

1 Journal of Topology and Analysis  
 2 (2019)  
 3 © World Scientific Publishing Company  
 4 DOI: 10.1142/S1793525319500043



5 **Generalized Euler classes, differential**  
 6 **forms and commutative DGAs**

7 Alexander Gorokhovsky  
 8 *Department of Mathematics*  
 9 *University of Colorado, Boulder, USA*  
 10 *alexander.gorokhovsky@colorado.edu*

11 Dennis Sullivan  
 12 *Department of Mathematics, SUNY, Stony Brook, USA*  
 13 *dennis@math.sunysb.edu*

14 Zhizhang Xie\*  
 15 *Department of Mathematics, Texas A&M University, USA*  
 16 *xie@math.tamu.edu*

Received 15 April 2017

Accepted 22 May 2017

Published

AQ: Please provide  
 the Keywords and  
 AMS codes.

20 In the context of commutative differential graded algebras over  $\mathbb{Q}$ , we show that an iteration  
 21 of “odd spherical fibration” creates a “total space” commutative differential graded  
 22 algebra with only odd degree cohomology. Then we show for such a commutative differential  
 23 graded algebra that, for any of its “fibrations” with “fiber” of finite cohomological  
 24 dimension, the induced map on cohomology is injective.

25 **1. Introduction**

26 In geometry, one would like to know which rational cohomology classes in a base  
 27 space can be annihilated by pulling up to a fibration over the base with finite  
 28 dimensional fiber. One knows that if  $[x]$  is a  $2n$ -dimensional rational cohomology  
 29 class on a finite dimensional CW complex  $X$ , there is a  $(2n - 1)$ -sphere fibration  
 30 over  $X$  so that  $[x]$  pulls up to zero in the cohomology groups of the total space.  
 31 In fact there is a complex vector bundle  $V$  over  $X$  of rank  $n$  whose Euler class is  
 32 a multiple of  $[x]$ . Thus this multiple is the obstruction to a nonzero section of  $V$ ,  
 33 and vanishes when pulled up to the part of  $V$  away from the zero section, which  
 34 deformation retracts to the unit sphere bundle.

35 Rational homotopy theory provides a natural framework to study this type  
 36 of questions, where topological spaces are replaced by commutative differential

\*Corresponding author

2 *A. Gorokhovsky, D. Sullivan & Z. Xie*

1 graded algebras (commutative DGAs) and topological fibrations replaced by algebraic fibrations. This will be the context in which we work throughout the paper.  
2  
3 The reader can read more in [2, 4, 6] about the topological meaning of the results  
4 of this paper from the perspective of rational homotopy theory of manifolds and  
5 general spaces.

6 The first theorem (Theorem 3.3) of the paper states that the above construction,  
7 when iterated, creates a “total space” commutative DGA with only odd degree  
8 cohomology.

9 **Theorem A.** *For each commutative DGA  $(A, d)$ , there exists an iterated odd algebraic spherical fibration  $(TA, d)$  over  $(A, d)$  so that all even cohomology [except dimension zero] vanishes.*  
10  
11

12 Our next theorem (Theorem 5.7) then limits the odd degree classes that can be  
13 annihilated by fibrations whose fiber has finite cohomological dimension.

**Theorem B.** *Let  $(B, d)$  be a connected commutative DGA such that  $H^{2k}(B) = 0$  for all  $0 < 2k \leq 2N$ . If  $\iota : (B, d) \rightarrow (B \otimes \Lambda V, d)$  is an algebraic fibration whose algebraic fiber has finite cohomological dimension, then the induced map*

$$\iota_* : \bigoplus_{i \leq 2N} H^i(B) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i \leq 2N} H^i(B \otimes \Lambda V)$$

14 *is injective.*

15 It follows from the two theorems above that the iterated odd spherical fibration construction is universal for cohomology classes that pull back to zero by any fibrations whose fiber has finite cohomological dimension.  
16  
17

18 The paper is organized as follows. In Sec. 2, we recall some definitions from rational homotopy theory. In Sec. 3, we use iterated algebraic spherical fibrations to prove Theorem A. In Sec. 4, we define bouquets of algebraic spheres and analyze their minimal models. In Sec. 5, we prove Theorem B.  
19  
20  
21

## 22 **2. Preliminaries**

23 We recall some definitions related to commutative differential graded algebras. For more details, see [2, 4, 6].  
24

25 **Definition 2.1.** A commutative differential graded algebra (commutative DGA) is a graded algebra  $B = \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} B^i$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$  together with a differential  $d : B^i \rightarrow B^{i+1}$  such that  $d^2 = 0$ ,  $xy = (-1)^{ij}yx$ , and  $d(xy) = (dx)y + (-1)^i x(dy)$ , for all  $x \in B^i$  and  $y \in B^j$ .  
26  
27  
28

