

Dual exponential polynomials and linear differential equations

Janne Heittokangas

University of Eastern Finland
Taiyuan University of Technology

Heraklion, July 2018

Joint work with Gary G. Gundersen (University of New Orleans)
and Zhi-Tao Wen (Taiyuan University of Technology)

Solutions are entire functions

The solutions of the linear differential equation

$$f^{(n)} + a_{n-1}(z)f^{(n-1)} + \cdots + a_1(z)f' + a_0(z)f = 0 \quad (1)$$

with entire coefficients $a_0(z), \dots, a_{n-1}(z)$ are entire.

To avoid ambiguity, we assume that $a_0(z) \not\equiv 0$.

Theorems by Wittich and Frei

Wittich's theorem. *The coefficients $a_0(z), \dots, a_{n-1}(z)$ of (1) are polynomials if and only if all solutions of (1) are of finite order.*

Frei's theorem. *Suppose that at least one coefficient in (1) is transcendental, and that $a_j(z)$ is the last transcendental coefficient, that is, the coefficients $a_{j+1}(z), \dots, a_{n-1}(z)$, if applicable, are polynomials. Then (1) possesses at most j linearly independent solutions of finite order.*

Sharpness of Frei's theorem

Example. The functions

$$f_1(z) = e^z + z$$

$$f_2(z) = e^z - 1$$

$$f_3(z) = z + 1$$

are solutions of

$$f''' + (z - 1 + e^{-z}) f'' - (z + 1) f' + f = 0,$$

and any two of them are linearly independent. This illustrates the sharpness of Frei's theorem in the case $n = 3$.

Frei's example in the second order case

The equation

$$f'' + e^{-z}f' + \alpha f = 0$$

where $\alpha \neq 0$ is a constant, has a subnormal solution if and only if $\alpha = -m^2$ for a positive integer m . The subnormal solution is a polynomial of degree m in e^z , that is

$$f(z) = C_0 + C_1 e^z + \cdots + C_m e^{mz},$$

where $C_0, \dots, C_m \in \mathbb{C}$ with $C_m \neq 0$. In fact, $C_j \neq 0$ for $0 \leq j \leq m$ holds, but requires a short proof.

Examples of third order equations

- The function $f(z) = e^{-z} + z - 1$ satisfies

$$f''' + (e^z - z)f'' - zf' + f = 0.$$

- The function $f(z) = e^z - 1$ satisfies

$$f''' - 2f'' + e^{-z}f' + f = 0.$$

- The function $f(z) = 16 - 27e^{-2z} + 27e^{-3z}$ satisfies

$$f''' + (1/9)(9 + 9e^z + 4e^{2z})f'' - 5f' + 3f = 0.$$

Examples of solutions of order two

- The function $f(z) = \exp(z^2) - 1$ solves the following two equations:

$$\begin{aligned}f''' + (\exp(-z^2) - 2z - 1)f'' - 4f' + (4z^2 + 2)f &= 0, \\f''' - 2zf'' - (2 + 2e^{-z^2})f' - 4zf &= 0.\end{aligned}$$

- The function $f(z) = \exp(z^2/2 + z) + z + 1$ is a solution of

$$f''' + (\exp(-z^2/2 - z) - z - 1)f'' - f' - (z + 1)f = 0.$$

Examples of higher order equations

- If $P(z)$ and $Q(z)$ are any polynomials, then $f(z) = e^{-z} + 1$ solves

$$f^{(5)} + P(z)f^{(4)} + (1+P(z))f''' + Q(z)f'' + (Q(z)+2e^z)f' + 2f = 0.$$

- Let n be an even number and μ be an integer such that $0 < \mu < n$. If $a_\mu(z) = e^{-z}$, $a_j = (-1)^j$ for $\mu < j < n$ and $a_j = (-1)^{j+1}$ for $0 \leq j < \mu$, then $f(z) = e^z + 1$ solves the equation

$$f^{(n)} + a_{n-1}f^{(n-1)} + \dots + e^{-z}f^{(\mu)} + \dots + a_1f' + a_0f = 0.$$

Standard form and normalized form

- An exp poly is an entire function of the form

$$f(z) = P_1(z)e^{Q_1(z)} + \dots + P_k(z)e^{Q_k(z)},$$

where P_j 's and Q_j 's are polynomials in z .

