

# The Killing form and Cartan's criteria

MAT 552

March 30, 2020

## Brief review: solvable and semisimple

Our current topic is the structure of (abstract) Lie algebras. We had introduced two important classes:

1.  $\mathfrak{g}$  is **solvable** if the chain

$$\mathfrak{g} \supseteq [\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}] \supseteq [[\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}], [\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}]] \supseteq \cdots$$

goes to zero. Example: upper triangular matrices

2.  $\mathfrak{g}$  is **semisimple** if the only solvable ideal is the zero ideal.  
Example:  $\mathfrak{sl}(n, \mathbb{C})$

## Brief review: radical

The **radical**  $\text{rad}(\mathfrak{g})$  is the largest solvable ideal in  $\mathfrak{g}$ .

- ▶ The quotient  $\mathfrak{g}/\text{rad}(\mathfrak{g})$  is semisimple.
- ▶ Lie's theorem:  $\mathfrak{g} \cong \text{rad}(\mathfrak{g}) \oplus \mathfrak{g}/\text{rad}(\mathfrak{g})$  .
- ▶ Every Lie algebra has a solvable and a semisimple part.

$\mathfrak{g}$  is **reductive** if  $\text{rad}(\mathfrak{g}) = \mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{g})$ , the center of  $\mathfrak{g}$ .

- ▶ Example:  $\mathfrak{gl}(n, \mathbb{R})$
- ▶  $\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{g})$  is semisimple
- ▶  $\mathfrak{g} \cong (\text{abelian Lie algebra}) \oplus (\text{semisimple Lie algebra})$

## Brief review: invariant bilinear forms

Before the break, we showed that the classical Lie algebras are reductive/semisimple using **invariant bilinear forms**.

Given a representation  $\rho: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \text{End}(V)$ , define

$$B_V(x, y) = \text{tr}(\rho(x) \circ \rho(y)).$$

The bilinear form  $B_V$  is

- ▶ symmetric:  $B_V(x, y) = B_V(y, x)$   
Reason:  $\text{tr}(AB) = \text{tr}(BA)$
- ▶ invariant:  $B_V([z, x], y) + B_V(x, [z, y]) = 0$   
Reason:  $\text{tr}((CA - AC)B) + \text{tr}(A(CB - BC)) = 0$

### Theorem

*If  $B_V$  is nondegenerate (for some  $V$ ), then  $\mathfrak{g}$  is reductive.*

# The Killing form

Most important case: the adjoint representation

$$\text{ad}: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \text{End}(\mathfrak{g}), \quad \text{ad } x \cdot y = [x, y]$$

The bilinear form

$$K(x, y) = K^{\mathfrak{g}}(x, y) = \text{tr}(\text{ad } x \circ \text{ad } y)$$

is called the **Killing form** of  $\mathfrak{g}$ . It is symmetric and invariant.

## Homework problem

If  $I \subseteq \mathfrak{g}$  is an ideal, then  $K^I = K^{\mathfrak{g}}|_{I \times I}$ .

# The Killing form

## Example

Take  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{C})$ . In the basis  $e, h, f$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{ad} e &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, & \operatorname{ad} f &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \\ \operatorname{ad} h &= \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -2 \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $K(h, h) = 8$ ,  $K(e, f) = 4$ ,  $K(e, e) = K(f, f) = 0$ .  
In fact,  $K(x, y) = 4 \operatorname{tr}(xy)$ . (Since  $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{C})$  is simple, the invariant bilinear form is unique up to scaling.)

# Cartan's criteria

The Killing form tells us the structure of  $\mathfrak{g}$ .

## Theorem (Cartan's criterion for solvability)

*A Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  is solvable if and only if  $K([\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}], \mathfrak{g}) = 0$ .*

## Theorem (Cartan's criterion for semisimplicity)

*A Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  is semisimple if and only if  $K$  is nondegenerate.*

Many theoretical consequences (next time). Today, the proof.

# Jordan decomposition

Main tool: **Jordan decomposition** (= fancy version of JCF)

Let  $V$  be a finite-dimensional  $\mathbb{C}$ -vector space.

Every  $A \in \text{End}(V)$  can be uniquely written as

$$A = A_s + A_n,$$

with  $A_n$  **nilpotent** and  $A_s$  **semisimple** (= diagonalizable).

- ▶  $A_s$  and  $A_n$  commute.
- ▶  $A_s$  and  $A_n$  can be written as polynomials in  $A$ .



## Jordan decomposition: proof

Let  $A \in \text{End}(V)$ . Put  $A$  in Jordan canonical form.

$$\begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline \lambda_1 & 1 & \\ \hline & \lambda_1 & 1 \\ \hline & & \lambda_1 \\ \hline \end{array} \begin{array}{|c|} \hline \lambda_2 \\ \hline \end{array} \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline \lambda_3 & 1 \\ \hline & \lambda_3 \\ \hline \end{array}$$

Let  $A_s$  be the diagonal part, and  $A_n = A - A_s$ .  
Then  $A_n$  is clearly nilpotent.

# Jordan decomposition: proof

Decomposition into (generalized) eigenspaces

$$V = V_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus V_n,$$

with  $A_s$  acting as multiplication by  $\lambda_j$  on  $V_j$ .

Want a polynomial  $P(t) \in \mathbb{C}[t]$  such that  $A_s = P(A)$ .

- ▶ Choose  $P(t) \in \mathbb{C}[t]$  such that

$$P(t) \equiv \lambda_j \pmod{(t - \lambda_j)^{\dim V_j}}.$$

- ▶ This exists by the Chinese Remainder Theorem.
- ▶ Since  $(A - \lambda_j \text{id})^{\dim V_j} = 0$  on  $V_j$ , the matrix  $P(A)$  acts as multiplication by  $\lambda_j$  on  $V_j$ .
- ▶ Therefore  $P(A) = A_s$ .

