* . In this case, $\delta(f)$ is an algebraic integer.*

2 Finding automorphisms by blowing up space

Let us illustrate this with maps of the form

$$f_{a,b}(x, y) = \left(y, \frac{y+a}{x+b} \right)$$

for fixed constants a and b . This family is conjugate (via affine transformations) to the family $F_{\alpha,\beta}(x, y) = (y, y/x) + (\alpha, \beta)$, and we are free to work with the maps in either form. $f_{a,b}$ is a birational map of the plane, and we may extend $f_{a,b}$ to a compactification of the plane. We start by extending it to the projective space $\mathbf{P}^2 = \{[x_0 : x_1 : x_2]\}$ with $(x, y) \leftrightarrow [1 : x : y]$. Thus $\mathbf{P}^2 = \mathbf{C}^2 \cup L_\infty$, where $L_\infty = \{x_0 = 0\}$ is the line at infinity. In homogeneous coordinates we have

$$f_{a,b}[x_0 : x_1 : x_2] = [x_0(x_1 + bx_0) : x_2(x_1 + bx_0) : x_0(x_2 + ax_0)].$$

In order to understand the map $f_{a,b}$, we will try to see whether there is a “better” compactification. We start by observing that there is a triangle of lines which are mapped to points:

$$\begin{aligned} L_\infty = \{x_0 = 0\} \rightarrow e_1 := [0 : 1 : 0], \quad \{x + b = 0\} = \{bx_0 + x_1 = 0\} \rightarrow e_2 := [0 : 0 : 1], \\ \{y + a = 0\} = \{ax_0 + x_2 = 0\} \rightarrow q := (-a, 0) = [1 : -a : 0] \end{aligned}$$

We have given the lines of the triangle both in coordinates (x, y) on \mathbf{C}^2 and $[x_0 : x_1 : x_2]$ on \mathbf{P}^2 . The points e_1, e_2 and $p := (-b, -a)$ are indeterminate. The point e_2 , for instance, is contained in both $\{x + b = 0\}$ and L_∞ , so it must blow up to a connected set containing the images of both of these lines. In this case we have the simplest possibility: e_2 blows up to $\{x_0 = 0\}$, the line through e_2 and e_1 .

We describe the operation of blowing up the origin $(0, 0) \in \mathbf{C}^2$. We define

$$\widehat{\mathbf{C}}^2 = \{(x, \xi) = ((x_1, x_2), [\xi_1 : \xi_2]) \in \mathbf{C}^2 \times \mathbf{P}^1 : x_1\xi_2 = x_2\xi_1\}$$

and $\pi(x, \xi) = x$. We say that $\pi : \widehat{\mathbf{C}}^2 \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^2$ is the blowup map, and the blowup space $\widehat{\mathbf{C}}^2$ is a (smooth) complex manifold with the properties: $E := \pi^{-1}(0, 0)$ is equivalent to \mathbf{P}^1 , and $\pi : \widehat{\mathbf{C}}^2 - E \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^2 - (0, 0)$ is biholomorphic. $\widehat{\mathbf{C}}^2$ is covered by the open sets $\{\xi_j \neq 0\}$, $j = 1, 2$. If $\xi_1 \neq 0$, then we may suppose that $\xi_1 = 1$ and represent this open set by the coordinate chart $\mathbf{C}^2 \ni (t, \eta) \rightarrow (x, \xi)$, where $x = (t, t\eta)$ and $\xi = [1 : \eta]$. In this coordinate chart, we have $E \cap \{\xi_1 \neq 0\} = \{t = 0\}$.

The blowup is a local operation, and we may construct a manifold $\pi : X \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^2$ by blowing up \mathbf{P}^2 at the points e_1 and e_2 . Here we use the notation $E_j = \pi^{-1}e_j$. The blowup space X is defined by the properties: $\pi : X - (E_1 \cup E_2) \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^2 - \{e_1, e_2\}$ is biholomorphic, and $E_j \cong \mathbf{P}^1$, for $j = 1, 2$. To work in a coordinate chart at E_2 we let $\tilde{\pi} : X \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^2$ be given by $\tilde{\pi}((x_0, x_1), [\xi_0 : \xi_1]) = [x_0 : x_1 : 1]$ be the blowup map over $(x_0, x_1) = (0, 0) = [0 : 0 : 1]$. The coordinate chart for $\xi_0 \neq 0$ is given by $\mathbf{C}^2 \ni (t, \eta) \rightarrow (x, \xi)$ with $x = [t : t\eta : 1]$. Thus the inverse is given by $\tilde{\pi}^{-1}[x_0 : x_1 : 1] = (t = x_0, \eta = x_1/x_0)$.