29 **Definition 2.2.** (1) A commutative DGA  $(B, d)$  is called connected if  $B^0 = \mathbb{Q}$ .  
30 (2) A commutative DGA  $(B, d)$  is called simply connected if  $(B, d)$  is connected and  $H^1(B) = 0$ .  
31

- 1 (3) A commutative DGA  $(B, d)$  is of finite type if  $H^k(B)$  is finite dimensional for  
 2 all  $k \geq 0$ .  
 3 (4) A commutative DGA  $(B, d)$  has finite cohomological dimension  $d$ , if  $d$  is the  
 4 smallest integer such that  $H^k(B) = 0$  for all  $k > d$ .

5 **Definition 2.3.** A connected commutative DGA  $(B, d)$  is called a model algebra  
 6 if as a commutative graded algebra it is free on a set of generators  $\{x_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$  in  
 7 positive degrees, and these generators can be partially ordered so that  $dx_\alpha$  is an  
 8 element in the algebra generated by  $x_\beta$  with  $\beta < \alpha$ .

**Definition 2.4.** A model algebra  $(B, d)$  is called minimal if for each generator  $x_\alpha$ ,  
 $dx_\alpha$  has no linear term, that is,

$$d(B) \subset B^+ \cdot B^+, \quad \text{where } B^+ = \bigoplus_{k>0} B^k.$$

9 **Remark 2.5.** For every connected commutative DGA  $(A, d_A)$ , there exists a min-  
 10 imal model algebra  $(\mathcal{M}(A), d)$  and a morphism  $\varphi : (\mathcal{M}(A), d) \rightarrow (A, d_A)$  such that  
 11  $\varphi$  induces an isomorphism on cohomology.  $(\mathcal{M}(A), d)$  is called a minimal model of  
 12  $(A, d)$ , and is unique up to isomorphism. See p. 288 of [6] for more details, cf. [2, 4].

**Definition 2.6.** (i) An algebraic fibration (also called *relative model algebra*) is  
 an inclusion of commutative DGAs  $(B, d) \hookrightarrow (B \otimes \Lambda V, d)$  with  $V = \bigoplus_{k \geq 1} V^k$  a  
 graded vector space; moreover,  $V = \bigcup_{n=0} V(n)$ , where  $V(0) \subseteq V(1) \subseteq V(2) \subseteq$   
 $\dots$  is an increasing sequence of graded subspaces of  $V$  such that

$$d : V(0) \rightarrow B \quad \text{and} \quad d : V(n) \rightarrow B \otimes \Lambda V(n-1), \quad n \geq 1,$$

13 where  $\Lambda V$  is the free commutative DGA generated by  $V$ .

(ii) An algebraic fibration is called minimal if

$$\text{Im}(d) \subset B^+ \otimes \Lambda V + B \otimes \Lambda^{\geq 2} V.$$

Let  $\iota : (B, d) \hookrightarrow (B \otimes \Lambda V, d)$  be an algebraic fibration. Suppose  $B$  is connected.  
 Consider the canonical augmentation morphism  $\varepsilon : (B, d) \rightarrow (\mathbb{Q}, 0)$  defined by  
 $\varepsilon(B^+) = 0$ . It naturally induces a commutative DGA:

$$(\Lambda V, \bar{d}) := \mathbb{Q} \otimes_B (B \otimes \Lambda V, d).$$

14 We call  $(\Lambda V, \bar{d})$  the algebraic fiber of the given algebraic fibration.

### 15 3. Iterated Odd Spherical Algebraic Fibrations

16 In this section, we show that for each commutative DGA, there exists an iterated  
 17 odd algebraic spherical fibration over it such that the total commutative DGA has  
 18 only odd degree cohomology.

Let  $(B, d)$  be a connected commutative DGA. An *odd algebraic spherical fibra-*  
*tion* over  $(B, d)$  is an inclusion of commutative DGAs of the form

$$\varphi : (B, d) \rightarrow (B \otimes \Lambda(x), d),$$

4 *A. Gorokhovsky, D. Sullivan & Z. Xie*

1 such that  $dx \in B$ , where  $x$  has degree  $2k - 1$  and  $\Lambda(x)$  is the free commutative  
2 graded algebra generated by  $x$ . The element  $e = dx \in B$  is called the Euler class of  
3 this algebraic spherical fibration.

