# ON THE COMPLEMENT OF THE PROJECTIVE HULL IN $C^n$ .

Blaine Lawson<sup>\*</sup> and John Wermer

## ABSTRACT

We prove that if K is a compact subset of an affine variety  $\Omega = \mathbf{P}^n - D$ (where D is a projective hypersurface) and if K is contained in a closed analytic subvariety  $V \subset \Omega$ , then the projective hull  $\hat{K}$  has the property that  $\hat{K} \cap \Omega \subset V$ . If V is smooth and 1-dimensional, then  $\hat{K} \cap \Omega$  is also closed in  $\Omega$ . The result has applications to graphs in  $\mathbf{C}^2$  of functions in the disk algebra.

Let X be a compact set in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ . In [1], R. Harvey and the first author introduce a generalization of the polynomial hull of X, which they call the projective hull of X in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ , and denote by  $\widehat{X}$ . For d = 1, 2, ... let  $\mathcal{P}_d$  denote the space of all polynomials on  $\mathbb{C}^n$  of degree  $\leq d$ . Then by definition a point z lies in  $\widehat{X}$  if there exists a constant  $C \geq 1$  such that for all d and for all  $P \in \mathcal{P}_d$  with  $\sup_X |P| \leq 1$ , we have

 $|P(z)| \leq C^d.$ 

Fix a point  $a = (a_1, ..., a_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n - X$ . For d = 1, 2, ... set

$$\lambda_d(a) \equiv \sup\{|P(a)| : P \in \mathcal{P}_d \text{ with } \|P\|_X \le 1\}$$

Note that a lies in the complement of  $\widehat{X}$  if and only if for each  $M \ge 1$  there exist arbitrarily large d with  $\lambda_d(a) \ge M^d$ .

We call a set Xnon-algebraic if every polynomial vanishing on X is identically zero. We restrict our attention to such non-algebraic sets. We study the function  $\lambda_d$  and, in Theorem 2 below, give a result on the complement of  $\hat{X}$  in certain cases, generalizing Theorem 9.2 in [1].

THEOREM 1. Let X be as above. Fix  $M \ge 1$ . Fix d and let  $\lambda_d = \lambda_d(0)$ . Then  $\lambda_d \ge M^d$  if and only if there exist polynomials  $A_1, ..., A_n$  on  $\mathbb{C}^n$  such that  $\zeta_1 A_1(\zeta) + \cdots + \zeta_n A_n(\zeta) \in \mathcal{P}_d$ with  $|1 - \sum_k \zeta_k A_k| \le \frac{1}{M^d}$  on X.

We use the following Banach distance formula.

LEMMA 1. Let W be a normed linear space,  $\dim(\mathcal{W}) = N$ , and let  $\mathcal{V}$  be a subspace of  $\mathcal{W}$  with  $\dim(\mathcal{V}) = N - 1$ . Fix  $x \in \mathcal{W} - \mathcal{V}$ . Let L be the linear functional on  $\mathcal{W}$  with L = 0 on  $\mathcal{V}$  and L(x) = 1. Let  $\delta = \operatorname{dist}(x, \mathcal{V})$  Then

$$\|L\| = \frac{1}{\delta}.$$

<sup>\*</sup>Partially supported by the N.S.F.

NOTE. See the Appendix for a proof.

**Proof of Theorem 1.** Let  $\mathcal{W} = \mathcal{P}_d$ . For  $P \in \mathcal{P}_d$  put  $||P|| = \sup_X |P|$ . Note that ||P|| = 0 only when P = 0 by the hypothesis that X is non-algebraic.

