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## 1. Definition of ODE

Definition 1.1. Let $D \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ be a domain, i.e., an open connected set.
(i) A time-dependent vector field on $D$ is a pair consisting of a domain $V \subset D \times \mathbb{R}$ together with a Borel-measurable map $F: V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$.
(ii) The time-dependent vector field $F$ is said to be autonomous if $V=D \times \mathbb{R}$ and for each $x \in D, F(x, \cdot)$ is constant. That is to say, there is a Borel measurable map $\xi: D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$ such that $F(x, t)=\xi(x)$ for all $x \in D$ and all $t \in \mathbb{R}$.

Vector fields are the data of ordinary differential equations (ODE). From such data one wishes to produce so-called integral curves; finding these integral curves constitutes solving the ODE.

Definition 1.2. Let $F$ be a time-dependent vector field on a domain $V \subset D \times \mathbb{R}$. An integral curve through $x \in D$ with initial time $s$ is an open set $I_{(x, s)} \subset \mathbb{R}$ containing $s$, together with an absolutely continuous curve $\gamma_{(x, s)}: I_{(x, s)} \rightarrow D$, such that
(i) $\gamma_{(x, s)}(s)=x$,
(ii) $\left(\gamma_{(x, s)}(t), t\right) \in V$ for all $t \in I_{(x, s)}$, and
(iii) $\frac{d \gamma_{(x, s)}(t)}{d t}=F\left(\gamma_{(x, s)}(t), t\right)$ for almost every $t \in I_{(x, s)}$.

The central question of ODE is whether, for a given time-dependent vector field, integral curves exist and, if so, are unique. In the next section we shall establish an existence theorem under rather weak hypotheses on the time-dependent vector field. Later on we shall impose slightly stronger conditions and then simultaneously prove existence and uniqueness.

## 2. Cauchy-Peano Existence Theorem for First Order OdE

Theorem 2.1 (Cauchy-Peano Existence Theorem). Let $D \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ and let $V \subset D \times R$ be domains. If $F: V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$ is a continuous time-dependent vector field then for each $(x, s) \in V$ there exists an integral curve $\gamma_{x, s}: I_{x, s} \rightarrow D$ of $F$ passing through $x$ at the initial time $s$.

Before turning to the proof of Theorem 2.1, let us note that continuity of the time-dependent vector field $F$ is too weak an assumption as to imply uniqueness of the integral curve. Perhaps the simplest example is that of the vector field $F(x, t)=3 x^{2 / 3}$, for which the curve

$$
\gamma_{0,0}^{\langle c\rangle}: I_{0,0}=\mathbb{R} \ni t \mapsto(t-c)^{3} \chi_{[c, \infty)}(t) \in \mathbb{R}
$$

is an integral curve through 0 , with initial time 0 (i.e., $\gamma_{0,0}^{\langle c\rangle}(0)=0$ ) whenever $c \geq 0$. (The case $c=\infty$, which we can take to mean the identically zero solution, is also such an integral curve.)
Proof of Theorem [2.1] Fix $(x, s) \in V$ and $\delta>0$ such that $D_{\delta}(s):=\overline{B_{\delta}(x)} \times \overline{I_{\delta}(s)} \subset V$, where $I_{\delta}(s)=(s-\delta, s+\delta)$.

We claim there exist $\varepsilon>0$ and absolutely continuous $\gamma_{j}: I_{\varepsilon}(s) \rightarrow D, j=1,2, \ldots$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\gamma_{j}^{\prime}(t)-F\left(\gamma_{j}(t), t\right)\right| \leq 1 / j \quad \text { and } \quad\left|\gamma_{j}\left(\tau_{1}\right)-\gamma_{j}\left(\tau_{2}\right)\right| \leq\left|\tau_{1}-\tau_{2}\right| \sup _{D_{\delta}}(s)|F| \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $\tau_{1}, \tau_{2} \in I_{\delta}(s)$ and almost all $t \in I_{\delta}(s)$. To define $\gamma_{j}$ let $N>0$ be an integer (which will soon be taken very large), let $t_{o}:=s$, let $t_{m}:=s+m \varepsilon / N, m \in \mathbb{Z} \cap(-N, N)$, and define

- $\gamma_{j}(s)=x$,
- for $m \geq 0$ and $t \in\left(t_{m}, t_{m+1}\right], \gamma_{j}(t):=\gamma_{j}\left(t_{m}\right)+\left(t-t_{m}\right) F\left(\gamma_{j}\left(t_{m}\right), t_{m}\right)$, and
- for $m<0$ and $t \in\left(t_{m}, t_{m+1}\right], \gamma_{j}(t):=\gamma_{j}\left(t_{m+1}\right)+\left(t-t_{m+1}\right) F\left(\gamma_{j}\left(t_{m+1}\right), t_{m+1}\right)$.

To describe it in words, the curve $\gamma_{j}$ is piecewise linear, and the directions of the two line segments coming out of the corners of the image of $\gamma_{j}$ are parallel to the value of the vector field $F$ at the corner in question (and at the appropriate time) or else at one of the two neighboring corners.

The curves $\gamma_{j}$, if well-defined, are clearly continuous. The issue of well-definedness is that of making sure the curves do not escape the domain $V$, and this confinement to $V$ is guaranteed, for instance, if $\varepsilon \sup _{D_{\delta}(s)}|F|<\delta$. We therefore assume $\varepsilon>0$ is so small that the latter estimate holds. As a consequence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\gamma_{j}^{\prime}(t)-F\left(\gamma_{j}(t), t\right)\right| \leq\left|F\left(\gamma_{j}\left(t_{m}\right), t_{m}\right)-F\left(\gamma_{j}(t), t\right)\right|+\left|F\left(\gamma_{j}\left(t_{m+1}\right), t_{m+1}\right)-F\left(\gamma_{j}(t), t\right)\right| \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $t_{m}<t<t_{m+1}$. Since $D_{\delta}(s)$ is compact, $F$ is uniformly continuous on $D_{\delta}(s)$. Therefore, by taking $N$ sufficiently large we can make the right hand side of (2) as small as we like.

Next, if $t_{m}<\tau_{1}, \tau_{2} \leq t_{m+1}$ then

$$
\left|\gamma_{j}\left(\tau_{1}\right)-\gamma_{j}\left(\tau_{2}\right)\right| \leq\left|\tau_{1}-\tau_{2}\right| \sup _{D_{\delta}(s)}|F|,
$$

which is uniformly bounded. On the other hand, if $m \geq 0$ and $\tau_{1}<t_{m}<\tau_{2} \leq t_{m+1}$ then, using the fact that $\gamma_{j}\left(t_{m}\right)=\gamma_{j}\left(t_{m-1}\right)+\left(t_{m}-t_{m-1}\right) F\left(\gamma_{j}\left(t_{m-1}\right), t_{m-1}\right)$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\gamma_{j}\left(\tau_{1}\right)-\gamma_{j}\left(\tau_{2}\right)\right| & \left.=\mid\left(t_{m}-\tau_{1}\right) F\left(\gamma_{j}\left(t_{m-1}\right), t_{m-1}\right)+\left(\tau_{2}-t_{m}\right) F\left(\gamma_{j}\left(t_{m}\right), t\right) m\right) \mid \\
& \leq\left(\left|\left(t_{m}-\tau_{1}\left|+\left|\tau_{2}-t_{m}\right|\right) \sup _{D_{\delta}(s)}|F|=\left|\tau_{1}-\tau_{2}\right| \sup _{D_{\delta}(s)}|F|,\right.\right.\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

and a similar calculation works for $m<0$. Thus (1) is proved.
By the second estimate in (1) the sequence $\left\{\gamma_{j}\right\}$ is equicontinuous. Since $D_{\delta}(x)$ is also compact, the theorem of Ascoli-Arzela yields a subsequence $\gamma_{j_{\ell}}$ converging uniformly to $\gamma: I_{\varepsilon}(s) \rightarrow B_{\delta}(s)$.

