

ON THE ITERATION OF ENTIRE FUNCTIONS

A. E. EREMENKO

*Physical-Engineering Institute of Low Temperatures
Kharkov, U.S.S.R.*

The iteration theory of entire functions originated in the paper by Fatou [1] and was developed mainly in the works of Baker [2-7]. The recent progress in study of iteration of polynomials and rational functions stimulated the increasing interest in the iteration theory of entire functions. Many modern works in this subject are devoted to special classes of entire functions and to concrete functions, e.g. the exponential function. At the same time the study of dynamical properties of general entire functions initiated by Fatou and Baker is itself of a considerable interest.

Denote by f^m the m th iterate of an entire function f . Let $F(f)$ be the maximal open set where the family of iterates $\{f^m\}$ is normal in the sense of Montel;

$$J(f) = C \setminus F(f).$$

In what follows we consider only non-linear entire functions f . The Julia set $J(f)$ is then a non-empty perfect completely invariant set. If f is transcendental then $J(f)$ is unbounded. The following result is due to Baker [2].

THEOREM B1. *The Julia set coincides with the closure of the set of repulsive periodic points.*

Define

$$I(f) = \{z \in C: f^n z \rightarrow \infty, n \rightarrow \infty\}.$$

If f is a polynomial then $I(f)$ is a domain containing ∞ . In this case we have

$$(1) \quad J(f) = \partial I(f).$$

We shall study the set $I(f)$ for transcendental entire functions.

THEOREM 1. *For every entire function f the set $I(f)$ is non-empty.*

It follows that $I(f)$ is infinite (consider a trajectory $\{f^n z: n = 1, 2, \dots\}$, $z \in I(f)$). Furthermore for every entire function the equality (1) is satisfied. To prove this take $z \in J(f)$ and a neighbourhood V of z . Consider an arbitrary point $z_1 \in I(f)$ and let $z_2 = fz_1 \neq z_1$. The family $\{f^n\}$ is not normal in V , hence there exists a pre-image $z^* \in V$ of one of the points z_1, z_2 . We have $z^* \in I(f)$. On the other hand it is easily seen that $\text{Int } I(f) \subset F(f)$. Thus $J(f) \subset \partial I(f)$. The opposite inclusion is evident.

For the function $f(z) = e^{-z} + z + 1$, which occurs as an example in [1] the set $I(f)$ contains the right half-plane, thus

$$\text{Int } I(f) \neq \emptyset.$$

We shall use the following result of Wiman-Valiron theory [8, 9].

Let $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n z^n$ be an entire transcendental function. The sequence $|c_n| r^n$ tends to zero for every $r > 0$, thus it contains a maximal term. Denote the index of this term by $N(r)$. If there are several maximal terms, take the largest of their indices. The function $N(r)$ is called the *central index*. Evidently, $N(r)$ is increasing and $N(r) \rightarrow \infty$ if $r \rightarrow \infty$. Denote

$$M(r) = \max \{|f(z)|: |z| = r\}, \quad r > 0.$$

For every $r > 0$, take a point $w(r)$ with the following properties:

$$M(r) = |f(w(r))|, \quad |w(r)| = r.$$

THEOREM WV. Let f be a transcendental entire function, $\alpha > \frac{1}{2}$. If

$$(2) \quad |z - w(r)| < r(N(r))^{-\alpha},$$

then

$$(3) \quad f(z) = \left(\frac{z}{w(r)}\right)^{N(r)} f(w(r))(1 + \varepsilon_1),$$

$$(4) \quad f'(z) = N(r) \left(\frac{z}{w(r)}\right)^{N(r)} f(w(r))(w(r))^{-1} (1 + \varepsilon_2)$$

where $\varepsilon_i = \varepsilon_i(r, z) \rightarrow 0$ uniformly with respect to z if $r \rightarrow \infty$, $r \notin E$. The exceptional set E depending of f and α has a finite logarithmic measure, i.e.