A basis for  $\mathcal{W}$  is the set of monomials  $\zeta^{\alpha} = \zeta_1^{\alpha_1} \zeta_2^{\alpha_2} \cdots \zeta_n^{\alpha_n}$  with  $|\alpha| = \alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_n \leq d$ . Let  $\mathcal{V}$  be the subspace of  $\mathcal{W}$  spanned by  $\{\zeta^{\alpha} : |\alpha| > 0\}$ . Let x denote the constant polynomial 1. Define L to be the linear functional  $L : \mathcal{W} \to \mathbf{C}$  given by L(P) = P(0). Then  $\lambda_d = ||L||$  in the dual space of  $\mathcal{W}$ . Put  $\delta$  = the distance from 1 to  $\mathcal{V}$ , so

$$\delta = \inf \left\| 1 - \sum_{0 < |\alpha| \le d} c_{\alpha} \zeta^{\alpha} \right\|.$$

By Lemma 1,  $\lambda_d \geq M^d$  if and only if  $||L|| \geq M^d$  if and only if  $\frac{1}{\delta} \geq M^d$  if and only if  $\delta \leq \frac{1}{M^d}$  if and only if

$$\left|1 - \sum_{k=1}^{n} \zeta_k A_k\right| \leq \frac{1}{M^d} \quad \text{on } X$$

for polynomials  $A_1, ..., A_n \in \mathcal{P}_{d-1}$ . We are done.

THEOREM 2. Let  $\Sigma$  be a closed complex analytic subvariety of  $\mathbb{C}^n$ . Let K be a compact set contained in  $\Sigma$ . Then  $\widehat{K} \subset \Sigma$ .

NOTE 1. In the case that  $\Sigma \subset \mathbb{C}^2$  is the graph of an entire function f on  $\mathbb{C}$ , with f not a polynomial, the result that  $K \subset \Sigma$  implies  $\widehat{K} \subset \Sigma$  is proved in Theorem 9.2 in [1].

**Proof of Theorem 2.** Fix  $a = (a_1, ..., a_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n - \Sigma$ . By definition  $\lambda_d(a) = \sup |P(a)|$  taken over all  $P \in \mathcal{P}_d$  with  $||P||_K \leq 1$ . We make the following

**Claim:** Fix  $M \ge 1$ . Then  $\lambda_d(a) > M^d$  for all large d.

### **Proof of Claim:**

**Case 1:** a = 0 so  $\lambda_d(a) = \lambda_d$ . Then  $0 \in \mathbb{C}^n - \Sigma$ . It is known that we may choose a function  $H_1$ , holomorphic on  $\mathbb{C}^n$  with  $H_1 = 0$  on  $\Sigma$  and  $H_1(0) = 1$ . (See, for example, [2 Ch. VIII.A, Thm. 18].) Put  $H = 1 - H_1$ . Then H is holomorphic on  $\mathbb{C}^n$  with H = 1 on  $\Sigma$  and H(0) = 0. Now H has a power series expansion

$$H(\zeta) = \sum_{\alpha} c_{\alpha} \zeta^{\alpha}$$

convergent on  $\mathbb{C}^n$ . Fix R > 0. There exists a constant  $C_R$  such that

$$|c_{\alpha}| \leq \frac{C_R}{R^{|\alpha|}}$$
 for all  $\alpha$ 

Fix d. Put  $P_d(\zeta) = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq d} c_{\alpha} \zeta^{\alpha}$  and put  $\mathcal{E}_d(\zeta) = \sum_{|\alpha| > d} c_{\alpha} \zeta^{\alpha}$ . Note that  $P_d(0) = 0$ . Then  $H = P_d + \mathcal{E}_d$  on  $\mathbb{C}^n$ . In particular,  $1 = P_d + \mathcal{E}_d$  on K, so

$$|1 - P_d| \leq |\mathcal{E}_d| \quad \text{on } K. \tag{1}$$

Fix  $R_0$  so that  $K \subset \{\zeta : |\zeta_k| \leq R_0 \text{ for } 1 \leq k \leq n\}$ . Choose  $\zeta \in K$ . Then

$$|\mathcal{E}_d(\zeta)| \leq \sum_{|\alpha| > d} |c_\alpha| |\zeta^\alpha| \leq \sum_{|\alpha| > d} |c_\alpha| R_0^{|\alpha|}$$

Fix R such that  $\frac{R_0}{R} \leq \frac{1}{2M}$ . Since  $|c_{\alpha}| \leq \frac{C_R}{R^{|\alpha|}}$ , we have

$$\sum_{\alpha|>d} |c_{\alpha}| R_0^{|\alpha|} \leq C_R \sum_{|\alpha|>d} \left(\frac{R_0}{R}\right)^{|\alpha|}$$