4 **Proposition 3.1.** *Let  $(B, d)$  be a commutative DGA. For every even dimensional*  
5 *class  $\beta \in H^{2k}(B)$  with  $k > 0$ , there exists an odd algebraic spherical fibration*  
6  *$\varphi : (B, d) \rightarrow (B \otimes \Lambda(x), d)$  such that its Euler class is equal to  $\beta$  and the kernel of*  
7 *the map  $\varphi_* : H^{i+2k}(B) \rightarrow H^{i+2k}(B \otimes \Lambda(x))$  is  $H^i(B) \cdot \beta = \{a \cdot \beta \mid a \in H^i(B)\}$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $(B \otimes \Lambda(x), d)$  be the commutative DGA obtained from  $(B, d)$  by adding  
a generator  $x$  of degree  $2k - 1$  and defining its differential to be  $dx = \beta$ . We have  
the following short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow (B, d) \rightarrow (B \otimes \Lambda(x), d) \rightarrow (B \otimes (\mathbb{Q} \cdot x), d \otimes \text{Id}) \rightarrow 0,$$

which induces a long exact sequence

$$\dots \rightarrow H^{i-1}(B \otimes (\mathbb{Q} \cdot x)) \rightarrow H^i(B) \rightarrow H^i(B \otimes \Lambda(x)) \rightarrow H^i(B \otimes (\mathbb{Q} \cdot x)) \rightarrow \dots$$

Applying the identification  $H^{i+(2k-1)}(B \otimes (\mathbb{Q} \cdot x)) \cong H^i(B)$ , we obtain the following  
Gysin sequence

$$\dots \rightarrow H^i(B) \xrightarrow{\cup e} H^{i+2k}(B) \xrightarrow{\varphi_*} H^{i+2k}(B \otimes \Lambda(x)) \xrightarrow{\partial_{i+1}} H^{i+1}(B) \rightarrow \dots$$

8 This finishes the proof. □

9 **Definition 3.2.** An *iterated odd algebraic spherical fibration* over  $(B, d)$  is algebraic  
10 fibration  $(B, d) \hookrightarrow (B \otimes \Lambda V, d)$  such that  $V^k = 0$  for  $k$  even. This fibration is called  
11 *finitely iterated odd algebraic spherical fibration* if  $\dim V < \infty$ .

12 Now let us prove the main result of this section.

13 **Theorem 3.3.** *For each commutative DGA  $(A, d)$ , there exists an iterated odd alge-*  
14 *braic spherical fibration  $(TA, d)$  over  $(A, d)$  such that all even cohomology [except*  
15 *dimension zero] vanishes.*

16 **Proof.** We will construct  $TA$  by induction. In the following, for notational sim-  
17 plicity, we shall omit the differential  $d$  from our notation.

18 Let  $\mathcal{A}_0 = A$ . Suppose we have defined the iterated odd algebraic spherical  
19 fibration  $\mathcal{A}_{m-1}$  over  $A$ . Fix a basis of  $H^{2k}(\mathcal{A}_{m-1})$  for each  $k > 0$ . Denote the union  
20 of all these bases by  $\{a_i\}_{i \in I}$ . Define  $W_{m-1}$  to be a  $\mathbb{Q}$  vector space with basis  $\{x_i\}_{i \in I}$ ,  
21 where  $|x_i| = |a_i| - 1$ . We define  $\mathcal{A}_m$  to be the iterated odd algebraic spherical  
22 fibration  $\mathcal{A}_{m-1} \otimes \Lambda(W_{m-1})$  over  $\mathcal{A}_{m-1}$  with  $dx_i = a_i$  for all  $i \in I$ . The inclusion  
23 map  $\iota : \mathcal{A}_{m-1} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{A}_m$  induces the zero map  $\iota_* = 0 : H^{2k}(\mathcal{A}_{m-1}) \rightarrow H^{2k}(\mathcal{A}_m)$  for  
24 all  $k > 0$ . By construction,  $\mathcal{A}_m$  is also an iterated odd algebraic spherical fibration.

25 Finally, we define  $TA$  to be the direct limit of  $\mathcal{A}_m$  under the inclusions  $\mathcal{A}_m \hookrightarrow$   
26  $\mathcal{A}_{m+1}$ . Clearly,  $TA$  is an iterated odd algebraic spherical fibration over  $A$ . More

1 precisely, let  $V = \bigcup_{i=0}^{\infty} W_i$ . We have  $TA = A \otimes \Lambda V$  with the filtration of  $V$  given by  
 2  $V(n) = \bigcup_{i=0}^n W_i$ . Moreover, we have  $H^{2k}(TA) = 0$  for all  $2k > 0$ . This completes  
 3 the proof.  $\square$

4 **Remark 3.4.** If an element  $\alpha \in H^\bullet(A)$  maps to zero in  $H^\bullet(TA)$ , then there exists  
 5 a subalgebra  $S_\alpha$  of  $TA$  such that  $S_\alpha$  is a *finitely* iterated odd algebraic spherical  
 6 fibration over  $A$  and  $\alpha$  maps to zero in  $H^\bullet(S_\alpha)$ .