The first estimate in (11) implies that $g=\lim _{\ell} \gamma_{j_{\ell}}^{\prime}$ exists uniformly and equals $F(\gamma(\cdot), \cdot)$. The equality $g=F(\gamma(\cdot), \cdot)$ implies that $g$ is continuous.

It remains to show that $\gamma$ is differentiable and satisfies the differential equation. Toward this end, observe that

$$
\gamma_{j}(t)=x+\int_{s}^{t}\left(F\left(\gamma_{j}(\tau), \tau\right)+\left(\gamma_{j}^{\prime}(\tau)-F\left(\gamma_{j}(\tau), \tau\right)\right)\right) d \tau
$$

By the first estimate in (1), we may pass to the limit as $j \rightarrow \infty$, obtaining

$$
\gamma(t)=x+\int_{s}^{t} F(\gamma(\tau), \tau) d \tau
$$

Thus $\gamma(s)=x, \gamma$ is differentiable, and $\gamma^{\prime}(t)=F(\gamma(t), t)$, as desired.

## 3. CONTRACTION MAPPINGS

In the proof of the existence and uniqueness theorem to be stated in the next section, we will need to make use of an iteration scheme due to Picard. The convergence of this iteration scheme depends on the concept of contraction mapping, which we now define.

DEfinition 3.1. Let $A \subset X$ be a subset of a metric space. A mapping $S: A \rightarrow A$ is said to be a contraction mapping if there exists some $r \in(0,1)$ such that

$$
d(S x, S y) \leq r \cdot d(x, y)
$$

for all $x, y \in X$.
The basic fact about contraction mappings is the following result.
Proposition 3.2. Let $X$ be a complete metric space and let $A \subset X$ be a closed subset. Let $S: A \rightarrow A$ be a contraction mapping. Then $S$ has a unique fixed point.

Proof. Let $x \in A$ be any point. Consider the sequence $\left\{x_{j}\right\}$ defined by

$$
x_{j}:=S^{(j)} x, \quad j=0,1,2, \ldots
$$

where $S^{(0)}=\mathrm{Id}$ is the identity map and $S^{(j)}:=S \circ S^{(j-1)}$ for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$. Then for all $j<k$ we have

$$
d\left(x_{j}, x_{k}\right) \leq \sum_{\ell=j}^{k-1} d\left(x_{\ell}, x_{\ell+1}\right) \leq \sum_{\ell=j}^{k-1} r^{\ell} d(x, S x)=\frac{r^{j}\left(1-r^{k-j-1}\right)}{1-r} d(x, S x) \leq \frac{r^{j}}{1-r} d(x, S x)
$$

It follows that $\left\{x_{j}\right\}$ is a Cauchy sequence, and since $A$ is closed (hence complete), the limit

$$
x_{*}:=\lim x_{j}
$$

exists and lies in $A$. Since a contraction mapping is continuous,

$$
x_{*}=\lim S^{(j)} x_{*}=\lim S \circ S^{(j-1)} x_{*}=S\left(\lim S^{(j)} x_{*}\right)=S x_{*} .
$$

Thus $x_{*}$ is a fixed point of $S$.
Finally, if $y$ is another fixed point of $S$ then

$$
0 \leq(1-r) d\left(x_{*}, y\right)=d\left(S x_{*}, S y\right)-r d\left(x_{*}, y\right) \leq(r-r) d\left(x_{*}, y\right)=0 .
$$

Thus $y=x_{*}$, and the proof is complete.

## 4. The Existence and Uniqueness Theorem for First Order ODE

DEFINITION 4.1. Let $f: U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$ be a function defined on a domain $U \subset \mathbb{R}^{m}$. We say that $f$ is locally Lipschitz if for each $p \in U$ and each $\varepsilon \in\left(0, \operatorname{dist}\left(p, U^{c}\right)\right.$ there exists a constant $K=K_{\varepsilon, p}$ such that

$$
|f(x)-f(y)| \leq K|x-y|
$$

for all $x, y \in B(p, \varepsilon):=\left\{z \in \mathbb{R}^{m} ;|z-p|<\varepsilon\right\}$.
Example 4.2. Any differentiable function is locally Lipschitz. On the other hand, the function $f: \mathbb{R} \ni x \mapsto|x| \in \mathbb{R}$ is (globally) Lipschitz but not differentiable.

Let $D \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ and $V \subset D \times \mathbb{R}$ be domains. For each $t \in \mathbb{R}$, we write

$$
V_{t}=\{x \in D ;(x, t) \in V\} .
$$

(It may happen that $V_{t}=\emptyset$ for some $t$.)
Definition 4.3. Let $D \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ and $V \subset D \times \mathbb{R}$ be domains, let $F: V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$ be a timedependent vector field. We say that $F$ is uniformly locally Lipschitz if for each $t \in \mathbb{R}$ the function $F_{t}: V_{t} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$ is locally Lipschitz and moreover the Lipschitz constant can be taken locally uniform with respect to $t$. In other words, for each $(x, t) \in V$ there is a neighborhood $U \subset V$ containing $(x, t)$ and a constant $K>0$ such that $\left|F_{s}\left(x_{1}\right)-F_{s}\left(x_{2}\right)\right| \leq K\left|x_{1}-x_{2}\right|$ for all $x_{1}, x_{2} \in D$ such that $\left(x_{1}, s\right),\left(x_{2}, s\right) \in U$.
Theorem 4.4 (Existence and Uniqueness Theorem for Ordinary Differential Equations).
Let $D \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ and $V \subset D \times \mathbb{R}$ be domains and let $F: V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$ be a continuous and locally uniformly Lipschitz time-dependent vector field. For each $(x, s) \in V$ there exists an integral curve $\gamma_{(x, s)}: I_{(x, s)} \rightarrow D$ for $F$. Moreover, the set of integral curves possesses the following uniqueness property: if $\gamma_{(x, s)}: I_{(x, s)} \rightarrow D$ and $\tilde{\gamma}_{(x, s)}: \tilde{I}_{(x, s)} \rightarrow D$ are two integral curves through $x$ at time $s$, then $\gamma_{(x, s)}(t)=\tilde{\gamma}_{(x, s)}(t)$ for all $t \in I_{(x, s)} \cap \tilde{I}_{(x, s)}$.
Proof. Let $\left(x_{o}, t_{o}\right) \in V$ and choose $\varepsilon>0$ such that $F$ is continuous in $B\left(x_{o}, \varepsilon\right) \times(-\varepsilon, \varepsilon)$ and Lipschitz in the first variable with Lipschitz constant $K$, i.e.,

$$
|F(x, t)-F(y, t)| \leq K|x-y|
$$

for all $(x, t),(y, t) \in B\left(x_{o}, \varepsilon\right) \times(-\varepsilon, \varepsilon)$. By continuity there exists a constant $M>0$ such that

$$
|F(x, t)| \leq M
$$

for all $(x, t) \in B\left(x_{o}, \varepsilon\right) \times(-\varepsilon, \varepsilon)$.
Choose positive constants $\alpha$ and $\beta$ such that
(i) with $I_{\alpha}:=\left\{t \in \mathbb{R} ;\left|t-t_{o}\right| \leq \alpha\right\}$ and $B_{\beta}:=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n} ;\left|x-x_{o}\right| \leq \beta\right\}$,

$$
B_{\beta} \times I_{\alpha} \subset B\left(x_{o}, \varepsilon\right) \times(-\varepsilon, \varepsilon),
$$

(ii) $\alpha M<\beta$, and
(iii) $\alpha K<1$.