Since π is a birational map, we have an induced map $f_X := \pi^{-1} \circ f \circ \pi : X \rightarrow X$. Now we show that the map f_X sends $\{x + b = 0\}$ to E_2 . For this we write

$$f : \mathbf{C}^2 \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^2, \quad f(x, y) = \left[1 : y : \frac{y+a}{x+b} \right] = \left[\frac{x+b}{y+a} : \frac{y(x+b)}{y+a} : 1 \right]$$

so $\tilde{\pi}^{-1}f(x, y) = (t = (x+b)/(y+a), \eta = y)$. This means that $\{x + b = 0\}$ is taken to $\{t = 0\}$, i.e., to E_2 .

A similar computation shows that f_X is a smooth mapping from E_2 to $L_\infty = \{x_0 = 0\}$. This time we write $\tilde{\pi}(t, \eta) = [t : t\eta : 1] = [1 : \eta : t^{-1}]$. Thus we have

$$f_X : (t, \eta) \mapsto f(\tilde{\pi}(t, \eta)) = f(\eta, t^{-1}) = \left[1 : t^{-1} : \frac{t^{-1} + a}{\eta + b} \right] = \left[t : 1 : \frac{1 + at}{\eta + b} \right]$$

Thus f_X takes $E_2 = \{t = 0\}$ to $\{x_0 = 0\}$, and f_X is smooth for $\eta \neq -b$.

If $p \in \mathbf{P}^2 - \{e_1, e_2\}$, we write p for its image $\pi^{-1}p$ in X and we let $\{y + a = 0\}$ denote the closure in X of the image $\pi^{-1}\{y + a = 0\}$. Arguing as above, we find that $\{x + b = 0\} \rightarrow E_2 \rightarrow L_\infty \rightarrow E_1$, and:

Proposition 2.1. *The only indeterminate point for f_X is p , and the only exceptional curve (i.e., the only curve which maps to a point) is $\{y + a = 0\}$.*

Now we define a subset of parameter space

$$\mathcal{V}_n := \{(a, b) \in \mathbf{C}^2 : f_X^n(q) = p\} = \{(a, b) \in \mathbf{C}^2 : f_{a,b}^n(-a, 0) = (-b, -a)\}$$

The following is from [3]:

Theorem 2.2. *Fix $n \geq 0$. Then $(a, b) \in \mathcal{V}_n$ if and only if there is a space $\pi : Y \rightarrow X$ such that f_Y is an automorphism of Y .*

Suppose that $(a, b) \in \mathcal{V}_n$. Define $Q_j := f_X^j(q)$ for $0 \leq j \leq n$. Now let $\pi : Y \rightarrow X$ denote the manifold obtained by blowing up the points q_0, q_1, \dots, q_n . We write $Q_j := \pi^{-1}q_j$. If we write local charts as we did for the case $\{x + b = 0\}$, we see that the set $\{y + a = 0\}$ is not exceptional for f_Y . Similarly, working as we did at E_2 above, we see that f_Y is not indeterminate at $P = Q_n$. We saw already that f_X is a local diffeomorphism at all the intermediate points q_j , so f_Y is a local diffeomorphism at Q_j .

3 Finding the degree

If X is a space obtained by blowing up \mathbf{P}^2 , then the cohomology groups $H^2(X; \mathbf{Z})$ and $H^{1,1}(X; \mathbf{Z}) := H^{1,1}(X; \mathbf{C}) \cap H^2(X; \mathbf{Z})$ are both isomorphic to the Picard group $Pic(X)$. The Picard group is the set $Div(X)/\sim$ of integral divisors modulo linear equivalence. It is a standard fact that if $\pi : X \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^2$ is the blow up of \mathbf{P}^2 at distinct points p_1, \dots, p_N , then a \mathbf{Z} -basis for $Pic(X)$ is given by H_X, P_1, \dots, P_N , where $H_X = \pi^{-1}L$ is the class of any line L which is disjoint from all the p_j , and P_j is the class of the divisor $\pi^{-1}p_j$. If $C \subset \mathbf{P}^2$ is any curve, then we let $[C]_X$ denote its class in $Pic(X)$. Thus $\pi^*[C]_X = m \cdot H_X + \sum \mu_j P_j$, where m denotes the degree of C , and μ_j is the multiplicity of C at p_j . (If $p_j \notin C$, then $\mu_j = 0$.)