#### 7 4. Bouquets of Algebraic Spheres

8 In this section, we introduce a notion of bouquets of algebraic spheres. It is an  
 9 algebraic analogue of usual bouquets of spheres in topology.

**Definition 4.1.** For a given set of generators  $X = \{x_i\}$  with  $x_i$  having odd degree  
 $|x_i|$ , we define the bouquet of odd algebraic spheres labeled by  $X$  to be the following  
 commutative DGA

$$\mathcal{S}(X) = \left( \bigwedge_{x_i \in X} \mathbb{Q}[x_i] \right) / \langle x_i x_j = 0 \mid \text{all } i, j \rangle$$

10 with the differential  $d = 0$ .

11 **Proposition 4.2.** *Let  $\mathcal{S}(X)$  be a bouquet of odd algebraic spheres, and  $\mathcal{M}(X) =$   
 12  $(\Lambda V, d)$  be its minimal model. Then  $\mathcal{M}(X)$  satisfies the following properties:*

- 13 (i)  $\mathcal{M}$  has no even degree generators, that is,  $V$  does not contain even degree  
 14 elements;  
 15 (ii) each element in  $H^{\geq 1}(\mathcal{M}(X))$  is represented by a generator, that is, an element  
 16 in  $V$ .

17 **Proof.** This is a special case of Koszul duality theory, cf. [5, Chaps. 3, 7 and 13].  
 18 Since  $\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{S}(X)$  has zero differential, we may forget its differential and view it as a  
 19 graded commutative algebra. An explicit construction of a minimal model of  $\mathcal{S}$   
 20 is given as follows: first take the Koszul dual coalgebra  $\mathcal{S}^i$  of  $\mathcal{S}$ ; then apply the cobar  
 21 construction to  $\mathcal{S}^i$ , and denote the resulting commutative DGA by  $\Omega\mathcal{S}^i$ . By Koszul  
 22 duality,  $\mathcal{M}(X) := \Omega\mathcal{S}^i$  is a minimal model of  $\mathcal{S}$ .

More precisely, set  $W = \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} W_i$  to be the graded vector space spanned by  
 $X$ . Let  $sW$  (resp.  $s^{-1}W$ ) be the suspension (resp. desuspension) of  $W$ , that is,  
 $(sW)_{i-1} = W_i$  (resp.  $(s^{-1}W)_i = W_{i-1}$ ). Let  $\mathcal{L}^c = \mathcal{L}^c(sW)$  be the cofree Lie coalge-  
 bra generated by  $sW$ . More explicitly, let  $T^c(sW) = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} (sW)^{\otimes n}$  be the tensor  
 coalgebra, and  $T^c(sW)^+ = \bigoplus_{n \geq 1} (sW)^{\otimes n}$ . The coproduct on  $T^c(sW)$  naturally  
 induces a Lie cobracket on  $T^c(sW)$ . Then we have  $\mathcal{L}^c(sW) = T^c(sW)^+ / T^c(sW)^+ *  
 T^c(sW)^+$ , where  $*$  denotes the shuffle multiplication. With the above notation, we  
 have  $\mathcal{S}^i \cong \mathcal{L}^c$ . The cobar construction of  $\mathcal{L}^c$  is given explicitly by

$$\mathbb{Q} \rightarrow s^{-1}\mathcal{L}^c \xrightarrow{d} \Lambda^2(s^{-1}\mathcal{L}^c) \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \Lambda^n(s^{-1}\mathcal{L}^c) \rightarrow \dots$$

6 *A. Gorokhovsky, D. Sullivan & Z. Xie*

1 with the differential  $d$  determined by the Lie cobracket of  $\mathcal{L}^c$ . Now the desired  
2 properties of  $\mathcal{M}(X)$  follow from this explicit construction.  $\square$

3 **Remark 4.3.** In the special case of a bouquet of odd algebraic spheres where  
4 the cohomology of a commutative DGA model is that of a circle or the first Betti  
5 number is zero, this was discussed by Baues [1, Corollary 1.2] and by Halperin and  
6 Stasheff [3, Theorem 1.5].

## 7 5. Main Theorem

8 In this section, we show that if a commutative DGA has cohomology, up to a certain  
9 degree, isomorphic to that of a bouquet of odd algebraic spheres, then its minimal  
10 model is isomorphic to that of the bouquet of odd algebraic spheres, up to that  
11 given degree. Then we apply it to prove that if a commutative DGA has only odd  
12 degree cohomology up to a certain degree, then all nonzero cohomology classes up  
13 to that degree will never pull back to zero by any algebraic fibration whose fiber  
14 has finite cohomological dimension.

