Lecture 25 Info about final. * Mary 12 8:30pm 11pm Chike in Syllabus). * Everyone will be on Zoom with webcam on (if no webcam, use phone). + Will submit photos of work on gradescope. * Allowed to use the terfbook/ hw / hw solns / notes/ but no other source lecture notes. E Focus will be op post spring break material, + some induction.

Recap countable <=> 「王+」=(×) X 1 <>>] bijection set $f: \mathbb{H}^+ \longrightarrow X$ Can lost all the elements of X m informally a sequential (possibly infinite) (开+)=) E t-g even integers. 1 0 2 2674 3000 |王+| = (王) positive integens. It all integers

 $(\underline{F}^{\dagger}) = |\underline{Q}^{\dagger}|$ $\frac{1}{1}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{2}{1}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}, \frac{3}{2}, \frac{3}{1}, \frac$ There are infinite sets 1x1 for which IIII = 1X(Theorem Cantor (1891) There are no surjections Proved at end of last lecture.

refrother way of saying the result There are déferent infantres. $|\mathcal{I}_{\pm}| < |P(\mathcal{I}_{\pm})|$ Another way of saying the result Any altempt to list clements in P(II) will not be exhaustive even if its an infinite lest. Contrast with the following; Let X= The set of english texts. X = & laaal, 'the', 'hello world', The textbook, lord of the rings

-, "cesdf(c~ Your brography ". J. X is countable. of all Here is a lest elements of X: × list all sequences with ab, c, _,. of length I with ab, c, ..., * List all sequences of length 2 with ab, c, ..., * List all sequences of length 3

Any text will be Seen lest. in thes countable, So X is because we have æxhibited a bijection $f: \mathcal{I}^{t} \to \mathcal{X}_{-}$ (i.e. a licting of clements) f(1) = l(1). f(2) = 'a'. f(3)=1P1 f(4) = 'c' Contrast with $P(\underline{H}^{\dagger})$ Any altempt to list elements in P(7+) will not be exhaustive even of its an infenite lest.

our list for X? Nofe: will see a lot of mathin * "the set of even numbers" * "the set containing one" These are descriptions of things 9n P(I). Bat it will not contain descriptions of all elements. P(74+). Consequence Some subsets of It described, (via english text) or any language cannot be

Consequence. The set of things that can be described in english is countable. Another observation: ÉFinite subsets of III countables ? subsets of It's is not sacountable. Subsets of It's is not sacountable?

Subsets of Itz were countable, Finite subsets of [#t. Then Subsets ef It su P*(I) would be countable. this would mean But is countable. $P(\underline{F})$

We proved there is no Sorjection IFt -> P(IFt). The proof actually shows: M X is a set, there is no surjection from $X \rightarrow P(X)$. Consequence. $|\mp| < |P(\mp)| < |P(P(\mp))| < \cdots$ Recall: I asked you to list $P(P(\{a,b3\}))$ 16 elements There infinitely many infinities.

 $|\overline{\#}| < \langle P(\overline{\#})|$ la there another infiniting in between? This question. was resolved in 19505 it's undecible Theorem (Cohen) It is impossible to prove or disprove this fact. Other vacountable sets. R= set of real runbars. = 21,2, T, 2.718 ---, 12, ---Theorem: (Ch 14) To,13 is concountable. (SO IR is un countable).

Prost' sorjection There 550 g: [0,1] -> P(#+). glo.D = 213 even numbers. g(0.01010101---) Ē glo.muul ->- 至+ ich digit in binany expansion of x is g(x)=ZiE#*: surjection? is it a Whey - 4 y C منتث it need to show for any A, we can put a number here.

Put $\chi = O.(a;)$ where a:=1 =f relt Ex. g(0-100(00001)) = 21,4,93. So we have a sarjection $cy = To, T \rightarrow P(\#^{t}).$ That means there is no surgedian $f: \overline{\mathcal{A}}^{\mathsf{T}} \to \overline{\mathsf{G}}(\overline{\mathsf{I}}).$ Otherwise we could use to get a surjection

[0,]P(#+) e Ø Consequence Some real numbers cannot be described, (via english text) or any language