

# MAT 531: Topology&Geometry, II Spring 2011

## Problem Set 9

Due on Thursday, 4/28, in class

1. We have defined Čech cohomology for sheaves or presheaves of  $K$ -modules. All such objects are abelian. The sets  $\check{H}^0$  and  $\check{H}^1$  can be defined for sheaves or presheaves of non-abelian groups as well. The main example of interest is the sheaf  $\mathcal{S}$  of germs of smooth (or continuous) functions to a Lie group  $G$  over a smooth manifold (or topological space)  $M$ .<sup>1</sup>

Let  $\underline{U} = \{U_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}}$  be an open cover of  $M$ . Analogously to the abelian case, the set  $\check{C}^k(\underline{U}; \mathcal{S})$  of Čech  $k$ -cocycles is a group under pointwise multiplication of sections:

$$\begin{aligned} \cdot : \check{C}^k(\underline{U}; \mathcal{S}) \times \check{C}^k(\underline{U}; \mathcal{S}) &\longrightarrow \check{C}^k(\underline{U}; \mathcal{S}), \\ \{f \cdot g\}_{\alpha_0 \alpha_1 \dots \alpha_k}(p) &= f_{\alpha_0 \alpha_1 \dots \alpha_k}(p) \cdot g_{\alpha_0 \alpha_1 \dots \alpha_k}(p) \quad \forall \alpha_0, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k \in \mathcal{A}, p \in U_{\alpha_0} \cap U_{\alpha_1} \cap \dots \cap U_{\alpha_k}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $f_{\alpha_0 \alpha_1 \dots \alpha_k}, g_{\alpha_0 \alpha_1 \dots \alpha_k} : U_{\alpha_0} \cap U_{\alpha_1} \cap \dots \cap U_{\alpha_k} \longrightarrow G$  are smooth (or continuous) functions (or equivalently sections of  $\mathcal{S}$ ). The identity element  $\mathbf{e} \in \check{C}^k(\underline{U}; \mathcal{S})$  is given by

$$\mathbf{e}_{\alpha_0 \alpha_1 \dots \alpha_k}(p) = \text{id}_G \quad \forall \alpha_0, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k \in \mathcal{A}, p \in U_{\alpha_0} \cap U_{\alpha_1} \cap \dots \cap U_{\alpha_k}.$$

Define the two bottom boundary maps by

$$\begin{aligned} d_0 : \check{C}^0(\underline{U}; \mathcal{S}) &\longrightarrow \check{C}^1(\underline{U}; \mathcal{S}), \quad (d_0 f)_{\alpha_0 \alpha_1} = f_{\alpha_0}|_{U_{\alpha_0} \cap U_{\alpha_1}} \cdot f_{\alpha_1}^{-1}|_{U_{\alpha_0} \cap U_{\alpha_1}} \\ d_1 : \check{C}^1(\underline{U}; \mathcal{S}) &\longrightarrow \check{C}^2(\underline{U}; \mathcal{S}), \quad (d_1 g)_{\alpha_0 \alpha_1 \alpha_2} = g_{\alpha_1 \alpha_2}|_{U_{\alpha_0} \cap U_{\alpha_1} \cap U_{\alpha_2}} \cdot g_{\alpha_0 \alpha_2}^{-1}|_{U_{\alpha_0} \cap U_{\alpha_1} \cap U_{\alpha_2}} \cdot g_{\alpha_0 \alpha_1}|_{U_{\alpha_0} \cap U_{\alpha_1} \cap U_{\alpha_2}}, \end{aligned}$$

for all  $\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in \mathcal{A}$ . We also define an action of  $\check{C}^0(\underline{U}; \mathcal{S})$  on  $\check{C}^1(\underline{U}; \mathcal{S})$  by

$$* : \check{C}^0(\underline{U}; \mathcal{S}) \times \check{C}^1(\underline{U}; \mathcal{S}) \longrightarrow \check{C}^1(\underline{U}; \mathcal{S}), \quad \{f * g\}_{\alpha_0 \alpha_1} = f_{\alpha_0}|_{U_{\alpha_0} \cap U_{\alpha_1}} \cdot g_{\alpha_0 \alpha_1} \cdot f_{\alpha_1}^{-1}|_{U_{\alpha_0} \cap U_{\alpha_1}} \in \Gamma(U_{\alpha_0} \cap U_{\alpha_1}; \mathcal{S}).$$

Show that

- (a)  $\check{H}^0(\underline{U}; \mathcal{S}) \equiv \ker d_0 \equiv d_0^{-1}(\mathbf{e})$  is a subgroup of  $\check{C}^0(\underline{U}; \mathcal{S})$ ;
- (b) for every Čech 1-cocycle  $g$  (i.e.  $g \in \ker d_1$ ) for an open cover  $\underline{U} = \{U_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}}$ ,

$$g_{\alpha\alpha} = \mathbf{e}|_{U_\alpha}, \quad g_{\alpha\beta}g_{\beta\alpha} = \mathbf{e}|_{U_\alpha \cap U_\beta}, \quad g_{\alpha\beta}g_{\beta\gamma}g_{\gamma\alpha} = \mathbf{e}|_{U_\alpha \cap U_\beta \cap U_\gamma}, \quad \forall \alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \mathcal{A};$$