## Jordan decomposition: consequences

Define  $\text{ad } A: \text{End}(V) \rightarrow \text{End}(V)$  by  $B \mapsto AB - BA$ . Then

- ▶  $(\text{ad } A)_s = \text{ad } A_s$
- ▶  $\text{ad } A_s = P(\text{ad } A)$ , for some  $P(t) \in t\mathbb{C}[t]$ .

Define  $\bar{A}_s \in \text{End}(V)$ : same eigenspaces as  $A_s$ , but  $\bar{A}_s$  acts as multiplication by  $\bar{\lambda}$  on the  $\lambda$ -eigenspace of  $A_s$ . Then

- ▶  $\text{ad } \bar{A}_s = Q(\text{ad } A)$ , for some  $Q \in t\mathbb{C}[t]$ .

## Cartan's criterion for solvability: proof

### Theorem (Cartan's criterion for solvability)

*A Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  is solvable if and only if  $K([\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}], \mathfrak{g}) = 0$ .*

If  $\mathfrak{g}$  is real, then  $\mathfrak{g}$  is solvable iff  $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}$  is solvable.

So only need to consider complex  $\mathfrak{g}$ .

## Cartan's criterion for solvability: proof

“ $\implies$ ” Suppose that  $\mathfrak{g}$  is solvable.

We need to show that  $K([x, y], z) = 0$  for every  $x, y, z \in \mathfrak{g}$ .

- ▶ Since  $\mathfrak{g}$  is solvable, there is a basis in  $\mathfrak{g}$  in which every  $\text{ad } x$  is upper triangular (Lie's theorem).
- ▶ Then  $\text{ad}[x, y] = [\text{ad } x, \text{ad } y]$  is strictly upper triangular.
- ▶ Therefore  $\text{ad}[x, y] \circ \text{ad } z$  is also strictly upper triangular.
- ▶ It follows that  $K([x, y], z) = \text{tr}(\text{ad}[x, y] \circ \text{ad } z) = 0$ .

## Cartan's criterion for solvability: proof

“ $\Leftarrow$ ” Suppose that  $K([x, y], z) = 0$  for every  $x, y, z \in \mathfrak{g}$ . We need to show that  $\mathfrak{g}$  is solvable.

- ▶ Consider  $\text{ad}: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \text{End}(\mathfrak{g})$ .
- ▶  $\ker \text{ad} = \mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{g})$  is solvable.
- ▶ Thus enough to show that  $\text{ad}(\mathfrak{g}) \cong \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{g})$  is solvable.
- ▶ Follows from lemma (with  $V = \mathfrak{g}$ ).

### Lemma

*Let  $\mathfrak{g} \subseteq \text{End}(V)$  be a Lie subalgebra. If  $\text{tr}(xy) = 0$  for every  $x \in [\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}]$  and every  $y \in \mathfrak{g}$ , then  $\mathfrak{g}$  is solvable.*

## Cartan's criterion for solvability: proof

Now we prove the lemma. Take  $x \in [\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}]$ .

Jordan decomposition  $x = x_s + x_n$ . Then

$$\operatorname{tr}(x\bar{x}_s) = \operatorname{tr}(x_s\bar{x}_s) = \sum_j |\lambda_j|^2,$$

where  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$  are the eigenvalues of  $x_s$ .

Since  $x \in [\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}]$ , have  $x = \sum_k [y_k, z_k]$ , hence

$$\operatorname{tr}(x\bar{x}_s) = \sum_k \operatorname{tr}([y_k, z_k]\bar{x}_s) = - \sum_k \operatorname{tr}(z_k[y_k, \bar{x}_s]).$$

But now  $[\bar{x}_s, y_k] = \operatorname{ad}(\bar{x}_s).y_k = Q(\operatorname{ad} x).y_k \in [\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}]$ .

By assumption, we get  $\operatorname{tr}(z_k[y_k, \bar{x}_s]) = 0$ .

Thus  $\lambda_1 = \dots = \lambda_n = 0$ , and so  $x$  is nilpotent.

By Engel's theorem,  $[\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}]$  is nilpotent, hence  $\mathfrak{g}$  is solvable.

# Cartan's criterion for semisimplicity: proof

## Theorem (Cartan's criterion for semisimplicity)

*A Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  is semisimple if and only if  $K$  is nondegenerate.*

“ $\Leftarrow$ ” Suppose that  $K$  is nondegenerate.

We need to show that  $\mathfrak{g}$  is semisimple.

- ▶ The Killing form is  $B_{\mathfrak{g}}$  for the adjoint representation.
- ▶ So  $K$  nondegenerate implies that  $\mathfrak{g}$  is reductive.
- ▶ Semisimple is equivalent to reductive and  $\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{g}) = \{0\}$ .
- ▶ If  $x \in \mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{g})$ , then  $\text{ad } x = 0$ , hence  $x \in \ker K$ .
- ▶ Since  $K$  is nondegenerate, get  $x = 0$ .
- ▶ So  $\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{g}) = \{0\}$ , which means  $\mathfrak{g}$  is semisimple.



## Cartan's criterion for semisimplicity: proof

“ $\implies$ ” Suppose that  $\mathfrak{g}$  is semisimple.

We need to show that  $K$  is nondegenerate.

- ▶ Let  $I = \ker K$ ; this is an ideal in  $\mathfrak{g}$ .
- ▶ The Killing form  $K^I$  is the restriction of  $K$ , hence  $K^I = 0$ .
- ▶ By Cartan's criterion for solvability,  $I$  is solvable.
- ▶ But  $\mathfrak{g}$  is semisimple, and so  $I = \{0\}$ .