Let $\mathscr{A}$ denote the set of continuous maps $\phi: I_{\alpha} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$ such that

$$
\left|\phi(t)-x_{o}\right| \leq \beta \quad \text { for all } t \in I_{\alpha}
$$

Equip $\mathscr{A}$ with the norm

$$
\|\phi\|_{\infty}:=\inf \left\{C>0 ;|\phi(t)|<C \text { a.e. } t \in I_{\alpha}\right\}=\sup _{I_{\alpha}}|\phi| .
$$

Since uniform limits of continuous functions are continuous, $\mathscr{A}$ is a closed bounded subset of the Banach (and hence complete metric) space $L^{\infty}\left(I_{\alpha}\right)$. Thus $\mathscr{A}$ is itself a complete metric space with respect to the metric

$$
d(\phi, \tilde{\phi}):=\|\phi-\tilde{\phi}\|_{\infty}
$$

Consider the operator $T$ defined by

$$
T \phi(t):=x_{o}+\int_{t_{o}}^{t} F(\phi(s), s) d s
$$

Observe first that if $\phi \in \mathscr{A}$ then clearly $T \phi$ is continuous and defined on all of $I_{\alpha}$. Moreover, for $t \in I_{\alpha}$ one has

$$
\left|T \phi(t)-x_{o}\right| \leq M\left|t-t_{o}\right| \leq M \alpha<\beta,
$$

where the last inequality follows from (ii). Thus $T \phi \in \mathscr{A}$, which is to say,

$$
T: \mathscr{A} \rightarrow \mathscr{A} .
$$

Next, observe that if $\phi_{1}, \phi_{2} \in \mathscr{A}$ then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|T \phi_{1}(t)-T \phi_{2}(t)\right| & =\left|\int_{t_{o}}^{t}\left(F\left(\phi_{1}(s), s\right)-F\left(\phi_{2}(s), s\right)\right) d s\right| \\
& \leq \int_{t_{o}}^{t} K\left|\phi_{1}(s)-\phi_{2}(s)\right| d s \\
& \leq K \alpha \sup _{I_{\alpha}}\left|\phi_{1}-\phi_{2}\right| .
\end{aligned}
$$

It follows from (iii) that for some $r \in(0,1)$,

$$
\left\|T \phi_{1}-T \phi_{2}\right\|_{\infty} \leq r\left\|\phi_{1}-\phi_{2}\right\|_{\infty}
$$

Thus $T: \mathscr{A} \rightarrow \mathscr{A}$ is a contraction mapping. Therefore by Proposition $3.2 T$ has a unique fixed point $\phi_{*} \in \mathscr{A}$.

Being a fixed point of $T, \phi_{*}$ satisfies the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{*}(t)=x_{o}+\int_{t_{o}}^{t} F\left(\phi_{*}(s), s\right) d s \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and therefore

$$
\frac{\phi_{*}(t+h)-\phi_{*}(t)}{h}=\frac{1}{h} \int_{t}^{t+h} F\left(\phi_{*}(s), s\right) d s \xrightarrow{h \rightarrow 0} F\left(\phi_{*}(t), t\right) .
$$

Since $\phi_{*} \in \mathscr{A}$, the latter limit is continuous, and thus the fixed point $\phi_{*}$ of $T$ is continuously differentiable, and satisfies the equation

$$
\phi_{*}^{\prime}(t)=F\left(\phi_{*}(t), t\right) .
$$

Since $\phi_{*}\left(t_{o}\right)=x_{o}$, we see that $\gamma_{\left(x_{o}, t_{o}\right)}(t):=\phi_{*}(t)$ is an integral curve of $F$ through $x_{o}$ at time $t_{o}$.
Conversely, any integral curve of $F$ satisfies the equation (3), and is therefore a fixed point of $T$. Since contraction mappings have a unique fixed point, any two integral curves must agree on $I_{\alpha}$. By carrying out the same proof in small intervals centered at all points of the intersection of the open set $I_{(x, s)} \cap \tilde{I}_{(x, s)}$, we obtain the uniqueness statement claimed in the theorem. The proof is therefore complete.

## 5. Maximal Integral Curves, Fundamental Domains, and Flows

Our next goal is to 'glue together' the integral curves of a time-dependent vector fields. The first task is to maximally extend integral curves.

Let $D \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ and $V \subset D \times \mathbb{R}$ be domains, and let $F: V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$ be a continuous, locally uniformly Lipschitz time-dependent vector field. Fix an initial condition $(x, s) \in V$. By Theorem 4.4, $F$ has an integral curve through $x$ with initial time $s$.

PROPOSITION 5.1. With the notation above, there exists a unique integral curve $\gamma_{(x, s)}: I_{(x, s)} \rightarrow D$ for $F$ passing through $x$ with initial time s such that if $\phi: I \rightarrow D$ is another integral curve for $F$ through $(x, s)$ then $I \subset I_{(x, s)}$.

Proof. With respect to inclusion of domains, the set $\mathscr{J}_{(x, s)}$ of all integral curves for $F$ passing through $x$ with initial time $s$ is partially ordered. Moreover, given two such integral curves $\phi_{i}$ : $I_{i} \rightarrow D, i=1,2$, Theorem4.4 implies that the function

$$
\phi(t):= \begin{cases}\phi_{1}(t), & t \in I_{1} \\ \phi_{2}(t), & t \in I_{2}\end{cases}
$$

is well-defined, and therefore $\phi: I_{1} \cup I_{2} \rightarrow D$ is also an integral curve for $F$ passing through $x$ with initial time $s$. It follows that $\mathscr{I}_{(x, s)}$ is a directed set. We have to show that it has a maximal element, which is then of course unique.

To this end, let $\left\{\phi_{i}: I_{i} \rightarrow D\right\}_{i \in I}$ be a maximal linearly ordered subset of $\mathscr{I}_{(x, s)}$. Then the set $I:=\bigcup_{i \in I} I_{i}$ is open, and the curve $\phi: I \rightarrow D$ defined by

$$
\phi(t)=\phi_{i}(t), \quad t \in I_{i}
$$

is well-defined by the uniqueness part of Theorem 4.4, and therefore in $\mathscr{I}_{(x, s)}$. Thus $\mathscr{I}_{(x, s)}$ has a unique maximal element in $\mathscr{I}_{(x, s)}$.