15 Suppose  $B$  is a connected commutative DGA of finite type such that  $H^{2k}(B) = 0$   
16 for all  $0 < 2k \leq 2N$ . Let  $X_i$  be a basis of  $H^i(B)$  and  $X = \bigcup_{i=1}^{2N+1} X_i$ . Let  $M =$   
17  $\mathcal{M}(X)$  be the bouquet of odd algebraic spheres labeled by  $X$  from Definition 4.1.  
18 Then we have  $H^i(M) \cong H^i(B)$  for all  $0 \leq i \leq 2N$ . Let  $M_k \subset M$  be the subalgebra  
19 generated by the generators of degree  $\leq k$ .

20 **Lemma 5.1.** *Let  $k$  be an odd integer. Then  $H^{k+2}(M_k) = H^{k+1}(M_k) = 0$ .*

21 **Proof.**  $H^{k+1}(M_k) = 0$  as  $H^{k+1}(M_k) \rightarrow H^{k+1}(M) = 0$  is injective.

22 By Proposition 4.2 above,  $M$  has no even-degree generators. In particular, we  
23 have  $M_k = M_{k+1}$ . Moreover,  $H^{\geq 1}(M)$  is spanned by odd-degree generators. From  
24 the first observation it follows that the map  $H^{k+2}(M_k) \rightarrow H^{k+2}(M)$  is injective,  
25 and from the second that its range is 0.  $\square$

26 It follows that for an odd  $k$ , we have  $M_{k+2} = M_k \otimes \Lambda(V[k+2])$  as an algebra,  
27 where the vector space  $V = V_1 \oplus V_2$  is placed at degree  $(k+2)$ , with  $V_1 \cong H^{k+2}(M)$   
28 and  $V_2 = H^{k+3}(M_k)$ . The differential can be described as follows. It suffices to  
29 define  $d : V \rightarrow M_k$ . We define  $d = 0$  on  $V_1$ . To define  $d$  on  $V_2$ , let us choose a basis  
30  $\{a_i\}_{i \in I}$  of  $H^{k+3}(M_k)$ . Let  $\{\tilde{a}_i\}_{i \in I}$  be the corresponding basis of  $V_2$ . Then we define  
31  $d\tilde{a}_i = a_i$ .

32 **Proposition 5.2.** *For each odd integer  $k \leq 2N$ , there exists a morphism  $\varphi_k :$   
33  $M_k \rightarrow B$  such that the induced map on cohomology  $H^i(M_k) \cong H^i(M) \rightarrow H^i(B)$  is  
34 an isomorphism for  $i \leq k$ .*

35 **Proof.** We construct the maps  $\varphi_k$  by induction. By the previous lemma and the  
36 fact that  $M$  has no even degree generators, it suffices to define  $\varphi_k$  for odd integers  
37  $k$ . The case where  $k = 1$  is clear.

1 Now assume that we have constructed  $\varphi_n$ , with  $n$  an odd integer  $\leq 2N - 3$ .  
 2 We shall extend  $\varphi_n$  to a morphism  $\varphi_{n+2}$  on  $M_{n+2} = M_n \otimes \Lambda(V[n+2])$ , where the  
 3 vector space  $V = V_1 \oplus V_2$  is placed at degree  $(n+2)$ , with  $V_1 \cong H^{n+2}(M)$  and  $V_2 =$   
 4  $H^{n+3}(M_n)$ . It suffices to define  $\varphi_{n+2}$  on  $V$ . Let  $\{b_j\}_{j \in J}$  be a basis of  $H^{n+2}(B)$ . Since  
 5  $H^{n+2}(M) \cong H^{n+2}(B)$ , let  $\{\tilde{b}_j\}_{j \in J}$  be the corresponding basis of  $V_1$ . We define  $\varphi_{n+2}$   
 6 on  $V_1$  by setting  $\varphi_{n+2}(\tilde{b}_j) = b_j$ . Similarly, choose a basis  $\{c_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in K}$  of  $H^{n+3}(M_n)$ ,  
 7 and let  $\{\tilde{c}_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in K}$  be the corresponding basis of  $V_2$ . Since  $H^{n+3}(B) = 0$ , for each  
 8  $c_\lambda \in M_n$ , there exists  $\theta_\lambda \in B$  such that  $\varphi_n(c_\lambda) = d\theta_\lambda$ . We define  $\varphi_{n+2}$  on  $V_2$  by set-  
 9 ting  $\varphi_{n+2}(\tilde{c}_\lambda) = \theta_\lambda$ . By construction, the induced map  $(\varphi_{n+2})_*$  on  $H^i$  agrees with  
 10  $(\varphi_n)_*$  for  $i \leq n+1$  and  $(\varphi_{n+2})_*$  is an isomorphism on  $H^{2n+2}$ . This finishes the proof.  
 11 □

12 Now let  $\mathcal{M}_B$  be a minimal model of  $B$  and  $(\mathcal{M}_B)_k$  be the subalgebra generated  
 13 by the generators of degree  $\leq k$ . Combining the above results, we have proved the  
 14 following proposition.