$$\text{lm } E = \int_E \frac{dt}{t} < \infty.$$

Proof of Theorem 1. The result is known for polynomials so we may suppose that f is transcendental. Choose an $r_1 > 2, r_1 \notin E$, so large that

$$M(r) > 4r, \quad r \geq r_1; \tag{5}$$

$$|\log(1 + \varepsilon_j)| < 1, \quad r \geq r_1, \quad r \notin E; \tag{6}$$

$$\operatorname{Im}(E \cap [r_1, \infty)) < 1; \tag{6}$$

$$N(r_1) > 10^4.$$

Denote $w(r_1)$ by w_1 and consider the sector

$$C_1 = \left\{ z : \left| \log \frac{z}{w_1} \right| < \frac{N(r_1)}{5}, \left| \arg \frac{z}{w_1} \right| > \frac{N(r_1)}{5} \right\}$$

The function

$$z \mapsto N(r_1)(\log z - \log w_1) + \log f(w_1)$$

maps univalently the sector C_1 onto the square

$$\{\xi = \zeta + i\eta : |\xi - \log |f(w_1)|| < 5, | \eta - \arg f(w_1) | < 5\}.$$

Using (3), (5) and Rouché's theorem we prove that there exists a domain $C_1 \subset C_1$ which is mapped univalently by the function $\log f$ onto the square

$$Q = \{\xi : |\xi - \log |f(w_1)|| < 4, | \eta - \arg f(w_1) | < 4\}.$$

Thus the image $f(C_1)$ contains the annulus

$$A_2 = \{z : e^{-4} M(r_1) < |z| < e^4 M(r_1)\}.$$

In view of (6) we can take $r_2 \notin E$ such that

$$\frac{1}{2} M(r_1) < r_2 < 2M(r_1).$$

Write $w_2 = w(r_2)$. Then the sector

$$C_2 = \left\{ z : \left| \log \frac{z}{w_2} \right| < \frac{N(r_2)}{5}, \left| \arg \frac{z}{w_2} \right| > \frac{N(r_2)}{5} \right\}$$

is contained in A_2 .

Repeating this construction, we obtain a sequence of domains $C_j \rightarrow \infty$, $C_{j+1} \subset f(C_j)$ such that there exists a uniform branch of f^{-1} in C_{j+1} for which $f^{-1}(C_{j+1}) \subset C_j$. Let $B_j = f^{-j}(C_{j+1})$ where f^{-j} is the composition of

the just mentioned branches. We have $B_{j+1} \subset B_j$, thus $\bigcap_{j=1}^{\infty} B_j \neq \emptyset$. If z

belongs to this intersection then $f^j z \in C_{j+1}$. Hence, $z \in I(f)$ and the theorem is proved.

Remark. Using the notation from the proof of Theorem 1, we can show that $\text{diam } B_j \rightarrow 0, j \rightarrow \infty$. In view of (4) we obtain for $z \in C_k$:

$$(7) \quad |f'(z)| \geq N(r_k) (e^{-5/N(r_k)})^{N(r_k)} M(r_k) r_k^{-1} e^{-1} \geq \frac{1}{2} e^{-6} N(r_k) r_{k+1} \cdot r_k^{-1}$$

By definition, $\text{diam } C_{j+1} \leq \text{const} \cdot r_{j+1} (N(r_{j+1}))^{-1}$. Putting in (7) $k = j$ we obtain

$$\text{diam } f^{-1} C_{j+1} \leq \text{const} \frac{r_j \cdot 2e^6}{N(r_j) N(r_{j+1})}$$

Applying (7) repeatedly for $k = j-1, \dots, 1$, we obtain

$$\text{diam } B_j = \text{diam } f^{-j} C_{j+1} \leq \text{const} \frac{r_1 \cdot 2^j e^{6j}}{N(r_1) \dots N(r_{j+1})} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } j \rightarrow \infty.$$

It is evident that $I(f) \cap J(f) = \emptyset$ for polynomials f . We shall prove that $I(f) \cap J(f) \neq \emptyset$ for any transcendental entire function f . To do this we need two well-known lemmas which we prove for completeness.