- (c)  $*$  is a left action of  $\check{C}^0(\underline{U}; \mathcal{S})$  on  $\check{C}^1(\underline{U}; \mathcal{S})$  that restricts to an action on  $\ker d_1$  and

$$\text{Im } d_0 \subset \check{C}^0(\underline{U}; \mathcal{S})\mathbf{e}.$$

By part (c), we can define

$$\check{H}^1(\underline{U}; \mathcal{S}) = \ker d_1 / \check{C}^0(\underline{U}; \mathcal{S});$$

this is a pointed set (a set with a distinguished element).

---

<sup>1</sup>A Lie group  $G$  is a smooth manifold and a group so that the group operations are smooth. Examples include  $O(k)$ ,  $SO(k)$ ,  $U(k)$ ,  $SU(k)$ .

If  $\underline{U}' = \{U'_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}'}$  is a refinement of  $\underline{U} = \{U_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}}$ , any refining map  $\mu: \mathcal{A}' \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  induces group homomorphisms

$$\mu_k^*: \check{C}^k(\underline{U}; \mathcal{S}) \longrightarrow \check{C}^k(\underline{U}'; \mathcal{S}),$$

which commute with  $d_0, d_1$ , and the action of  $\check{C}^0(\cdot; \mathcal{S})$  on  $\check{C}^1(\cdot; \mathcal{S})$ , similarly to Section 5.33. Thus,  $\mu$  induces a group homomorphism and a map

$$R_{\underline{U}', \underline{U}}^0: \check{H}^0(\underline{U}; \mathcal{S}) \longrightarrow \check{H}^0(\underline{U}'; \mathcal{S}) \quad \text{and} \quad R_{\underline{U}', \underline{U}}^1: \check{H}^1(\underline{U}; \mathcal{S}) \longrightarrow \check{H}^1(\underline{U}'; \mathcal{S}).$$

(d) Show that these maps are independent of the choice of  $\mu$ .

Thus, we can again define  $\check{H}^0(M; \mathcal{S})$  and  $\check{H}^1(M; \mathcal{S})$  by taking the direct limit of all  $\check{H}^0(\underline{U}; \mathcal{S})$  and  $\check{H}^1(\underline{U}; \mathcal{S})$  over open covers of  $M$ . The first set is a group, while the second need not be (unless  $\mathcal{S}$  is a sheaf of abelian groups). These sets will be denoted by  $\check{H}^0(M; G)$  and  $\check{H}^1(M; G)$  if  $\mathcal{S}$  is the sheaf of germs of smooth (or continuous) functions into a Lie group  $G$ . As in the abelian case,  $\check{H}^0(M; \mathcal{S})$  is the space of global sections of  $\mathcal{S}$ .

(e) Show that there is a natural correspondence

$$\{\text{isomorphism classes of rank } k \text{ real vector bundles over } M\} \longleftrightarrow \check{H}^1(M; O(k)).$$

(f) What are the analogues of these statements for complex vector bundles? (state them and indicate the changes in the argument; do not re-write the entire solution).

*Hint:* For (e) and (f), you might want to look over Sections 9 and 11 in *Lecture Notes*. Do not forget that  $\check{H}^1(M; \mathcal{S})$  is a *direct limit*.

2. (a) Show that the set of isomorphism classes of line bundles on  $M$  forms an abelian group under the tensor product (i.e. satisfies 3 properties for a group and another for abelian). Show that in the real case all nontrivial elements are of order two.

(b) Show that the correspondence

$$\{\text{isomorphism classes of real line bundles over } M\} \longleftrightarrow \check{H}^1(M; \mathbb{Z}_2)$$

of the previous problem is a group isomorphism.

(c) Show that there is a natural group isomorphism

$$\{\text{isomorphism classes of complex line bundles over } M\} \longleftrightarrow \check{H}^2(M; \mathbb{Z}).$$

*Hint:* Snake Lemma.

*Note:* The groups  $\check{H}^1(M; \mathbb{Z}_2)$  and  $\check{H}^2(M; \mathbb{Z})$  are naturally isomorphic to the singular cohomology groups  $H^1(M; \mathbb{Z}_2)$  and  $H^2(M; \mathbb{Z})$ . The image of a real line bundle  $L$ ,  $w_1(L) \in H^1(M; \mathbb{Z}_2)$ , is the *first Stiefel-Whitney class* of  $L$ ; the image of a complex line bundle,  $c_1(L) \in H^2(M; \mathbb{Z})$ , is the *first Chern class* of  $L$ . However, this is not how these *characteristic classes* are normally defined.

3. Chapter 2, #13 (p79)

**Exercise** (*figure this out, but do not hand it in*): Chapter 5, #20 (p217).