

**A natural smooth compactification of the space of elliptic curves in projective space via blowing up the space of stable maps**

RAVI VAKIL AND ALEKSEY ZINGER

The moduli space of stable maps  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,k}(X, \beta)$  to a complex projective manifold  $X$  (where  $g$  is the genus,  $k$  is the number of marked points, and  $\beta \in H_2(X, \mathbb{Z})$  is the image homology class) is the central tool and object of study in Gromov-Witten theory. The open subset corresponding to maps from smooth curves is denoted  $\mathcal{M}_{g,k}(X, \beta)$ .

The protean example is  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,k}(\mathbb{P}^n, d)$ . This space is wonderful in essentially all ways: it is irreducible, smooth, and contains  $\mathcal{M}_{0,k}(\mathbb{P}^n, d)$  as a dense open subset. The boundary

$$\Delta := \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,k}(\mathbb{P}^n, d) \setminus \mathcal{M}_{0,k}(\mathbb{P}^n, d)$$

is normal crossings. The divisor theory is fully understood, and combinatorially tractable [4]. In some sense, this should be seen as the natural generalization of the space of complete conics compactifying the space of smooth conics.

It is natural to wonder if such a beautiful structure exists in higher genus. In arbitrary genus, however, there is no reasonable hope:  $\mathcal{M}_g(\mathbb{P}^n, d)$  is badly behaved. (We emphasize that even the *interior* of the moduli space of stable maps is badly-behaved.) More precisely,  $\mathcal{M}_g(\mathbb{P}^n, d)$  (as  $g$ ,  $n$ , and  $d$  vary) is arbitrarily singular in a well-defined sense — it can have essentially any singularity, and can have components of various dimension meeting in various ways with various nonreduced structures [6]. In short, there is no reasonable hope of describing a desingularization, as this would in essence involve describing a resolution of singularities.

In genus one, however, the situation remains remarkably beautiful. Although  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,k}(\mathbb{P}^n, d)$  in general has many components, it is straightforward to show that  $\mathcal{M}_{1,k}(\mathbb{P}^n, d)$  is irreducible and smooth. Let  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,k}^0(\mathbb{P}^n, d)$  be the closure of this open subset (the “main component” of the moduli space).

We will describe a natural desingularization of this main component

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{1,k}(\mathbb{P}^n, d) \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,k}^0(\mathbb{P}^n, d).$$

(Details appear in [7]. In particular, it is proved there that this construction actually gives a desingularization.) This desingularization has several desirable properties.

- It leaves the interior  $\mathcal{M}_{1,k}(\mathbb{P}^n, d)$  unchanged.
- The boundary  $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{1,k}(\mathbb{P}^n, d) \setminus \mathcal{M}_{1,k}(\mathbb{P}^n, d)$  is simple normal crossings, with an explicitly described normal bundle.
- The points of the boundary have explicit geometric interpretations.
- The desingularization can be interpreted as blowing up “the most singular locus”, then “the next most singular locus”, and so on, but with an unusual twist.

- The divisor theory is explicitly describable, and the intersection theory is tractable. (For example, one can compute the top intersection of divisors using [9].)
- The compactification is natural in the following senses.
  - (i) The desingularization is equivariant: it behaves well with respect to the symmetries of  $\mathbb{P}^n$ . Hence we can apply Atiyah-Bott localization to this space — not just in theory, but in practice.
  - (ii) It behaves well with respect to the inclusion  $\mathbb{P}^m \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^n$ .
  - (iii) It behaves well with respect to the marked points (forgetful maps,  $\psi$ -classes, etc.).
  - (iv) Consider the universal map  $\pi : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n$  over  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,k}(\mathbb{P}^n, d)$ , where  $\rho : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,k}(\mathbb{P}^n, d)$  is the structure morphism. An important sheaf in Gromov-Witten theory is  $\rho_*\pi^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(a)$ . When  $g > 0$ , this is not a vector bundle, which causes difficulty in theory and computation. However, in genus 1, “resolving  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,k}^0(\mathbb{P}^n, d)$  also resolves this sheaf”: when the sheaf is pulled back to the desingularization, it “becomes” a vector bundle. More precisely, it contains a natural vector bundle, and is isomorphic to it on the interior. This vector bundle is explicitly describable.

We find it interesting that such a natural naive approach as we will describe actually works, and yields a desingularization with these nice properties. For example, if  $n > 2$ , this desingularization can be interpreted as a natural compactification of the Hilbert scheme of smooth degree  $d$  curves in projective space, and thus could be seen as the genus 1 version of the complete conics.

This construction also has a number of applications:

- enumerative geometry of genus 1 curves via localization.
- Gromov-Witten invariants in terms of enumerative invariants [8].
- the Lefschetz hyperplane property: effective computation of Gromov-Witten invariants of complete intersections [3] (see also [2] for the special case of the quintic threefold).
- algebraic version of “reduced” Gromov-Witten invariants in symplectic geometry [8].
- an approach to hopefully prove physicists’ predictions [1] about genus 1 Gromov-Witten invariants (work of Zinger, in progress).

We finally describe the construction explicitly. (In the lecture, the geography of  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,k}(\mathbb{P}^n, d)$  was sketched as motivation.) It is straightforward to show that  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,k}(\mathbb{P}^n, d)$  is nonsingular on the locus where there is no contracted genus 1 (possibly nodal) curve (for example, the proof of [5, Prop. 4.21] applies). We say a stable map is in the *m-tail locus* if there is an arithmetic genus 1 contracted curve, with precisely  $m$  points of the contracted curve that are either marked, or meet the rest of the curve. The algorithm is then as follows: blow up the one-tail component (which actually does nothing to  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,k}^0(\mathbb{P}^n, d)$ ), then the proper

transform of all two-tail components, then the proper transform of all three-tail components, etc.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] M. Bershadsky, S. Cecotti, H. Ooguri, and C. Vafa, *Holomorphic anomalies in topological field theories*, Nucl. Phys. B **405** (1993), 279–304.
- [2] J. Li and A. Zinger, *On the genus-one Gromov-Witten invariants of a quintic threefold*, preprint 2004, math.AG/0406105.
- [3] J. Li and A. Zinger, *On the genus-one Gromov-Witten invariants of complete intersections*, preprint 2005, math.AG/0507104.
- [4] R. Pandharipande, *Intersections of  $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisors on Kontsevich's moduli space  $\overline{M}_{0,n}(\mathbb{P}^r, d)$  and enumerative geometry*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **351** (1999), no. 4, 1481–1505.
- [5] R. Vakil, *The enumerative geometry of rational and elliptic curves in projective space*, J. Reine Angew. Math. (Crelle's Journal) **529** (2000), 101–153.
- [6] R. Vakil, *Murphy's Law in algebraic geometry: Badly-behaved moduli deformation spaces*, Invent. Math., to appear (earlier version math.AG/0411469).
- [7] R. Vakil and A. Zinger, *A desingularization of the main component of the moduli space of genus-one stable maps to projective space*, preprint 2006, math.AG/0603353.
- [8] A. Zinger, *Reduced genus-one Gromov-Witten invariants*, preprint 2005, math.SG/0507103.
- [9] A. Zinger, *Intersections of tautological classes on blowups of moduli spaces of genus-one curves*, preprint 2006, math.AG/0603357.