If $f : X \rightarrow X$ is a rational map, then the pullback map f_X^* is a well-defined linear map of $Pic(X)$. We will consider $f_X^* = (m_{i,j})$ as a matrix with integer entries with respect to the ordered basis H_X, P_1, \dots, P_N . Thus

$$f^*[L] = m_{1,1}[L] + \text{linear combination of } P_1, \dots, P_N$$

Proposition 3.1. *The entry $m_{1,1}$ in f_X^* is the degree of f .*

In particular, we conclude that if $(f_X^n)^* = (f_X^*)^n$, then the degree of f^n is the $(1,1)$ -entry of the matrix $(m_{i,j})^n$ and thus satisfies a linear recurrence.

Now we consider the space X obtained in the previous paragraph by blowing up e_1 and e_2 . The induced map f^* on $Pic(X)$ acts according to

$$E_1 \rightarrow L_\infty \rightarrow E_2 \rightarrow [x + b = 0]$$

Thus, $f^* : E_1 \rightarrow H_X - E_1 - E_2$ and $E_2 \rightarrow H_X - E_2$.

Next we need to determine what f_X^* does to H_X . We start by looking at \mathbf{P}^2 ; since f has degree 2, $f^{-1}H$ is a quadric. Both centers of blowup are indeterminate and blow up to lines. Thus

a general line $H \subset \mathbf{P}^2$ intersects each of these blowup images with multiplicity one, so $f^{-1}H$ is a quadric which goes through both e_1 and e_2 . In terms of divisors, this means that

$$f_X^* H_X = 2H_X - E_1 - E_2$$

With respect to this basis we have

$$f_X^* = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 & 1 \\ -1 & -1 & 0 \\ -1 & -1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Let us suppose that $(a, b) \in \mathcal{V}_n$ and let $\pi : Y \rightarrow X$ to be the blowup of the points q_0, \dots, q_n as in the previous paragraph. Thus $\text{Pic}(Y) = \langle H_Y, E_1, E_2, Q_n, Q_{n-1}, \dots, Q_1 \rangle$. As above, the exceptional fibers are mapped as

$$f_Y : P = Q_n \rightarrow Q_{n-1} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow Q_1 \rightarrow \{y + a = 0\}$$

In terms of divisors we have $[y + a = 0]_Y = H_Y - P - E_1$ and $[x + b = 0]_Y = H_Y - E_1 - E_2 - P$, and $f_Y^* H_Y = H_Y - E_1 - E_2 - P$. The difference between $[\cdot]_X$ and $[\cdot]_Y$ arises because the curves may contain different centers of blowup. Thus with respect to this ordered basis of $\text{Pic}(Y)$, we have

$$f_Y^* = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 & 1 & & & & 1 \\ -1 & -1 & 0 & & & & -1 \\ -1 & 0 & -1 & & & & 0 \\ & & & 0 & & & -1 \\ & & & 1 & 0 & & \\ & & & & 1 & 0 & \\ & & & & & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Proposition 3.2. *The characteristic polynomial of the matrix above is*

$$\chi_n(t) = t^{n+1}(t^3 - t - 1) + t^3 + t^2 - 1$$

If λ_n denotes the largest root of χ_n , then $\lambda_7 > 1$, and λ_n is increasing in n .

We conclude that if $(a, b) \in \mathcal{V}_n$, then $\delta(f) = \lambda_n$, and thus $\delta(f) > 1$ if $n \geq 7$.

4 Matrix inversion and variations

Let \mathcal{M}_q denote the space of $q \times q$ matrices, and let $\mathbf{P}(\mathcal{M}_q) = \mathcal{M}_q^*/\mathbf{C}^*$ denote its projectivization. We consider the mapping J defined on $q \times q$ matrices by component-wise inversion: $J(x_{i,j}) = (1/x_{i,j})$. J is clearly smooth at the matrices x for which the entries are all nonzero. We may also write J as a matrix of polynomials by setting $J(x) = (x_{i,j}^{-1} \prod x)$, where $\prod x := \prod_{(\mu,\nu)} x_{\mu,\nu}$ is the product of all of the entries of x . Thus we see that J has degree $q^2 - 1$ on $\mathbf{P}(\mathcal{M}_q)$. We let $I(x_{i,j}) = (x_{i,j})^{-1}$ be the usual matrix inversion. Recall the familiar formula for $I(x)$ as the quotient of the classical adjoint, formed from the $(q-1) \times (q-1)$ minors, divided by the determinant. From this we see that I has degree $q-1$ as a self-map of $\mathbf{P}(\mathcal{M}_q)$. Both of the maps I and J are rational involutions, defined and regular on dense subsets of $\mathbf{P}(\mathcal{M}_q)$. We will be concerned with the map $K = I \circ J$ which is a birational map, and $I^{-1} \circ K \circ I = K^{-1}$, so K is reversible, in the sense of being conjugate to its inverse. To suggest that there is subtlety in composing these maps, we note that:

Proposition 4.1. *The degree of $K = I \circ J$ is $q^2 - q + 1 < \max(\deg(I), \deg(J))$.*

The map K was studied by Anglès d'Auriac, Maillard, and Viallet [1], as well as the restrictions of K to the subspaces \mathcal{S}_q of symmetric matrices, and to \mathcal{C}_q of cyclic matrices, which have the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} a_0 & a_1 & \cdots & a_{q-1} \\ & a_0 & a_1 & \\ & & \ddots & \ddots \\ a_1 & a_2 & \cdots & a_0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Based on their analysis (largely numerical) of these maps, they conjectured the following:

Theorem 4.2. *The dynamical degrees of all three maps coincide:*

$$\delta(K) = \delta(K|_{\mathcal{S}_q}) = \delta(K|_{\mathcal{C}_q})$$

and this number is the largest root of $t^2 - (q^2 - 4q + 2)t + 1$.

This Theorem was proved as a combination of results in [5] and [12]. We note that passing to a linear subspace does not increase the degree, so the inequalities $\delta(K) \geq \delta(K|_{\mathcal{S}_q})$ and $\delta(K) \geq \delta(K|_{\mathcal{C}_q})$ follow easily. The restriction $K|_{\mathcal{C}_q}$ introduces symmetries that make the map much easier to deal with. On the other hand, the additional symmetries make the restriction $K|_{\mathcal{S}_q}$ harder to deal with than the unrestricted K . The set of symmetric, cyclic matrices $\mathcal{SC}_q = \mathcal{S}_q \cap \mathcal{C}_q$ is also invariant under K . This introduces all of the symmetries of \mathcal{C}_q as well as \mathcal{S}_q , so there are different sorts of symmetries. The map $q \mapsto \delta(K|_{\mathcal{SC}_q})$ depends on q in a more complicated way (see [4]).

5 The maps I , J and K

The maps I and J are involutions, so $\delta(I) = \delta(J) = 1$. We discuss the process of regularizing them by blowing up. We define the set $\Sigma_{i,j}$ to be the set of matrices for which the (i, j) -entry vanishes. Similarly, we let $e_{i,j}$ denote the matrix for which all entries are zero except in the location (i, j) . Now we consider J as a map of $\mathbf{P}(\mathcal{M}_q)$. J is regular at each $x = (x_{i,j})$ for which all the entries $x_{i,j} \neq 0$. We see that $J(\Sigma_{i,j} - \mathcal{I}(J)) = e_{i,j}$. Conversely, since $J = J^{-1}$, we see that J blows $e_{i,j}$ up to $\Sigma_{i,j}$. Given a point $x = (x_{i,j})$, we let $T(x)$ be the set of all (i, j) such that $x \in \Sigma_{i,j}$. Then J blows up x to the linear subspace generated by $\{e_{i,j} : (i, j) \in T(x)\}$, which is $\bigcap_{(\mu,\nu) \notin T(x)} \Sigma_{\mu,\nu}$. For instance, if $x_{i_1,j_1} = x_{i_2,j_2} = 0$, and if all other entries of $(x_{i,j})$ are nonzero, then J blows up x to the line passing through e_{i_1,j_1} and e_{i_2,j_2} . J is indeterminate at the sets $\Sigma_{i_1,j_1} \cap \Sigma_{i_2,j_2}$ for which $(i_1, j_1) \neq (i_2, j_2)$. In fact,

$$\mathcal{I}(J) = \bigcup_{(i_1,j_1) \neq (i_2,j_2)} \Sigma_{i_1,j_1} \cap \Sigma_{i_2,j_2}. \quad (5.1)$$

Now we define the space $\pi : X \rightarrow \mathbf{P}(\mathcal{M}_q)$ in which all points $e_{i,j} \in \mathbf{P}(\mathcal{M}_q)$, $1 \leq i, j \leq q$, are blown up. The fiber $\pi^{-1}e_{i,j} \cong \mathbf{P}^{q^2-2}$ is the projectivization of the normal bundle to $\mathbf{P}(\mathcal{M}_q)$ at $e_{i,j}$. (The space of tangent vectors normal to a point is the space of all tangent vectors at that point.) That is, if ν is a vector normal to $e_{i,j}$, then the curve $t \mapsto \pi^{-1}(e_{i,j} + t\nu)$ lands at a unique point $\hat{\nu} \in E_{i,j}$ as $t \rightarrow 0$. The space $\text{Pic}(X)$ is spanned by the class of a general hypersurface $H_X \subset X$ and the classes of exceptional divisors $E_{i,j}$. To define the map $J_X^* : \text{Pic}(X) \rightarrow \text{Pic}(X)$, we start