LEMMA 1. *Let D be a multi-connected component of the set $F(f)$ of an entire function f . Then $f^n \rightarrow \infty$ uniformly on compacts in D .*

Proof. Let γ be a Jordan curve in D whose interior domain D_1 contains points of $J(f)$. If for a subsequence n_k we have $|f^{n_k}| \leq M$ on γ then by maximum principle $|f^{n_k}| \leq M$ in D_1 and $|(f^{n_k})'| \leq M$ in D_1 . This contradicts to the existence of repulsive periodic points in D_1 (Theorem B 1).

LEMMA 2. *Let D be a multi-connected component of the set $F(f)$ of an entire function f and let γ be a Jordan curve, non-homotopic to a point in D . Then the index with respect to 0 satisfies $\text{ind}_0 f^n \gamma \neq 0$ for all sufficiently large n .*

Proof. Let $\text{ind}_0 f^{n_k} \gamma = 0$ for a subsequence n_k . This means that $f^{n_k}(z) \neq 0$ in the interior component D_1 of $C \setminus \gamma$. By Lemma 1 we have $f^{n_k} \gamma \rightarrow \infty$. Using the minimum principle we conclude that $f^{n_k} \rightarrow \infty$ in D_1 . This contradicts the Theorem B1.

The following result is due to Baker [3].

THEOREM B2. *Let f be a transcendental entire function. Then every unbounded component of the set $F(f)$ is simply-connected.*

The Lemma 1 and Theorem B 2 imply

COROLLARY (Baker [6]). *Every multi-connected component D of the set $F(f)$ of a transcendental entire function f wanders. This means that $f^n D \cap f^m D = \emptyset$ for every $n > m \geq 0$.*

In the papers [4-7] Baker constructs the examples of entire functions with multi-connected wandering components of the set of Fatou $F(f)$.

THEOREM 2. Let f be a transcendental entire function. Then $I(f) \cap J(f) \neq \emptyset$.

Proof. Two cases are to be considered.

(1). The set $F(f)$ has a multi-connected component D . Denote $D_m = f^m D$ and let K_m be the unbounded component of the complement $C \setminus D_m$. Let γ be a Jordan curve in D non-homotopic to a point in D , $\gamma_m = f^m \gamma$. We have $\gamma_m \rightarrow \infty$, $\gamma_m \subset D_m$ and $\text{ind}_0 \gamma_m \neq 0$ by Lemmas 1 and 2, respectively. We conclude that γ_m separates 0 and K_m . Thus $K_m \rightarrow \infty$. Fix a large number m . We have $f^n \rightarrow \infty$ in D , $D_m \cap D_n = \emptyset$ for $n > m$. Hence, $D_n \subset K_m$, $D_n \subset K_m$ for sufficiently large $n > m$. Consequently, $\partial D \subset I(f)$ and $J(f) \cap I(f) \neq \emptyset$.

(2). All components of $F(f)$ are simply-connected. Let us show that

$$\{z_0\} = \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} B_j \subset J(f)$$

(the sets B_j were introduced in the proof of the Theorem 1). Suppose that this is not the case. Then there exists a neighbourhood V of the point z_0 , $V \subset F(f)$. Using the remark following Theorem 1, we find a number n such that $B_n \subset V$. We have $f^n B_n = C_{n+1}$, consequently $f^{n+1} B_n$ contains the annulus

$$\{z: e^{-4} M(r_{n+1}) < |z| < e^4 M(r_{n+1})\}.$$

Thus the set $F(f)$ contains an arbitrarily large annulus. This is a contradiction to the assumption. Theorem 2 is proved.

It is plausible that the set $I(f)$ has no bounded connected components. We shall prove a weaker statement.

THEOREM 3. The closure $\overline{I(f)}$ of $I(f)$ has no bounded components.

Proof. Let I_0 be a bounded component of $I(f)$. Then there exists a domain A homeomorphic to an annulus which separates I_0 from ∞ , $A \cap I(f) = \emptyset$. The functions f^n in A do not take the values from $I(f)$, thus $A \subset F(f)$ by Montel's theorem. Let K be the bounded component of $C \setminus A$. In view of (1) $K \cap J(f) \neq \emptyset$ consequently A is contained in a multi-connected component of $F(f)$. By Lemma 1 $A \subset I(f)$, contrary to the assumption.