DEfinition 5.2. The unique maximal element of the set $\mathscr{I}_{(x, s)}$ defined in the proof of the previous proposition is called the maximal integral curve for $F$ through $(x, s)$. We shall denote the maximal integral curve for $F$ through $(x, s)$ by

$$
\Gamma_{(x, s)}: \mathcal{I}_{(x, s)} \rightarrow D
$$

One can also consider the unions of the graphs of the maximal integral curves.
Definition 5.3. The set

$$
\mathscr{U}_{F}:=\left\{(x, s, t) ;(x, s) \in V, t \in \mathcal{I}_{(x, s)}\right\} \subset V \times \mathbb{R}
$$

is called the fundamental domain of the time-dependent vector field $F$, and the map

$$
\Phi_{F}: \mathscr{U}_{F} \rightarrow D
$$

defined by $\Phi_{F}(x, s, t):=\Gamma_{(x, s)}(t)$ is called the time-dependent flow of $F$.
DEFINITION 5.4. The map $\Phi_{s}^{t}: D \rightarrow D$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{s}^{t}(x):=\Gamma_{(x, s)}(t)=\Phi_{F}(x, s, t) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

is called the time- $t$ map for the initial time $s$.
The uniqueness part of Theorem 4.4 implies a symmetry appearing in the composition law for the maps (4), stated in the following result.

PROPOSITION 5.5. For each $s \in \mathbb{R}$ one has

$$
\Phi_{s}^{s}(x)=x \quad \text { for all } x \in V_{s} .
$$

Moreover, if $(x, s, t) \in \mathscr{U}_{F}$ and $\left(\Phi_{s}^{t}(x), t, r\right) \in \mathscr{U}_{F}$, we have the pseudo-group law

$$
\Phi_{t}^{r} \circ \Phi_{s}^{t}(x)=\Phi_{s}^{r}(x) .
$$

## 6. SUSPENSION

Autonomous vector fields are special cases of time-dependent vector fields. In this section, we note that in a sense the converse is also true. To this end, let $D \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ and $V \subset D \times \mathbb{R}$ be domains and let $F: V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$ be a time-dependent vector field. Define $\xi_{F}: V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n} \times \mathbb{R}$ by the formula

$$
\xi_{F}(x, s):=(F(x, s), 1)
$$

The vector field $\xi_{F}$ is then autonomous, and its flow is given by the time- $t$ maps

$$
\Phi_{\xi_{F}}^{t}(x, s)=\left(\Phi_{s}^{s+t}(x), s+t\right) .
$$

It is therefore possible to extract the flow of $F$ from that of $\xi_{F}$. If one can find the latter flow, this is of course possible. In fact, if $F$ is continuous and locally uniformly Lipschitz on $V$ then $\xi_{F}$ is locally Lipschitz on $V$, so Theorem4.4 applies to $\xi_{F}$.

In view of the suspension construction, it suffices to focus attention on autonomous timedependent vector fields, which we shall simply call vector fields from here on.

## 7. Autonomous Vector Fields

From the point of view of classical mechanics, the general setting of time-dependent vector fields corresponds to physical systems in which the laws of physics change with time. Such situations can happen, but in nature we mostly find them when the particular physical system we are studying is not closed, i.e., it is part of a larger physical system.

By definition, the vector field representing a closed physical system is autonomous. That is to say, for each $x \in D$

$$
t \mapsto F(x, t)
$$

is constant. In this case, we choose the convention of always taking initial value problems to start at time $s=0$.

The fundamental domain and the flow are defined only slightly differently, so as to eliminate the initial time. Let us make the definitions precise.

DEFINITION 7.1. Let $\xi: D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$ be a vector field on a domain $D \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$.
(i) The maximal integral curve for $\xi$ through $x \in D$ is the maximal integral curve

$$
\Gamma_{x}: \mathcal{I}_{x} \rightarrow D
$$

where $\Gamma_{x}:=\Gamma_{(x, 0)}$ and $\mathcal{I}_{x}:=\mathcal{I}_{(x, 0)}$.
(ii) The fundamental domain of $\xi$ is the domain

$$
\mathscr{U}_{\xi}^{0}:=\left\{(x, t) ; t \in \mathcal{I}_{x}\right\} \subset D \times \mathbb{R} .
$$

(iii) The flow of $\xi$ is the map $\Phi_{\xi}: \mathscr{U}_{\xi}^{0} \rightarrow D$ defined by

$$
\Phi_{\xi}(x, t)=\Gamma_{x}(t)
$$

(iv) The time- $t$ map is the map $\Phi_{\xi}^{t}$ defined by

$$
\Phi_{\xi}^{t}(x)=\Phi_{\xi}(x, t)
$$

Note that $\mathscr{U}_{\xi}^{0}$ always contains $D \times\{0\}$. Note as well that the time- $t$ maps define the pseudogroup law

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{\xi}^{t} \circ \Phi_{\xi}^{s}=\Phi_{\xi}^{t+s} . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The link between the autonomous and time-dependent scenarios is the identity

$$
\Phi_{s}^{t}=\Phi_{\xi}^{t-s}
$$

An additional feature afforded to autonomous vector fields is the fact the any two maximal integral curves that meet are in fact identical, i.e., distinct maximal integral curves never meet. This separation of the integral curves is the content of the uniqueness aspect of Theorem4.4 in the case of autonomous equations.

## 8. REGULARITY OF SOLUTIONS

The flow of a vector field is constructed by gluing together integral curves. In this process, the regularity of the time- $t$ maps and of the flows is far from clear. As it turns out, the behavior of the flow is remarkably good.

Before beginning our study, we establish the following lemma, whose usefulness in the study of regularity of solutions to ODE cannot be overstated.

Lemma 8.1 (Gronwall's Inequality). Let $f, g:[a, b) \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ be continuous functions, and assume there is a constant $A \geq 0$ such that

$$
f(t) \leq A+\int_{a}^{t} f(s) g(s) d s
$$

Then

$$
f(t) \leq A \exp \left(\int_{a}^{t} g(s) d s\right) \quad \text { for all } t \in[a, b)
$$

Proof. Assume first that $A>0$. The function $h(t):=A+\int_{a}^{t} f(s) g(s) d s$ is positive and satisfies $h^{\prime}(t)=f(t) g(t) \leq h(t) g(t)$. Hence $\frac{d}{d t} \log h(t) \leq f(t)$, so $\log h(t) \leq \int_{a}^{t} f(s) d s+\log h(a)=$ $\log \left(h(a) \exp \left(\int_{a}^{t} f(s) d s\right)\right)$. Since $h(a)=A$ we have

$$
f(t) \leq h(t) \leq A \exp \left(\int_{a}^{t} f(s) d s\right)
$$

If $A=0$ then of course $f(t) \leq \int_{a}^{t} f(s) g(s) d s \leq \varepsilon+\int_{a}^{t} f(s) g(s) d s$, and by what was just proved $f(t) \leq \varepsilon \exp \left(\int_{a}^{t} f(s) d s\right)$ for every positive $\varepsilon$. It follows that $f \leq 0$, as needed.

Next we define the required notion of regularity.