15 **Proposition 5.3.** *The commutative DGAs  $(\mathcal{M}_B)_{2N-1}$  and  $M_{2N-1}$  are isomor-*  
 16 *phic.*

17 Moreover, we have the following result, which is an immediate consequence of  
 18 the construction in Proposition 5.2.

19 **Corollary 5.4.** *Let  $B$  be a connected commutative DGA such that  $H^{2i}(B) = 0$  for*  
 20 *all  $0 < 2i \leq 2N$ . Let  $\alpha$  be a nonzero class in  $H^{2k+1}(\mathcal{M}_B)$  with  $2k+1 < 2N$ . Then*  
 21 *there exists a morphism  $\psi : \mathcal{M}_B \rightarrow (\Lambda(\eta), 0)$  such that  $\psi_*(\alpha) = [\eta]$ , where  $\eta$  has*  
 22 *degree  $2k+1$  and  $\Lambda(\eta)$  is the free commutative graded algebra generated by  $\eta$ .*

23 **Proof.** From the description of the minimal model  $\mathcal{M}_B$  of  $B$ , it follows that  $\mathcal{M}_B$   
 24 has a set of generators such that all the cohomology groups up to degree  $(2N - 1)$   
 25 is generated by the cohomology classes of these generators; moreover we can choose  
 26 these generators so that the given class  $\alpha$  is represented by a generator, say,  $a$ . Then  
 27 we define  $\psi$  by mapping  $a$  to  $\eta$  and the other generators to 0. □

28 An inductive application of the same argument above proves the following.

29 **Proposition 5.5.** *Suppose  $(C, d)$  is a connected commutative DGA with  $H^{2k}(C) =$*   
 30 *0 for all  $2k > 0$ . Let  $X_i$  be a basis of  $H^i(C)$  and  $X_C = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} X_i$ . Then the bouquet*  
 31 *of odd algebraic spheres  $\mathcal{M}(X_C)$  is a minimal model of  $(C, d)$ .*

32 Applying the above proposition to the commutative DGA  $(TA, d)$  from Theo-  
 33 rem 3.3 immediately gives us the following corollary.

34 **Corollary 5.6.** *With the same notation as above, the minimal model of  $(TA, d)$  is*  
 35 *isomorphic to a bouquet of odd algebraic spheres.*

8 *A. Gorokhovsky, D. Sullivan & Z. Xie*

1 Before proving the main theorem of this section, we shall prove the following  
2 special case first.

3 **Theorem 5.7.** *Let  $(\Lambda(x), d)$  be the commutative DGA generated by  $x$  of degree*  
4  *$2k + 1 \geq 1$  such that  $dx = 0$ . For any algebraic fibration  $\varphi : (\Lambda(x), d) \rightarrow (\Lambda(x) \otimes$*   
5  *$\Lambda V, d)$  whose algebraic fiber  $(\Lambda V, \bar{d})$  has finite cohomological dimension, the map*  
6  *$\varphi_* : H^j(\Lambda(x)) \rightarrow H^j(\Lambda(x) \otimes \Lambda V)$  is injective for all  $j$ .*

7 **Proof.** The case where  $2k + 1 = 1$  is trivial. Let us assume  $2k + 1 > 1$  in the rest  
8 of the proof.

9 Let  $\varphi : (\Lambda V, d) \hookrightarrow (\Lambda(x) \otimes \Lambda V, d)$  be any algebraic fibration whose algebraic fiber  
10 has finite cohomological dimension. It suffices to show that  $\varphi_* : H^{2k+1}(\Lambda(x)) \rightarrow$   
11  $H^{2k+1}(\Lambda(x) \otimes \Lambda V)$  is injective, since the induced map  $\varphi_*$  on  $H^i$  is automatically  
12 injective for  $i \neq 2k + 1$ .

Now suppose to the contrary that

$$\varphi_*(x) = 0 \quad \text{in } H^{2k+1}(\Lambda(x) \otimes \Lambda V).$$

13 Then we have  $x = d(w \cdot x + v)$  for some  $w, v \in \Lambda V$ . By inspecting the degrees on  
14 both sides, one sees that  $w = 0$ . Therefore, we have  $x = dv$  for some  $v \in \Lambda V$ . It  
15 follows that  $\bar{d}v = 0$ .