- The constant $q = \max\{\deg(Q_j)\}$ is the order of f . If $q = 1$, then f is called an exponential sum.
- The normalized form of f is

$$f(z) = H_0(z) + H_1(z)e^{w_1z^q} + \dots + H_m(z)e^{w_mz^q},$$

where $H_j(z)$'s are either exp polys of order $< q$ or ordinary polys in z , the coefficients w_j are pairwise distinct, and $m \leq k$.

Dual exponential polynomials

- Suppose that f is an exp poly in the normalized form. If the nonzero conjugate leading coefficients $\bar{w}_1, \dots, \bar{w}_m$ of f all lie on some ray $\arg(z) = \theta$, then f is called a *simple exp poly*.
- If g is another simple exponential polynomial such that $\rho(g) = \rho(f)$, where the non-zero conjugate leading coefficients of g all lie on the opposite ray $\arg(z) = \theta + \pi$, then f and g are called *dual exp polys*.
- For example, $f(z) = e^z + e^{2z} + e^{5z}$ and $g(z) = 1 + e^{-4z}$ are dual exp polys.

Second order case

Theorem

Suppose that f is an exp poly solution of

$$f'' + A(z)f' + B(z)f = 0,$$

where $A(z)$ and $B(z)$ are exp polys satisfying $\rho(B) < \rho(A)$. Then f and $A(z)$ are dual exp polys of order $q \in \mathbb{N}$.

In particular, if $\rho(Af') < q$, then $q = 1$ and

$$f(z) = c + \beta e^{\alpha z}, \quad A(z) = \gamma e^{-\alpha z} \quad \text{and} \quad B(z) = \mu,$$

where $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \mu \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$.

General order case

Theorem

Suppose that f is an exp poly solution of

$$f^{(n)} + a_{n-1}(z)f^{(n-1)} + \cdots + a_1(z)f' + a_0(z)f = 0,$$

where $a_j(z)$ are exp polys such that for precisely one index $\mu \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$, we have $\rho(a_j) < \rho(a_\mu)$ for all $j \neq \mu$. Then either f is a polynomial of degree $\leq \mu - 1$ or f and $a_\mu(z)$ are dual exp polys of order $q \in \mathbb{N}$.

In particular, if $\rho(a_\mu f^{(\mu)}) < q$ and $a_j(z)$ are polynomials for $j \neq \mu$, then

$$f(z) = S(z) + Q(z)e^{P(z)} \quad \text{and} \quad a_\mu(z) = R(z)e^{-P(z)},$$

where $P(z), Q(z), R(z), S(z)$ are polynomials and $\deg(P) = q$.

Finite order solutions

Theorem

Suppose that f is a **finite order solution** of

$$f^{(n)} + a_{n-1}(z)f^{(n-1)} + \cdots + a_1(z)f' + a_0(z)f = 0,$$

where $a_\mu(z)$ is a transcendental exp poly for precisely one index $\mu \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$, while $a_j(z)$ ($j \neq \mu$) are poly's. Then either f is a poly of degree $\leq \mu - 1$ or $\rho(f) \geq \rho(a_\mu)$. In addition:

- (a) If $|a_\mu(z)|$ blows up exponentially in a sector S_1 , then f has at most a polynomial growth in S_1 .
- (b) If $|a_\mu(z)|$ decays to zero in a sector S_2 , then

$$\log^+ |f(z)| = O \left(|z|^{1 + \max_{j \neq \mu} \left\{ \frac{\deg(a_j)}{n-j} \right\}} \right), \quad z \in S_2.$$

Tools for proofs

- General growth estimates for solutions
- Phragmén-Lindelöf principle
- Estimates for log derivatives and inverse log derivatives
- Steinmetz' result for quotients of exp polynomials
- Careful treatment of indicator diagrams
- Borel's lemma