Definition 8.2. Let $D \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ be an open set, let $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and let $\alpha \in(0,1]$. A function $f: D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is said said to be $\mathscr{C}_{\ell o c}^{k, \alpha}$ - one writes $f \in \mathscr{C}^{k, \alpha}(D)$ - if $f \in \mathscr{C}^{k}(D)$ and for every $x \in D$ and every $\varepsilon \in\left(0, \operatorname{dist}\left(x, D^{c}\right)\right)$ there is a positive constant $K=K(x, \varepsilon)$ such that every $k^{\text {th }}$ order partial derivative $g_{I}:=\frac{\partial^{k} f}{\partial x_{1} \ldots \partial x^{i_{n}}}$ of $f$ (i.e., $I=\left(i_{1}, \ldots, i_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{N}^{n}$ is a multiindex of order $|I|:=$ $i_{1}+\cdots+i_{n}=k$ ) satisfies

$$
\left|g_{I}\left(x_{1}\right)-g_{I}\left(x_{2}\right)\right| \leq K\left|x_{1}-x_{2}\right|^{\alpha} \quad \text { for all } x_{1}, x_{2} \in D_{\varepsilon}(x)
$$

In particular, $\mathscr{C}_{\ell o c}^{0,1}(D)$ is the set of locally Lipschitz functions on $D$.
For a map $F=\left(f^{1}, \ldots, f^{m}\right): D \rightarrow R \subset \mathbb{R}^{m}, F \in \mathscr{C}_{l o c}^{k, \alpha}(D, R)$ if $f^{1}, \ldots, f^{m} \in \mathscr{C}_{l o c}^{k, \alpha}(D)$, i.e., $F$ is $\mathscr{C}_{\text {loc }}^{k, \alpha}$ if and only if each component $f^{j}$ of $F$ is $\mathscr{C}_{l o c}^{k, \alpha}$.

The central result about regularity of the time- $t$ maps of a vector field $\xi \in \mathscr{C}_{l o c}^{k, \alpha}(D)$ on a domain $D$ is the following theorem.

THEOREM 8.3 (Smooth dependence on Initial Conditions). Let $D \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ be a domain and let $\xi: D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$ be a $\mathscr{C}_{\text {loc }}^{k, 1}$ vector field. Denote by $\Phi_{\xi}: \mathscr{U}_{\xi}^{o} \rightarrow D$ the flow of $\xi$. Then
a. for any open set $U \subset \subset D$ and each $t \in \mathbb{R}$ such that the time-t map $\Phi_{\xi}^{t}$ is defined on $U$, $\Phi_{\xi}^{t} \in \mathscr{C}_{\ell o c}^{k, 1}(U)$,
b. for each $x \in D$ the integral curve $\gamma_{x}: \mathcal{I}_{x} \ni t \mapsto \Phi_{\xi}^{t}(x)$ is in $\mathscr{C}^{k+1}\left(\mathcal{I}_{x}\right)$, and
c. the flow $\Phi_{\xi}: \mathscr{U}_{\xi}^{o} \rightarrow D$ is $\mathscr{C}^{k, 1}$.

Proof. We begin with the case $k=0$. In this case the fact that $\gamma_{x} \in \mathscr{C}^{1}\left(\mathcal{I}_{x}\right)$ is a part of Theorem 4.4, so we need only show that $\Phi_{\xi}$ is locally Lipschitz. We begin by showing that $\Phi_{\xi}^{t}$ is locally Lipschitz on its domain of definition. xBy the pseudogroup law it suffices to assume that $t \in[-\varepsilon, \varepsilon]$ for some sufficiently small $\varepsilon$. Let $x \in D$ and let $\varepsilon>0$ be so small that $\Phi_{\xi}^{t}(y) \in D$ if $y \in \overline{B_{\varepsilon}(x)}$ and $t \in[-\varepsilon, \varepsilon]$. For any $x_{1}, x_{2} \in B_{\varepsilon}(x)$ consider the function $f(t):=\left\|\Phi_{\xi}^{t}\left(x_{1}\right)-\Phi_{\xi}^{t}\left(x_{2}\right)\right\|$. Then

$$
f(t)=\left\|\int_{0}^{t}\left(\xi\left(\Phi_{\xi}^{s}\left(x_{1}\right)\right)-\xi\left(\Phi_{\xi}^{s}\left(x_{2}\right)\right)\right)+x_{1}-x_{2}\right\| \leq\left\|x_{1}-x_{2}\right\|+K \int_{0}^{t} f(s) d s
$$

where $K$ is the local Lipschitz constant of $\xi$ on $B_{\varepsilon}(x)$. By Gronwall's Inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\Phi_{\xi}^{t}\left(x_{1}\right)-\Phi_{\xi}^{t}\left(x_{2}\right)\right\| \leq e^{K \mid t t}\left\|x_{1}-x_{2}\right\| \leq e^{\varepsilon K}\left\|x_{1}-x_{2}\right\|, \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

which proves a. We already know from Theorem4.4 that the integral curve $\gamma_{x}$ is $\mathscr{C}^{1}$, i.e., that b holds. Finally, if $t_{1}, t_{2} \in I_{\varepsilon}(t):=(t-\varepsilon, t+\varepsilon)$ and $U \subset \subset D$ is such that $(t-\varepsilon, t+\varepsilon) \times U \subset \mathscr{U}_{\xi}^{o}$ then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\Phi_{\xi}^{t_{1}}\left(x_{1}\right)-\Phi_{\xi}^{t_{2}}\left(x_{2}\right)\right\| & \leq\left\|\Phi_{\xi}^{t_{1}}\left(x_{1}\right)-\Phi_{\xi}^{t_{2}}\left(x_{1}\right)\right\|+\left\|\Phi_{\xi}^{t_{2}}\left(x_{1}\right)-\Phi_{\xi}^{t_{2}}\left(x_{2}\right)\right\| \\
& \leq\left(\sup _{(\tau, x) \in I_{\varepsilon}(t) \times D}\left\|\xi\left(\Phi_{\xi}^{\tau}(x)\right)\right\|\right)\left|t_{1}-t_{2}\right|+\left\|\Phi_{\xi}^{t_{2}}\left(x_{1}\right)-\Phi_{\xi}^{t_{2}}\left(x_{2}\right)\right\| \\
& \leq\left(\sup _{(\tau, x) \in I_{\varepsilon}(t) \times D}\left\|\xi\left(\Phi_{\xi}^{\tau}(x)\right)\right\|\right)\left|t_{1}-t_{2}\right|+e^{K \varepsilon}\left\|x_{1}-x_{2}\right\|
\end{aligned}
$$

where the second inequality follows from the Mean Value Theorem and the third inequality is (6). Thus c holds, and the case $k=0$ is proved.

Let us now turn to the case $k=1$, i.e., assume $\xi \in \mathscr{C}_{\ell o c}^{1,1}(D)$. For fixed $x \in D$ consider the linear time-dependent vector field $F_{x}(y, t):=d \xi\left(\Phi_{\xi}^{t}(x)\right) y$. Let us write $\Psi(x, t) y:=\Phi_{0}^{t}(y)$, where $\Phi_{o}^{t}$ is the time-dependent flow of $F_{x}(y, t)$. By the uniqueness part of Theorem4.4 $\Psi(x, t)$ depends linearly on $y$, which is to say, $\Psi(x, t)$ lies in the space $\operatorname{Hom}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, \mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ of linear maps of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ to itself. Moreover, $\Psi(x, t)$ is invertible because $\Phi_{t}^{0} \circ \Phi_{0}^{t}=\Phi_{t}^{t}=\mathrm{Id}$. We can therefore think of $d \xi\left(\Phi_{\xi}^{t}(x)\right)$ as a vector field on the linear space $\operatorname{Hom}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, \mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$.