with the observation that $J^{-1}E_{i,j} = \Sigma_{i,j}$, so the class $E_{i,j}$ is taken to the class of $\Sigma_{i,j}$ in $\text{Pic}(X)$. Since the class of $\Sigma_{i,j}$ is the same as a general hypersurface H_X , except that it is missing the $E_{\mu,\nu}$ for all $(\mu,\nu) \neq (i,j)$, we have

$$E_{i,j} \mapsto H_X - \sum_{(\mu,\nu) \neq (i,j)} E_{\mu,\nu}. \quad (5.2)$$

It remains to determine $J^*(H_X)$. On $\mathbf{P}(\mathcal{M}_q)$ we have $J^*H = (q^2 - 1)H$. This is because if we represent $H = \sum c_{i,j}x_{i,j}$ as a linear function, then $J^*H = \sum c_{i,j}J_{i,j} = \sum_{i,j} c_{i,j}x_{i,j}^{-1} \prod x$ is represented by the linear combination of the coordinates of J . At the point $e_{1,1}$, for instance, the (1,1) component of J vanishes to order $q^2 - 2$, and the other components vanish to order $q^2 - 1$. Thus if all the $c_{i,j}$ are non-vanishing, we see that the multiplicity (order of vanishing) of J at the point $e_{\mu,\nu}$ is $q^2 - 2$. Thus we have

$$J^*(H_X) = (q^2 - 1)H_X - (q^2 - 2) \sum_{\mu,\nu} E_{\mu,\nu}. \quad (5.3)$$

Proposition 5.1. (5.2–3) together determine the linear map J_X^* on $\text{Pic}(X)$.

More details of proof can be found in [2].

Now we discuss the map I briefly. The matrix $x = \text{diag}(0, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_q) \in \mathbf{P}(\mathcal{M}_q)$ is mapped to $I(x) = \text{diag}(1, 0, \dots, 0)$. More generally, if x has rank $q - 1$, then we let $v \in \mathbf{C}^q$ generate the kernel, and we let w be an element of the dual space \mathbf{C}^{q*} such that its kernel is the range of x . It may be shown that for matrices of rank $q - 1$, the inverse I (projectively), interchanges kernel and range, so $I(x) = v \otimes w = (v_i w_j)$ is a matrix of rank 1. In particular, the set $R_{q-1} := \{x \in \mathbf{P}(\mathcal{M}_q) : \det(x) = 0\}$ is the exceptional hypersurface for I , and the image $I(R_{q-1}) = R_1$ is the set of matrices of rank 1. To regularize I , we construct the manifold $\pi : Z \rightarrow \mathbf{P}(\mathcal{M}_q)$, which blows up the set R_1 of rank 1 matrices. Let $\mathcal{R}^1 := \pi^{-1}(R_1)$ denote the exceptional divisor. Near the point $x_0 := \text{diag}(1, 0, \dots, 0)$, the set of rank 1 matrices are parametrized by $(x_2, \dots, x_q, y_2, \dots, y_q) \mapsto \hat{x}^t \otimes \hat{y} := (1, x_2, \dots, x_q)^t \otimes (1, y_2, \dots, y_q)$. The fiber $\pi^{-1}x_0$ can be

interpreted as the (projectivized) $(q - 1) \times (q - 1)$ matrices $\hat{\xi} := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & \xi_{2,2} & \dots & \xi_{2,q} \\ 0 & \vdots & & \vdots \\ 0 & \xi_{q,2} & \dots & \xi_{q,q} \end{pmatrix}$, and a

point near the fiber over x_0 is given by $\hat{x}^t \otimes \hat{y} + s\hat{\xi}$ for some scalar $s \in \mathbf{C}$.

Proposition 5.2. The map $I_Z := \pi^{-1} \circ I : \mathbf{P}(\mathcal{M}_q) \rightarrow Z$ is a local diffeomorphism at generic points of R_{q-1} . Further, I_Z is regular at all points of R_{q-1} with rank $q - 1$, and I_Z is a birational map from R_{q-1} to \mathcal{R}^1 .