Put  $t = \frac{R_0}{R}$ . Then

$$\sum_{|\alpha|>d} t^{|\alpha|} = \sum_{k=d+1}^{\infty} \sum_{|\alpha|=k} t^{|\alpha|} = \sum_{k=d+1}^{\infty} \binom{n+k-1}{n-1} t^k \leq \frac{1}{M^d} \text{ for large } d.$$

Hence,

$$|\mathcal{E}_d(\zeta)| \leq \frac{C_R}{M^d} \leq \frac{1}{(M/2)^d}$$
 for large  $d$ . (2)

From (1) and Theorem 1 we now get

$$\lambda_d \geq \left(\frac{M}{2}\right)^d$$
 for large  $d$ .

Since M was arbitrary, the claim is proved Case 1.

**Case 2:**  $a \in \mathbb{C}^n - \Sigma$ . Let  $\chi : \mathbb{C}^n \to \mathbb{C}^n$  be the translation  $\chi(\zeta) = \zeta - a$ . Then  $\chi(a) = 0$ and  $\chi$  takes the space  $\mathcal{P}_d$  isomorphically onto itself. Put  $K' = \chi(K)$  and  $\Sigma' = \chi(\Sigma)$ . Since  $a \notin \Sigma$ ,  $0 \notin \Sigma'$  and so by Case 1 there exists  $P' \in \mathcal{P}_d$  with  $\|P'\|_{K'} \leq 1$ ,  $|1 - P'| \leq \frac{1}{M^d}$  on K' and P'(0) = 0.

By Case 1,  $\lambda_d \geq M^d$  for large d, so there exists a polynomial Q' in  $\mathcal{P}_d$  with  $||Q'||_{K'} \leq 1$ and  $|Q'(0)| \geq M^d$ . Now put  $Q = Q' \circ \chi$ . Then  $Q \in \mathcal{P}_d$ ,  $|Q(a)| \geq M^d$  and  $||Q||_K \leq 1$ . so  $\lambda_d(a) \geq M^d$ . Case 2 is done and so the claim holds.

If  $a \in \mathbb{C}^n - \Sigma$ , then a does not belong to  $\widehat{K}$ . So  $\widehat{K} \subset \Sigma$ . We are done.

COROLLARY 1. Let V be a closed complex submanifold of dimension one in  $\mathbb{C}^n$  and  $K \subset V$  a compact subset. Then  $\widehat{K}$  is a closed subset of  $\mathbb{C}^n$ .

NOTE. It is not true in general that K compact in  $\mathbb{C}^n$  implies that  $\widehat{K}$  is closed in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ .

**Proof of Corollary 1.** In the notation of [1], if K is a compact set in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ ,  $\mathcal{S}_K$  is the family of functions  $\varphi = \frac{1}{d} \log |P|$ ,  $P \in \mathcal{P}_d$ ,  $|P| \leq 1$  on K. We define the extremal function

$$\Lambda_K(x) = \sup_{\varphi \in \mathcal{S}_K} \varphi(x).$$

Then for  $x \in \mathbf{C}^n$ ,  $\Lambda_K(x) < \infty \iff x \in \widehat{K}$ . That is,  $\widehat{K} \cap \mathbf{C}^n = \{x \in \mathbf{C}^n : \Lambda_K(x) < \infty\}$ . Since  $\Lambda_K \equiv \infty$  in  $\mathbf{C}^n - V$ , we conclude from Theorem 7.3 in [1] that in every connected component of  $V_K$  either  $\Lambda_K \equiv \infty$  or  $\Lambda_K$  is a locally bounded harmonic function.

Suppose now that  $\{x_{\nu}\}$  is a sequence of points in  $\widehat{K}$  converging to a point x. Then  $x \in V$ . We must show that  $x \in \widehat{K}$ .

We may assume that  $x \notin K$ , so x lies in some connected component  $\Omega$  of V - K For large  $\nu, x_{\nu} \in \Omega$ . Since  $\Lambda_K(x_{\nu}) < \infty$ , we have by Theorem 7.3 of [1] quoted above, that  $\Lambda_K$  is locally bounded on  $\Omega$ . In particular,  $L_K(x)$  is finite, and so  $x \in \widehat{K}$ .