By its definition, the curve $t \mapsto \Psi(x, t) \in \operatorname{Hom}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, \mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ satisfies the differential equation

$$
\frac{d}{d t} \Psi(x, t)=d \xi\left(\Phi_{\xi}^{t}(x)\right) \Psi(x, t)
$$

with the initial condition $\Psi(x, 0)=I$. We claim that the map $(x, t) \mapsto \Psi(x, t)$ is continuous. Indeed, since $\xi \in \mathscr{C}_{\ell o c}^{1,1}(D), d \xi$ is locally Lipschitz, and by the first part of the proof we have already seen that $\Phi_{\xi}$ is Lipschitz. Therefore

$$
\|\Psi(x, t)\|=\left\|\operatorname{Id}+\int_{0}^{t} d \xi\left(\Phi_{\xi}^{s}(x)\right) \Psi(x, s) d s\right\| \leq 1+\int_{0}^{t}\left\|d \xi\left(\Phi_{\xi}^{s}(x)\right)\right\| \cdot\|\Psi(x, s)\| d s
$$

and Gronwall's Inequality yields $\|\Psi(x, t)\| \leq \exp \left(\int_{0}^{t}\left\|d \xi\left(\Phi_{\xi}^{s}(x)\right)\right\| d s\right)$. In particular, $\|\Psi(x, t)\|$ is locally uniformly bounded in $x$ and $t$.

Now, if $x_{1}, x_{2}$ are sufficiently close to $x$ then, since $\Psi\left(x_{1}, 0\right)=\Psi\left(x_{2}, 0\right)=\mathrm{Id}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Psi\left(x_{1}, t\right)-\Psi\left(x_{2}, t\right) \\
= & \int_{0}^{t}\left(d \xi\left(\Phi_{\xi}^{s}\left(x_{1}\right)\right) \Psi\left(x_{1}, s\right)-d \xi\left(\Phi_{\xi}^{s}\left(x_{2}\right)\right) \Psi\left(x_{2}, s\right)\right) d s \\
= & \int_{0}^{t}\left(\left(d \xi\left(\Phi_{\xi}^{s}\left(x_{1}\right)\right)-d \xi\left(\Phi_{\xi}^{s}\left(x_{2}\right)\right)\right) \Psi\left(x_{1}, s\right)+d \xi\left(\Phi_{\xi}^{s}\left(x_{2}\right)\right)\left(\Psi\left(x_{1}, s\right)-\Psi\left(x_{2}, s\right)\right)\right) d s
\end{aligned}
$$

and hence, since we observed that $d \xi \circ \Phi_{\xi}^{t}$ is locally Lipschitz uniformly in $t$ and we've just shown that $\|\Psi(x, t)\|$ is locally uniformly bounded in $x$ and $t$,

$$
\left\|\Psi\left(x_{1}, t\right)-\Psi\left(x_{2}, t\right)\right\| \leq A\left\|x_{1}-x_{2}\right\|+K \int_{0}^{t}\left\|\Psi\left(x_{1}, s\right)-\Psi\left(x_{2}, s\right)\right\| d s
$$

Thus by Gronwall's Inequality again,

$$
\left\|\Psi\left(x_{1}, t\right)-\Psi\left(x_{2}, t\right)\right\| \leq A\left\|x_{1}-x_{2}\right\| e^{K t} .
$$

Moreover, another application of the Mean Value Theorem gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\Psi\left(x_{1}, t_{1}\right)-\Psi\left(x_{2}, t_{2}\right)\right\| & \leq\left\|\Psi\left(x_{1}, t_{1}\right)-\Psi\left(x_{1}, t_{2}\right)\right\|+\left\|\Psi\left(x_{1}, t_{2}\right)-\Psi\left(x_{2}, t_{2}\right)\right\| \\
& \leq\left(\sup _{t_{1} \leq t \leq t_{2}, x} d \xi\left(\Phi_{\xi}^{t}(x)\right) \Psi(x, t)\right)\left|t_{1}-t_{2}\right|+A\left\|x_{1}-x_{2}\right\| e^{K \varepsilon}
\end{aligned}
$$

which shows that $\Psi$ is Lipschitz.
Finally, observe that the map $\widehat{\Psi}:(x, t) \mapsto d \Phi_{\xi}^{t}(x)$ satisfies $\widehat{\Psi}(x, 0)=d \Phi_{\xi}^{0}(x)=\operatorname{Id}$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{d t} \widehat{\Psi}(x, t) & =\frac{d}{d t} d \Phi_{\xi}^{t}(x) y=\left.\frac{d}{d t} \frac{d}{d s}\right|_{s=0} \Phi_{\xi}^{t}(x+s y)=\left.\frac{d}{d s}\right|_{s=0} \xi\left(\Phi_{\xi}^{t}(x+s y)\right) \\
& =d \xi\left(\Phi_{\xi}^{t}(x)\right) d \Phi_{\xi}^{t}(x) y=d \xi\left(\Phi_{\xi}^{t}(x)\right) \widehat{\Psi}(x, t) y
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $y \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$. By the uniqueness part of Theorem $4.4 \widehat{\Psi}=\Psi$. Thus we have shown that the flow $\Phi_{\xi}$ is $\mathscr{C}^{1,1}$ when $\xi$ is $\mathscr{C}^{1,1}$. Moreover,

$$
\frac{d^{2}}{d t^{2}} \Phi_{\xi}^{t}(x)=\frac{d}{d t} \xi \circ \Phi_{\xi}^{t}(x)=d \xi\left(\Phi_{\xi}^{t}(x)\right) \xi\left(\Phi_{\xi}^{t}(x)\right)
$$

which shows that the flow $\Phi_{\xi}$ is then $\mathscr{C}^{2}$ in $t$. This completes the proof of the case $k=1$.
Now suppose the result has been proved up to $k-1$, i.e., we have shown that, for any vector field $\eta$, if $\eta \in \mathscr{C}_{l o c}^{k-1,1}(D)$ then $\psi_{\eta}$ is $\mathscr{C}_{l o c}^{k-1,1}$ in $x$ and $\mathscr{C}^{k}$ in $t$. We have already computed that

$$
\frac{d}{d t} d \Phi_{\xi}^{t}(x)=d \xi\left(\Phi_{\xi}^{t}(x)\right) d \Phi_{\xi}^{t}(x) \quad \text { and } \quad \frac{d^{2}}{d t^{2}} \Phi_{\xi}^{t}(x)=d \xi\left(\Phi_{\xi}^{t}(x)\right) \xi\left(\Phi_{\xi}^{t}(x)\right)
$$

As one can verify by repeated application of the chain rule, the right hand sides of both equations are $\mathscr{C}_{l o c}^{k-1,1}$. Therefore, by our induction hypothesis, so are the solutions. Hence we see that $\Phi_{\xi}$ is $\mathscr{C}_{\ell o c}^{k, 1}$ in $x$ and $\mathscr{C}^{k+1}$ in $t$. The proof is therefore complete.

COROLLARY 8.4. If $\xi: D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$ is a $\mathscr{C}^{\infty}$ vector field then $\Phi_{\xi}: \mathscr{U}_{\xi}^{o} \rightarrow D$ is $\mathscr{C}^{\infty}$.
If $D \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ and $\xi: D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$ is a real-analytic vector field, it is not immediately clear from Theorem 8.3 that $\Phi_{\xi}$ is real-analytic. Nevertheless this is indeed the case.
THEOREM 8.5. If $\xi: D \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{n}$ is a real-analytic vector field then the flow $\Phi_{\xi}: \mathscr{U}_{\xi}^{o} \rightarrow D$ is real-analytic.

We shall omit the proof of Theorem 8.5. The reader is invited to check that the estimates obtained in the proof of Theorem 8.3 are strong enough to prove that the solution is real-analytic when the vector field $\xi$ is real-analytic.