Finally we turn to the map $K = I \circ J$. Let us define $A_{i,j}$ to be the set of all matrices $(x_{\ell,m})$ whose entries are zero everywhere on the i -th row and the j -th column. This is a linear subspace of $\mathbf{P}(\mathcal{M}_q)$. We find that $K(\Sigma_{i,j}) = A_{i,j}$. Thus we will need to work with the space $\pi : X \rightarrow \mathbf{P}(\mathcal{M}_q)$ in which all the subspaces $A_{i,j}$ are blown up, and $R_1 = J(R_1)$ is blown up, in addition. We let $K_X := \pi^{-1} \circ K \circ \pi$ be the induced map of X . In the new space X , $\Sigma_{i,j}$ is not exceptional for K_X . Let us define the subsets $\mathcal{A}_{i,j} := \pi^{-1}A_{i,j}$. We find that K_X maps $\mathcal{A}_{i,j}$ to $B_{j,i} := \mathcal{A}_{j,i} \cap \Sigma_{j,i}$. So each $\mathcal{A}_{i,j}$ is exceptional. We now construct the space $\pi : Y \rightarrow X$ in which all the subsets $B_{i,j} \subset X$ are blown up. Working with the induced map K_Y we can determine the dynamical degree $\delta(K)$. Further details are in [5].

6 Intermediate degrees

In the case of projective space $X = \mathbf{P}^k$, we let ω denote a positive, closed (1,1)-form. Thus ω defines a Kähler metric on \mathbf{P}^k . We write the exterior powers as $\omega^p = \omega \wedge \cdots \wedge \omega$ and set $\beta_p := \omega^p/p!$. Let $M \subset \mathbf{P}^k$ be a compact complex submanifold of codimension p . Let us normalize ω so that $\int_{\mathbf{P}^k} \omega^k/k! = \int_{\mathbf{P}^k} \beta_k = 1$. With this normalization, the volume of a (linear) hyperplane H with respect to the metric ω is $\text{Vol}(H) = \int_H \beta_{k-1} = 1$. It is a classical result that the codimension $2p$ volume of M (with respect to the metric defined by ω) is given by $\text{Vol}(M) = \int_M \beta_p$. Thus we have the identity between volume and cohomology class, and we use this to define degree in codimension p . Specifically, if L_p is a linear subspace of codimension p , then the class $\{L_p\}$ generates $H^{p,p}(\mathbf{P}^k; \mathbf{Z})$, and the classes $\{L_p\} = \{\beta_p\}$ are equal. So the class $\{M\}$ is a multiple of this class, and we use this to define the degree:

$$\{M\} = \text{deg}_p(M) \{L_p\} \text{ where } \text{deg}_p(M) = \int_M \beta_p$$

This remarkable identity between degree, volume and topology serves to extend the previous definition of degree to intermediate dimensions.

For a rational map $f : X \rightarrow Y$, there is a well-defined map on all cohomology groups $f^* : H^{p,q}(Y) \rightarrow H^{p,q}(X)$. When $X = \mathbf{P}^k$, we may use this to define the degree deg_p by the equation $\text{deg}_p(f) \{\beta_p\} = f^* \{\beta_p\}$. This is given as an integral:

$$\text{deg}_p(f) = \int_{\mathbf{P}^k} \beta_{k-p} \wedge f^* \beta_p$$

The quantity deg_p is not invariant under conjugacy. However, we see that $\text{deg}_p(f \circ g) \leq \text{deg}_p(f) \text{deg}_p(g)$, so we can define the dynamical degree as $\delta_p(f) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\text{deg}_p(f^n))^{1/n}$. If φ is a birational map of \mathbf{P}^k , then we have $\delta_p(f) = \delta_p(\varphi^{-1} \circ f \circ \varphi)$.

For general X it is natural to define intermediate dynamical degrees by setting

$$\delta_p(f) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|f^{n*}|_{H^{p,p}}\|^{1/n}$$

In fact, if f is holomorphic, then $(f^n)^*|_{H^{p,p}} = (f^*|_{H^{p,p}})^n$. Thus $\delta_p(f)$ is the spectral radius of $f^*|_{H^{p,p}}$. In this case δ_p is an algebraic integer for all p . It is natural to ask whether δ_p is an algebraic integer when f is merely rational. The material above was taken from Russakovskii and Shiffman [11], and the reader is invited to consult the original paper.

It is clear that the same definition applies to meromorphic maps of complex manifolds. In the case of a compact, Kähler manifold, it is classical that $p \mapsto \log \delta_p(f)$ is concave in p . We have $\delta_0(f) = 1$ and $\delta_k(f) \geq 1$ for all maps. Thus if $\delta_\ell(f) > 1$ for some $0 < \ell \leq k$, the concavity implies we have $\delta_p(f) > 1$ for all $0 < p < k$.