NOTE 2. The projective hull, as introduced in [1], is a subset  $\widehat{K} \subset \mathbf{P}^n$  associated to any compact set  $K \subset \mathbf{P}^n$ . In an affine chart  $\mathbf{C}^n = \mathbf{P}^n - \mathbf{P}^{n-1}$ , the set  $\widehat{K} \cap \mathbf{C}^n$  agrees with the definition given above. In what follows  $\widehat{K}$  will refer to this full projective hull.

COROLLARY 2. Let  $D \subset \mathbf{P}^n$  be a complex hypersurface in complex projective space, and suppose  $\Sigma \subset \mathbf{P}^n - D$  is a closed subvariety of the complement. If K is a compact subset of  $\Sigma$ , then  $\widehat{K} \cap (\mathbf{P}^n - D) \subset \Sigma$ . Moreover, if  $\Sigma$  is smooth of dimension one, then  $\widehat{K} \cap (\mathbf{P}^n - D)$ is closed in  $\mathbf{P}^n - D$ .

**Proof.** The divisor D can be realized as a hyperplane section  $D = v(\mathbf{P}^n) \cap \mathbf{P}^{N-1}$  under a Veronese embedding  $v : \mathbf{P}^n \to \mathbf{P}^N$ . By Proposition 3.2 in [1] we know that  $\widehat{v(K)} = v(\widehat{K})$ . We now apply Theorem 2 to  $v(K) \subset v(\Sigma) \subset \mathbf{C}^N = \mathbf{P}^N - \mathbf{P}^{N-1}$ , and the first statement follows. If  $\Sigma$  is a smooth curve, then  $\widehat{v(K)}$  is closed in  $\mathbf{C}^N$  by Corollary 1. Hence,  $v^{-1}(\widehat{v(K)}) = v^{-1}(v(\widehat{K})) = \widehat{K}$  is closed in  $\mathbf{P}^n - D$ .

COROLLARY 3. Let  $K \subset \Sigma \subset \mathbf{P}^n - D$  be as in Corollary 2. Suppose  $\widehat{K} \cap (\mathbf{P}^n - D) \subset \mathbf{P}^n - D$ . Then  $\widehat{K} \cap D = \emptyset$ .

**Proof.** Suppose there exists a point  $x \in \widehat{K} \cap D$ . Then by Theorem 11.1 in [1], there exists a positive current T of bidimension (1,1) with

$$\operatorname{supp} T \subset \widehat{K}^{-}$$
 and  $dd^{c}T = \mu - \delta_{x}$  (3)

where  $\mu$  is a probability measure on K and  $\widehat{K}^-$  denotes the closure of K. Let  $U, V \subset \mathbf{P}^n$  be disjoint open subsets with  $\widehat{K} \cap (\mathbf{P}^n - D) \subset U$  and  $D \subset V$ . Then by our hypothesis and the first part of (3), we have  $T = \chi_U T + \chi_V T$ . We conclude from the second part of (3) that  $dd^c(\chi_U T) = \mu$  and  $dd^c(\chi_V T) = -\delta_x$ , both of which are impossible since (dS, 1) = 0 for any current S of dimension 2n - 1.

COROLLARY 4. Suppose  $K = \gamma$  is a disjoint union of real closed curves contained in a smooth complex analytic (non-algebraic) curve  $\Sigma \subset \mathbf{P}^n - D$  with D as above. Suppose that  $\Sigma - \gamma$  has only one unbounded component. Then  $\hat{\gamma} - \gamma$  is exactly the union of the bounded components of  $\Sigma - \gamma$ .

**Proof.** By Corollary 2,  $\widehat{\gamma} \cap (\mathbf{P}^n - D) \subset \Sigma$ . As seen in the proof of Corollary 1, if one point  $x \in \Sigma - \gamma$  belongs to  $\widehat{\gamma}$ , then the entire connected component of x in  $\Sigma - \gamma$  belongs to  $\widehat{\gamma}$ . By [1, Cor. 3.4] every bounded component of  $\Sigma - \gamma$  lies in  $\widehat{\gamma}$ . By Sadullaev's Theorem (cf. [1, §7]), if the unbounded component of  $\Sigma - \gamma$  lies in  $\widehat{\gamma}$ , then  $\Sigma$  is algebraic. Thus  $\widehat{\gamma} \cap (\mathbf{P}^n - D)$  is exactly the union of the bounded components of  $\Sigma - \gamma$ . We now apply Corollary 3. NOTE 3. We can find applications of Corollary 4 by considering graphs of certain functions in the disk algebra.