Papers [10-13] are devoted to the study of a class S of entire functions having many dynamical properties similar to the properties of rational functions. By definition $f \in S$ iff there exists a finite set $A \subset C$ such that

$$(8) \quad f: C \setminus f^{-1}(A) \rightarrow C \setminus A$$

is an unramified covering map. Some results on the class S proved in [11] remain true for a larger class B which we are now going to define. An entire function $f \in B$ iff there exists a bounded set A such that (8) is an unramified covering. Evidently $S \subset B$. The function $f(z) = z^{-1} \sin z \in B \setminus S$.

THEOREM 4. Let $f \in B$ be a transcendental entire function. If $f^n z \rightarrow \infty$ then $z \in J(f)$.

COROLLARY. If $f \in B$ is transcendental then $J(f) = \overline{I(f)}$.

This fact was noted in [14] for a subclass of S .
For any entire function f let

$$\theta_R(r, f) = \text{mes} \{ \theta \in [0, 2\pi] : |f(re^{i\theta})| < R \}$$

THEOREM 5. Let $f \in B$ and for some $R > 0$

$$(9) \quad \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{r} \int_1^r \theta_R(t, f) dt > 0,$$

then the area of $I(f)$ is zero.

For example, $\text{area}(I(c \exp)) = 0$ and $\text{area}(J(c \exp)) = 0$ which was proved independently in [10–11] and [15]. The condition (9) cannot be removed, because $\text{area}(I(\sin)) > 0$ [15] and $\sin \in S \subset B$.

The proofs of Theorems 4 and 5 are the same as in [11] for the class S .

The paper [14] is devoted to the study of the structure of the sets $I(f)$ for the simplest functions of class S , as e.g. $a \sin z + b$. For such functions $I(f)$ is the so-called "Cantor bouquet", i.e. an uncountable union of curves tending to ∞ . It is plausible that the set $I(f)$ always has the following property: every point $z \in I(f)$ can be joined with ∞ by a curve in $I(f)$.

References

- [1] P. Fatou, *Sur l'itération des fonctions transcendentes entières*, Acta Math. 47 (1926), 337–370.
- [2] I. N. Baker, *Repulsive fixpoints of entire functions*, Math. Z. 104 (1968), 252–256.
- [3] —, *The domains of normality of an entire function*, Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn., Ser. A1. Math., 1 (1975), 277–283.
- [4] —, *Multiply connected domains of normality in iteration theory*, Math. Z. 81 (1963), 206–214.
- [5] —, *An entire function which has wandering domain*, J. Austral. Math. Soc., Ser. A, part 2, 22 (1976), 173–176.
- [6] —, *Wandering domains in the iteration of entire functions*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3), 49 (1984), 563–576.
- [7] —, *Some entire functions with multiply connected wandering domains*, Ergod. Theory & Dynam. Systems 5 (1985), 163–169.
- [8] G. Valiron, *Fonctions analytiques*, Presses Univ. de France, Paris, 1954.
- [9] W. H. J. Fuchs, *Asymptotic evaluation of integrals and Wiman-Valiron theory*, Complex Anal. & Appl. Lect. Int. Semin. Course, Trieste, 1975, 235–283.
- [10] A. E. Eremenko and M. Yu. Lubich, *Iterates of entire functions*, Soviet Math. Dokl. 30 (1984), 592–594.
- [11] — —, *Iterates of entire functions*, Preprint of the Phys.-Tech. Inst. of Low Temperatures, Kharkov, 1984, N 6 (in Russian).

-
- [12] — —, *Structural stability in some families of entire functions*, Funct. Anal. Appl., 19 (1985), 86-87.
- [13] — —, *Structural stability in some families of entire functions*, Preprint of the Phys.-Tech. Inst. of Low Temperatures, Kharkov, 1984, N 29 (in Russian).
- [14] R. Devaney and F. Tangerman, *Dynamics of entire functions near the essential singularity*, Preprint of the Boston University, Boston, Mass., 1986.
- [15] C. McMullen, *Area and Hausdorff dimension of Julia sets of entire functions*, Preprint of the Math. Sci. Res. Inst., Berkeley, California 1986, N 04019.