Now let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  be the smallest integer such that  $[v^n] = 0$  in  $H^\bullet(\Lambda V, \bar{d})$ . Such an  
integer exists since  $(\Lambda V, \bar{d})$  has finite cohomological dimension. Then there exists  
 $u \in \Lambda V$  such that  $v^n = \bar{d}u$ . Equivalently, we have

$$v^n = u_0 \cdot x + du,$$

for some  $u_0 \in \Lambda V$ . It follows that

$$0 = d^2u = d(v^n - u_0 \cdot x) = nv^{n-1} \cdot x - (du_0) \cdot x.$$

16 Therefore,  $v^{n-1} = \frac{1}{n}du_0$ , which implies that  $[v^{n-1}] = 0$  in  $H^\bullet(\Lambda V, \bar{d})$ . We arrive at  
17 a contradiction. This completes the proof.  $\square$

18 Now let us prove the main result of this section.

**Theorem 5.8.** *Let  $(B, d)$  be a connected commutative DGA such that  $H^{2k}(B) = 0$*   
*for all  $0 < 2k \leq 2N$ . If  $\iota : (B, d) \rightarrow (B \otimes \Lambda V, d)$  is an algebraic fibration whose*  
*algebraic fiber has finite cohomological dimension, then the induced map*

$$\iota_* : \bigoplus_{i < 2N} H^i(B) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i < 2N} H^i(B \otimes \Lambda V)$$

19 *is injective.*

20 **Proof.** Let  $f : (\mathcal{M}_B, d) \rightarrow (B, d)$  be a minimal model algebra of  $B$ .



**Claim.** For any algebraic fibration  $\iota : (B, d) \rightarrow (B \otimes \Lambda V, d)$ , there exist an algebraic fibration  $\varphi : (\mathcal{M}_B, d) \rightarrow (\mathcal{M}_B \otimes \Lambda V, d)$  and a quasi-isomorphism  $g : (\mathcal{M}_B \otimes \Lambda V, d) \rightarrow (B \otimes \Lambda V, d)$  such that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{M}_B & \xrightarrow{f} & B \\ \varphi \downarrow & & \downarrow \iota \\ \mathcal{M}_B \otimes \Lambda V & \xrightarrow{g} & B \otimes \Lambda V. \end{array}$$

1 We construct  $\varphi$  and  $g$  inductively. Consider the filtration  $V = \cup_{n=0}^{\infty} V(k)$  from  
 2 Definition 2.6. Choose a basis  $\{x_i\}_{i \in I_0}$  of  $V(0)$ . Let  $x = x_i$  be a basis element. If  
 3  $dx = a \in B$ , then  $da = d^2x = 0$ . It follows that there exists  $\tilde{a} \in \mathcal{M}_B$  such that  
 4  $f(\tilde{a}) = a + dc$  for some  $c \in B$ . We define an algebraic fibration  $\varphi_0 : (\mathcal{M}_B, d) \hookrightarrow$   
 5  $(\mathcal{M}_B \otimes \Lambda(x), d)$  by setting  $dx = \tilde{a}$ . Moreover, we extend  $f : (\mathcal{M}_B, d) \rightarrow (B, d)$   
 6 to a morphism (of commutative DGAs)  $g_0 : (\mathcal{M}_B \otimes \Lambda(x), d) \rightarrow (B \otimes \Lambda(x), d)$  by  
 7 setting  $g(x) = x + c$ . By the Gysin sequence from Sec. 3, we see that  $g_0$  is a quasi-  
 8 isomorphism. Now apply the same construction to all basis elements  $\{x_i\}_{i \in I_0}$ . We  
 9 still denote the resulting morphisms by  $\varphi_0 : (\mathcal{M}_B, d) \rightarrow (\mathcal{M}_B \otimes \Lambda(V(0)), d)$  and  
 10  $g_0 : (\mathcal{M}_B \otimes \Lambda(V(0)), d) \rightarrow (B \otimes \Lambda(V(0)), d)$ .