## 9. Dependence on Parameters

Theorem 9.1 (Dependence on Initial Conditions). Let $P$ be a compact topological spaces and let $\xi_{p}$ be a locally Lipschitz vector field for each $p \in P$. Assume, moreover, that the map

$$
P \times D \ni(p, x) \mapsto \xi_{p}(x) \in \mathbb{R}^{n}
$$

is continuous. Then the flow $\Phi_{\xi_{p}}$ of $\xi_{p}$ depends continuously on $p$, in the sense that for each relatively compact open set $U \subset \subset D$ and each $\varepsilon>0$ such that $\mathscr{U}_{\xi_{p}}^{o}$ contains $D \times(-\varepsilon, \varepsilon)$ for all $p \in P$ the map

$$
P \times U \times(-\varepsilon, \varepsilon) \ni(p, x, t) \mapsto \Phi_{\xi_{p}}^{t}(x) \in D
$$

is continuous.
Proof. We know that the map $f_{x, p}(t):=t \mapsto \Phi_{\xi_{p}}^{t}(x)$ is the unique solution of the equation

$$
f_{x, p}(t)=x+\int_{0}^{t} f_{x, p}(s) d s
$$

This integral equation suggests an approximation scheme, and in fact this approximation scheme was in some sense used to prove Theorem 4.4,

We assume first that $t>0$. Let us fix $p_{o} \in P$ and $x_{o} \in X$. For $p$ and $x$ sufficiently close to $p_{o}$ and $x_{o}$ respectively, define

$$
f_{o}(t, x, p):=x+t \xi_{p_{o}}\left(x_{o}\right)
$$

and, inductively,

$$
f_{j+1}(t, x, p):=x+\int_{0}^{t} \xi\left(f_{j}(s, x, p)\right) d s, \quad j \geq o
$$

Fix $\varepsilon>0$. Clearly the functions $f_{j}$ depend continuously on $t, x$ and $p$, and moreover there exist $\delta>0$ and a sufficiently small open neighborhood $N_{p_{o}}$ of $p_{o}$ in $P$ such that if $\left\|x-x_{o}\right\|<\delta$ and $p \in N_{p_{o}}$ then

$$
\left\|f_{1}(t, x, p)-f_{o}(t, x, p)\right\|=\left\|\int_{0}^{t}\left(\xi_{p}\left(f_{o}(t, x, p)\right)-\xi_{p_{o}}\left(x_{o}\right)\right) d s\right\| \leq|t| \varepsilon
$$

Now fix $k \geq 1$ and, by way of induction, assume we have proved that

$$
\left\|f_{k}(t, x, p)-f_{k-1}(t, x, p)\right\| \leq \frac{\varepsilon t^{k} K^{k-1}}{k!}
$$

where $K$ is the local Lipschitz constant for $\xi$ in the neighborhood $B_{\delta}\left(x_{o}\right)$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|f_{k+1}(t, x, p)-f_{k}(t, x, p)\right\| & \leq \int_{o}^{t}\left\|\xi\left(f_{k}(s, x, p)\right)-\xi\left(f_{k-1}(s, x, p)\right)\right\| d s \\
& \leq \int_{0}^{t} K\left\|f_{k}(s, x, p)-f_{k-1}(s, x, p)\right\| d s \leq \frac{\varepsilon K^{k}}{k!} \int_{0}^{t} s^{k} d s=\frac{\varepsilon t^{k+1} K^{k}}{(k+1)!}
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, setting $f_{-1}=0$, we see that

$$
f_{N}(t, x, p):=\sum_{k=0}^{N}\left(f_{k}(t, x, p)-f_{k-1}(t, x, p)\right)
$$

which depends continuously on $t, x$ and $p$, converges uniformly to some function $f(t, x, p)$ so long as

$$
\varepsilon \sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{t^{k} K^{k-1}}{k!}=\frac{\varepsilon}{K}\left(e^{K t}-1\right)<\delta-t| | \xi_{p_{o}}\left(x_{o}\right) \|
$$

Indeed, if this is the case then

$$
\left\|f_{N}(t, x, p)-x\right\| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{K}\left(e^{K t}-1\right)+t\left\|\xi_{p_{o}}\left(x_{o}\right)\right\|
$$

which is required to force $f_{k}(t, x, p)$ to remain inside the ball $B_{\delta}\left(x_{o}\right)$. Therefore we see that if $t$ is bounded above by a sufficiently small constant then the limit $f(t, x, p)$ exists uniformly in $t, x$ and $p$. Therefore this limit is a continuous function of $t, x$ and $p$.

If $t<0$ then the same result is obtained from the above proof by replacing $t$ with $-t$ everywhere. Finally, note that the limit, being uniform, satisfies

$$
f(t, x, p)=x+\int_{0}^{t} \xi_{p}(f(s, x, p)) d s
$$

By Theorem4.4 $f(t, x, p)=\Phi_{\xi_{p}}^{t}(x)$, and the proof is complete.
If we are willing to allow our parameter space $P$ to be an open set in Euclidean space then Theorem 9.1 has a much stronger generalization with a much simpler proof.

Corollary 9.2. Let $P \subset \mathbb{R}^{m}$ be a domain and let $\left\{\xi_{p} ; p \in P\right\}$ be a family of $\mathscr{C}_{\text {loc }}^{k, 1}$ vector fields such that the map

$$
P \times D \ni(p, x) \mapsto \xi_{p}(x) \in \mathbb{R}^{n}
$$

is $\mathscr{C}^{k}$. Then the map

$$
P \times D \times \mathbb{R} \ni(p, x, t) \mapsto \Phi_{\xi_{p}}^{t}(x) \in D
$$

wherever it is defined, is $\mathscr{C}^{k}$.
Proof. Consider the vector field $\tilde{\xi}$ on $D \times P$ defined by $\tilde{\xi}(x, p):=\left(\xi_{p}(x), 0\right)$. By hypothesis this vector field in $\mathscr{C}_{\text {loc }}^{k, 1}$, and hence by Theorem8.3 its flow $\Phi_{\tilde{\xi}}: \mathscr{U}_{\tilde{\xi}}^{o} \rightarrow D \times P$ is $\mathscr{C}_{\text {loc }}^{k, 1}$. But this flow is uniquely determined by the differential equation, and one can check directly that the map

$$
D \times P \ni(x, p) \mapsto\left(\Phi_{\xi_{p}}^{t}(x), p\right)
$$

solves the equation. Therefore $\Phi_{\tilde{\xi}}^{t}(x, p) \equiv\left(\Phi_{\xi_{p}}^{t}(x), p\right)$, and in particular, $(x, p) \mapsto \Phi_{\xi_{p}}(x)$ is $\mathscr{C}_{\ell o c}^{k, 1}$. The proof is complete.

## 10. Complete Vector Fields

The pseudo-group law (5) is not a group law only because integral curves are not defined for a long enough time, i.e., even if $t$ and $s$ both lie in the domains of their respective integral curves, $t+s$ may not. The situation in which this failure does not happen is therefore particularly important, and we study it in more detail now.

DEFINITION 10.1. A vector field $\xi: D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$ is said to be complete (sometimes also called completely integrable) if the domain of every maximal integral curve is $\mathbb{R}$.