The following was obtained jointly with Jan-Li Lin:

Theorem 6.1. *If f is an automorphism of a compact, Kähler manifold, and if $\delta_\ell(f) > 1$ for some $0 < \ell < k$, then $\delta_p(f)$ is irrational for all $0 < p < k$.*

Proof. By the remark above, we have $\delta_p(f) > 1$ for all $0 < p < k$. Let us suppose that $\delta_p(f)$ is rational. If f is an automorphism of X , then $\delta_p(f)$ is the spectral radius (modulus of the largest eigenvalue) of $f^*|_{H^{p,p}}$. Since $H^{p,p}$ is an invariant subspace of $H^{2p}(X; \mathbf{C})$, an eigenvalue

of this restriction will also be an eigenvalue of f^* acting on $H^{2p}(X; \mathbf{C})$. Since f^* also preserves $H^{2p}(X; \mathbf{Z})$ we may consider f^* as a matrix with integer coefficients. The characteristic polynomial $\chi(x)$ of f^* is monic. Thus all eigenvalues of f^* are algebraic integers. Let μ be an eigenvalue with maximum modulus.

If μ is real, then $\mu = \pm\delta_p(f)$ is rational. It is elementary that every rational, algebraic integer actually belongs to \mathbf{Z} . Now, since f^* is an invertible, integer matrix, its determinant is ± 1 . Thus the characteristic polynomial has the form $\chi = x^m + \dots \pm 1$. On the other hand, since μ is an integer zero of χ , $(x - \mu)$ is a factor of $\chi(x)$. This means that $\chi(x) = (x - \mu)p(x) = (x - \mu)(x^{m-1} + \dots + c_0) = x^m + \dots - \mu c_0 = x^m + \dots \pm 1$. This is not possible since c_0 is an integer, and $|\mu| > 1$.

If μ is not real, then we have $|\mu| = |\mu\bar{\mu}|^{1/2} = \delta_p(f)$, which is assumed to be rational. Now let $\alpha_3, \dots, \alpha_m$ denote the other roots of χ . Since these are algebraic integers, it is elementary (see [10]) that their product $\alpha_3 \cdots \alpha_m$ is also an algebraic integer. Since $\mu\bar{\mu}\alpha_3 \cdots \alpha_m = \pm 1$, we conclude that both $\mu\bar{\mu}$ and $\alpha_3 \cdots \alpha_m$ are rational. Since, in addition, these are both algebraic integers, they both are integers. But this contradicts the assumption that $|\mu| > 1$.

7 Monomial maps

The intermediate dynamical degrees are important for understanding the dynamical behavior. They are invariant under birational conjugacies in the following strong sense: If $\varphi : X \rightarrow Y$ is birational, and if $g := \varphi^{-1} \circ f \circ \varphi$, then $\delta_p(f, X) = \delta_p(g, Y)$ (see [6]). In the same paper, Dinh and Sibony give an estimate on the topological entropy of f :

$$h_{\text{top}}(f) \leq \log \max(\delta_1(f), \dots, \delta_k(f))$$

In case f is holomorphic, this is known to be an equality. And if f is holomorphic, then f^* on $H^{p,p}$, is represented by an integer matrix. The degree δ_p will be the spectral radius of this matrix and thus an algebraic integer. On the other hand, it is a different matter to try to find δ_p for maps which do not satisfy $(f^*)^n = (f^n)^*$ on $H^{p,p}$.

So far, the only nontrivial class on which δ_p has been computed is the monomial maps. Let $A = (a_{i,j})$ be a $k \times k$ matrix with integer entries. We let

$$f_A(x) = \left(\prod_j x_j^{a_{1,j}}, \dots, \prod_j x_j^{a_{k,j}} \right)$$

be the monomial map defined by A . It is easily seen that $f_A^n = f_{A^n}$, so the iterates are easily given. Further, f_A is a well defined rational map of \mathbf{P}^k , and $f_A^*[L_p] = \deg_p(f_A)[L_p]$. In fact, this number is given by an integral: $\deg_p(f) = \int \beta_{k-p} \wedge f^* \beta_p$. The number δ_p would then be the limit of $(\deg_p(f^n))^{1/n}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Although this approach is simple to describe, it seems not to be so simple to carry out.