Now suppose we have constructed an algebraic fibration

$$\varphi_k : (\mathcal{M}_B \otimes \Lambda(V(k-1)), d) \rightarrow (\mathcal{M}_B \otimes \Lambda(V(k)), d)$$

and a quasi-isomorphism  $g_k : (\mathcal{M}_B \otimes \Lambda(V(k)), d) \rightarrow (B \otimes \Lambda(V(k)), d)$  such that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{M}_B \otimes \Lambda(V(k-1)) & \xrightarrow{g_{k-1}} & B \otimes \Lambda(V(k-1)) \\ \varphi_k \downarrow & & \downarrow \iota \\ \mathcal{M}_B \otimes \Lambda(V(k)) & \xrightarrow{g_k} & B \otimes \Lambda(V(k)) \end{array}$$

11 Let  $\{y_i\}_{i \in I_{k+1}}$  be a basis of  $V(k+1)$  that extends the basis  $\{x_i\}_{i \in I_k}$  of  $V(k) \subseteq$   
 12  $V(k+1)$ . Apply the same construction above to elements in  $\{y_i\}_{i \in I_{k+1}} \setminus \{x_i\}_{i \in I_k}$ ,  
 13 but with  $B \otimes \Lambda(V(k))$  in place of  $B$ , and  $\mathcal{M}_B \otimes \Lambda(V(k))$  in place of  $\mathcal{M}_B$ .

14 We define  $(\mathcal{M}_B \otimes \Lambda V, d)$  to be the direct limit of  $(\mathcal{M}_B \otimes \Lambda(V(k)), d)$  with  
 15 respect to the morphisms  $\varphi_k : (\mathcal{M}_B \otimes \Lambda(V(k-1)), d) \rightarrow (\mathcal{M}_B \otimes \Lambda(V(k)), d)$ . We define  $\varphi$  to be the  
 16 natural inclusion morphism  $(\mathcal{M}_B, d) \hookrightarrow (\mathcal{M}_B \otimes \Lambda V, d)$ . The morphisms  $g_k$  together  
 17 also induce a quasi-isomorphism  $g : (\mathcal{M}_B \otimes \Lambda V, d) \rightarrow (B \otimes \Lambda V, d)$ , which makes the  
 18 diagram in the claim commutative. This finishes the proof of the claim.

Now assume to the contrary that there exists  $0 \neq \alpha \in H^{2k+1}(B)$  with  $2k+1 <$   
 $2N$  such that  $\iota_*(\alpha) = 0$ . Let  $\tilde{\alpha} \in H^{2k+1}(\mathcal{M}_B)$  be the class such that  $f_*(\tilde{\alpha}) =$   
 $\alpha$ . In particular, we have  $\varphi_*(\tilde{\alpha}) = 0$ . By Corollary 5.4, there exists a morphism  
 $\psi : (\mathcal{M}_B, d) \rightarrow (\Lambda(\eta), 0)$  such that  $\psi_*(\tilde{\alpha}) = \eta$ . Now let

$$\tau : (\Lambda(\eta), 0) \rightarrow (\Lambda(\eta) \otimes \Lambda V, d) = (\Lambda(\eta) \otimes_{\mathcal{M}_B} (\mathcal{M}_B \otimes \Lambda V), d)$$

10 A. Gorokhovsky, D. Sullivan & Z. Xie

be the push-forward algebraic fibration of  $\varphi : (\mathcal{M}_B, d) \rightarrow (\mathcal{M}_B \otimes \Lambda V, d)$ . It follows that

$$\tau_*(\eta) = \tau_*\psi_*(\tilde{\alpha}) = (\psi \otimes 1)_*\varphi_*(\tilde{\alpha}) = 0$$

1 which contradicts Theorem 5.7. This completes the proof. □

## 2 Acknowledgments

3 The work in this paper started during a visit of the authors at IHES. The authors  
4 would like to thank IHES for its hospitality and for providing a great environment.

5 A.G. was partially supported by NSF grant DMS-0900968. D.S. was partially  
6 supported by the Einstein Chair Research Fund and CUNY Graduate Center. Z.X.  
7 was partially supported by NSF grant DMS-1500823.

## 8 References

- 9 1. H. J. Baues, Rationale homotopietypen, *Manuscripta Math.* **20** (1977) 119–131.
- 10 2. Y. Félix, S. Halperin and J.-C. Thomas, *Rational Homotopy Theory*, Graduate Texts  
11 in Mathematics, Vol. 205 (Springer-Verlag, 2001).
- 12 3. S. Halperin and J. Stasheff, Obstructions to homotopy equivalences, *Adv. Math.* **32**  
13 (1979) 233–279.
- 14 4. K. Hess, Rational homotopy theory: A brief introduction, in *Interactions Between*  
15 *Homotopy Theory and Algebra*, Contemp. Math., Vol. 436 (Amer. Math. Soc., 2007),  
16 pp. 175–202.
- 17 5. J.-L. Loday and B. Vallette, *Algebraic Operads*, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wis-  
18 senschaften, Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences, Vol. 346 (Springer,  
19 2012).
- 20 6. D. Sullivan, Infinitesimal computations in topology, *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ.*  
21 *Math.* **47** (1977) 269–331.