Let  $\Gamma$  be the unit circle in the  $\zeta$ -plane and let  $A(\Gamma) = \{\varphi|_{\Gamma} : \varphi \text{ is analytic in } |\zeta| < 1$ and continuous in  $|\zeta| \leq 1$ . Let K denote the graph of  $\varphi$  over  $\Gamma$ , so

$$K = \{(\zeta, \varphi(\zeta)) : \zeta \in \Gamma\} \subset \mathbf{C}^2.$$

In the following examples the projective hull of K in  $\mathbf{P}^2$  is  $\widehat{K} = \{(\zeta, \varphi(\zeta)) : |\zeta| \le 1\}.$ 

- (1) Let  $\varphi(\zeta) = \log(\zeta 2)$ . Then  $K = \{(\zeta, \log(\zeta 2)) : \zeta \in \Gamma\}$ , so K is contained in the closed complex submanifold  $\Sigma = \{(\zeta, w) : e^w = \zeta 2\}$  of  $\mathbb{C}^2$ .
- (2) Let  $\varphi(\zeta) = \sqrt{e^{2\zeta} + 5\zeta + 20}$  and  $\Sigma = \{(\zeta, w) : w^2 = e^{2\zeta} + 5\zeta + 20\}$ . The graph K of  $\varphi$  over  $\Gamma$  is contained in  $\Sigma$
- (3) Let  $\varphi(\zeta)$  be the restriction to  $\Gamma$  of a meromorphic function F defined on all of  $\mathbf{C}$ whose poles lies outside the unit disk. Write  $F = E_1/E_2$  where  $E_1$  and  $E_2$  are entire functions without common zeros. Then  $\Sigma = \{(\zeta, w) : E_2(\zeta)w = E_1(\zeta)\}$  (the graph of F) is a closed submanifold of  $\mathbf{C}^2$  which contains K
- (4) Let

$$\varphi(\zeta) = \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} \frac{c_{\nu}}{\zeta - a_{\nu}}$$

where  $\sum_{\nu} |c_{\nu}| < \infty$ , each  $|a_{\nu}| > 1$ , and  $\lim_{\nu \to \infty} a_{\nu} = a$  with |a| > 1. Then K is contained in  $\Sigma = \{(\zeta, \varphi(\zeta)) : \zeta \neq a \text{ or } a_{\nu} \text{ for any } \nu\}$ , which is a closed submanifold of  $\mathbf{C}^2 - \{\zeta = a\} = \mathbf{P}^2 - \mathbf{P}_{\infty}^1 - \{\zeta = a\}.$ 

#### Appendix: Proof of the Banach Distance Formula.

Fix  $f \in \mathcal{V}$ . Then L(x-f) = L(x) = 1. Hence,  $1 = |L(x-f)| \le ||L|| \cdot ||x-f||$ . Hence,  $1 \le ||L|| \cdot \delta$ , so  $||L|| \ge \frac{1}{\delta}$ .

Next, fix  $g \in \mathcal{W}$ , g = f + tx for  $t \in \mathbb{C} - \{0\}$ ,  $f \in \mathcal{V}$ . We have L(g) = t. Now  $g = t(x + \frac{f}{t})$ , so  $||g|| = |t| ||x + \frac{f}{t}|| \ge |t| \cdot \delta$ , since  $-\frac{f}{t} \in \mathcal{V}$ . So  $|L(g)| = |t| \le \frac{1}{\delta} ||g||$ . Thus,  $||L|| \le \frac{1}{\delta}$ , and therefore  $||L|| = \frac{1}{\delta}$ .

#### **References.**

- [1] F. R. Harvey and H. B. Lawson, Jr. Projective hulls and the projective Gelfand transform, Asian J. Math. **10** (2006), 607-646.
- [2] R. C. Gunning and H. Rossi, Analytic Functions of Several Complex Variables, Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, N. J., 1965.