We have the following simple Proposition.
Proposition 10.2. Let $\xi: D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$ be a $\mathscr{C}_{\text {loc }}^{k, 1}$ vector field defined on a domain $D \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$. Then the following are equivalent.
(i) $\xi$ is complete.
(ii) There exists a positive number $\varepsilon$ such that for each $x \in D, \mathcal{I}_{x} \supset(-\varepsilon, \varepsilon)$.
(iii) For each $t \in \mathbb{R}$, the map $\Phi_{\xi}^{t}$ is a $\mathscr{C}_{\text {loc }}^{k, 1}$-diffeomorphism of $D: \Phi_{\xi}^{t} \in \operatorname{Diff}^{k}(D) \cap \mathscr{C}_{\text {loc }}^{k, 1}(D)$.
(iv) For some $t \in \mathbb{R}-\{0\}$, $\Phi_{\xi}^{t} \in \operatorname{Diff}^{k}(D) \cap \mathscr{C}_{\text {loc }}^{k, 1}(D)$.
(v) The set of maps $\left\{\Phi_{\xi}^{t}\right\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ is a 1-parameter subgroup of $\operatorname{Diff}^{k}(D) \cap \mathscr{C}_{\text {loc }}^{k, 1}(D)$.
(vi) The fundamental domain of $\xi$ is $D \times \mathbb{R}$.

The proof is left to the reader as an exercise.

## 11. Approximation

In this section we study a technique, initiated by Euler, for the approximation of integral curves and more generally flows. We confine ourselves to autonomous vector fields for the time being.

DEFINITION 11.1. Let $\xi: D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$ be a vector field on a domain $D \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ and let $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ be an open interval containing 0 . An algorithm for $\xi$ is a map $H: D \times I \rightarrow D$ such that, with $H_{t}(x):=H(x, t)$,
(i) $H_{0}=\mathrm{Id}$,
(ii) $H(x, \cdot)$ is $\mathscr{C}^{1}$ and its derivative is continuous in $D \times I$, and
(iii) $\left.\frac{\partial H}{\partial t}\right|_{t=0}=\xi$.

The basic approximation theorem is the following result.
THEOREM 11.2. Let $H$ be an algorithm for a Lipschitz vector field $\xi$. If $(t, x) \in \mathscr{U}_{\xi}^{0}$ then for all $N \gg 0, H_{t / N}^{(N)}(x)$ is defined, and converges to $\Phi_{\xi}^{t}(x)$. Conversely, if $H_{t / N}^{(N)}(x)$ is defined and converges for $t \in[0, T]$ then $(T, x) \in \mathscr{U}_{\xi}^{0}$ and

$$
\lim _{N \rightarrow \infty} H_{t / N}^{(N)}(x)=\Phi_{\xi}^{t}(x)
$$

In both statements, the converges is locally uniform on $D \times I$.
Proof. We begin by showing that the convergence holds locally. To this end, let $x_{o} \in D$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{t}(x)=x+O(t) \quad \text { and } \quad \Phi_{\xi}^{t}(x)-H_{t}(x)=o(t) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $H_{t / j}^{(j)}(x)$ is well-defined for $x$ in a small neighborhood of $x_{o}$, for $j=1,2, \ldots, N-1$, then the semi-group law for time- $t$ maps and the first estimate in (7) shows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
H_{t / N}^{(N)}(x)-x= & H_{t / N}^{(N)}(x)-H_{t / N}^{(N-1)}(x)+H_{t / N}^{(N-1)}(x)-H_{t / N}^{(N-2)}(x) \\
& \quad \ldots+H_{t / N}(x)-x \\
= & N O(t / N)=O(t)
\end{aligned}
$$

which is small independently of $N$, for $t$ sufficiently small. Thus for $x$ sufficiently close to $x_{o}$ and $t$ sufficiently small, $H_{t / N}^{(N)}(x)$ remains close to $x_{o}$ for all $N$. In other words, with

$$
x_{j}=H_{t / j}^{(j)}(x),
$$

$\left\|x_{j}-x_{o}\right\|<\varepsilon$ for $x$ sufficiently close to $x_{o}$ and $t$ sufficiently small. From the semi-group law for $\Phi_{\xi}^{t}$, we also have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Phi_{\xi}^{t}(x)-H_{t / N}^{(N)}(x)= & \left(\Phi_{\xi}^{t / N}\right)^{(N)}(x)-H_{t / N}^{(N)}(x) \\
= & \left(\Phi_{\xi}^{t / N}\right)^{(N-1)}\left(\Phi_{\xi}^{t / N}(x)\right)-\left(\Phi_{\xi}^{t / N}\right)^{(N-1)}\left(H_{t / N}(x)\right) \\
& +\sum_{j=2}^{N}\left(\Phi_{\xi}^{t / N}\right)^{(N-j)}\left(\Phi_{\xi}^{t / N}\left(x_{j}\right)\right)-\left(\Phi_{\xi}^{t / N}\right)^{(N-j)}\left(H_{t / N}\left(x_{j}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Now, the hypotheses on $\xi$ imply the estimate (6), as was shown in the beginning of the proof of Theorem 8.3. Repeated application of (6) yields the estimate

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\Phi_{\xi}^{t}(x)-H_{t / N}^{(N)}(x)\right\| & \leq \sum_{k=1}^{N} e^{K|t|(N-k) / N}\left\|\Phi_{\xi}^{t / N}\left(x_{N-k-1}\right)-H_{t / N}\left(x_{N-k-1}\right)\right\| \\
& \leq N e^{K|t|} o(t / N)
\end{aligned}
$$

and the last quantity converges, as $N \rightarrow \infty$, to 0 uniformly on a small ball centered at $x_{o}$ and for all sufficiently small $t$. The final estimate uses the second estimate of (7).

Having handled the case of short times, we now proceed to longer times. To this end, suppose first that $\Phi_{\xi}^{t}(x)$ is defined for all $t \in[0, T]$. By what we have just done, if $k$ is sufficiently large then

$$
\Phi_{\xi}^{t / k}(y)=\lim _{\substack{k \rightarrow \infty \\ 14}} H_{t / k}^{(k)}(y)
$$

holds uniformly for $t \in[0, T]$ and $y$ in a bounded neighborhood of the curve $\left\{\Phi_{\xi}^{t}(x) ; t \in[0, T]\right\}$. Thus

$$
\Phi_{\xi}^{t}(x)=\left(\Phi_{\xi}^{t / k}\right)^{(k)}(x)=\lim _{N \rightarrow \infty}\left(H_{t /(k N)}^{(N)}\right)^{(k)}(x)=\lim _{N \rightarrow \infty} H_{t /(k N)}^{(N k)}(x)=\lim _{N \rightarrow \infty} H_{t / N}^{(N)}(x)
$$

Conversely, suppose $t \mapsto H_{t / N}^{(N)}(x)$ converges to a curve $c:[o, T] \rightarrow D$. Let

$$
S=\left\{t \in[0, T] ; \Phi_{\xi}^{t}(x) \text { is defined and equal to } c(t)\right\}
$$

Clearly $0 \in S$, and from the local result $S$ is relatively open. Let $\left\{t_{k}\right\} \subset S$ and suppose $t_{k} \rightarrow t$. Then $\Phi_{\xi}^{t_{k}}(x) \rightarrow c(t)$ so by Theorem $4.4 \Phi_{\xi}^{t}(x)$ is defined, and by continuity, $\Phi_{\xi}^{t}(x)=c(t)$. Thus $S$ is closed, and hence $S=[0, T]$.

Finally, observe that by existence and uniqueness, $\Phi_{\xi}^{-t}=\Phi_{-\xi}^{t}$, so the above proof applies to negative times as well.