A useful approach to finding the number δ_p in the case of monomial maps is to change the space $X = \mathbf{P}^k$ to the space $Y = (\mathbf{P}^1)^k = \mathbf{P}^1 \times \dots \times \mathbf{P}^1$, which is birationally equivalent to X . We may let $[x_j : y_j]$ be homogeneous coordinates on the j -th factor of \mathbf{P}^1 . Then a basis for $H^{p,p}$ is given by the classes $L_I = \{x_{i_1} = \dots = x_{i_p} = 0\}$, where $I = (i_1, \dots, i_p)$ is a p -tuple of indices $1 \leq i_j < \dots < i_p \leq k$. (Of course, these are the same as the classes $\{\zeta_{i_1} = \dots = \zeta_{i_p} = 0\}$, where each ζ_j is either x_j or y_j .) We consider $\{L_I\}$ as an ordered basis for $H^{p,p}(Y)$. Given a

matrix $M = (m_{i,j})$ let us use the notation $|M| := (|m_{i,j}|)$ for the matrix consisting of the absolute values of the entries of M . The action of f_A^* on $H^{p,p}(Y)$ now has a simple description (see [9]):

Proposition 7.1. *Let $M := \bigwedge^p A$ denote the p -th exterior power of the matrix A . Then when we write the basis $\langle L_I \rangle$ suitably, the action $f_A^*|_{H^{p,p}}$ is given by $|M|$.*

While we are working with $(\mathbf{P}^1)^k$, it is useful to consider the degree as the matrix $\text{Deg}_p(f)$ which represents $f_{H^{p,p}}^*$. For instance, $A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ -2 & -3 \end{pmatrix}$, so we have $f_A(x_1, x_2) = (x_1/x_2, x_1^{-2}x_2^{-3})$. In homogeneous coordinates, this becomes

$$f_A : [x_0 : x_1 : x_2] \mapsto [x_1^2x_2^3 : x_1^3x_2^2 : x_0^5]$$

so $\text{deg}_1(f_A) = 5$, and $\text{Deg}_1(f_A) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 2 & 3 \end{pmatrix}$.

Now let us write the eigenvalues of A as μ_1, \dots, μ_k , where $|\mu_1| \geq |\mu_2| \geq \dots \geq |\mu_k|$. The following result, obtained independently by C. Favre and E. Wulcan [7], and J-L Lin [9], gives the dynamical degrees:

Theorem 7.2. *The dynamical degrees are $\delta_p(f_A) = |\mu_1 \cdots \mu_p|$, $1 \leq p \leq k$.*

The idea of why the Theorem follows from the Proposition is as follows. The exterior product is $(\bigwedge^p A)(v_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge v_p) := (Av_1) \wedge \cdots \wedge (Av_p)$. If v_i is an eigenvector satisfying $Av_i = \mu_i v_i$, then $(\bigwedge^p A)(v_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge v_p) = (\mu_1 \cdots \mu_p)v_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge v_p$. The size of $\bigwedge^p(A^n)$, and thus $|\bigwedge^p(A^n)|$, can be estimated above and below by $|\mu_1 \cdots \mu_p|^n$, which gives the claimed exponential growth.

References

- [1] J.-Ch. Anglès d’Auriac, J.-M. Maillard, and C. M. Viallet, On the complexity of some birational transformations, *J. Phys. A* 39 (2006), no. 14, 3641–3654.
- [2] E. Bedford and Kyounghee Kim, On the degree growth of birational mappings in higher dimension. *J. Geom. Anal.* 14 (2004), no. 4, 567–596.
- [3] E. Bedford and Kyounghee Kim, Periodicities in linear fractional recurrences: degree growth of birational surface maps. *Michigan Math. J.* 54 (2006), no. 3, 647–670.
- [4] E. Bedford and Kyounghee Kim, Degree growth of matrix inversion: birational maps of symmetric, cyclic matrices. *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst.* 21 (2008), no. 4, 977–1013.
- [5] E. Bedford and T. Truong, Degree complexity of birational maps related to matrix inversion. *Comm. Math. Phys.* 298 (2010), no. 2, 357–368.
- [6] T.C. Dinh and N. Sibony, Une borne superieure pour l’entropie topologique d’une application rationnelle, *Ann. of Math. (2)* 161 (2005), no. 3, 1637–1644. arXiv:math/0303271
- [7] C. Favre and E. Wulcan, Degree growth of monomial maps and McMullen’s polytope algebra, *Indiana U. Math. J.*, to appear. arXiv:1011.2854
- [8] S. Friedland and J. Milnor, Dynamical properties of plane polynomial automorphisms. *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems* 9 (1989), no. 1, 67–99.

- [9] J.-L. Lin, Pulling back cohomology classes and dynamical degrees of monomial maps, *Bull. SMF*, to appear. arXiv:1010.6285
- [10] D. Marcus, *Number Fields*, Springer, 1977.
- [11] A. Russakovskii and B. Shiffman, Value distribution for sequences of rational mappings and complex dynamics. *Indiana Univ. Math. J.*, 46 (1997), no. 3, 897–932.
- [12] T. Truong, Degree complexity of birational maps related to matrix inversion: symmetric case, *Math. Zeitschrift*, to appear. arXiv:1005.4520